Network Working Group Request for Comments: 1449

J. Case SNMP Research, Inc. K. McCloghrie Hughes LAN Systems M. Rose Dover Beach Consulting, Inc. S. Waldbusser Carnegie Mellon University April 1993

Transport Mappings for version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

Status of this Memo

This RFC specifes an IAB standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "IAB Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction	2
1.1 A Note on Terminology	2
2 Definitions	3
3 SNMPv2 over UDP	7
3.1 Serialization	7
3.2 Well-known Values	7
4 SNMPv2 over OSI	8
4.1 Serialization	8
4.2 Well-known Values	8
5 SNMPv2 over DDP	9
5.1 Serialization	9
5.2 Well-known Values	9
5.3 Discussion of AppleTalk Addressing	9
5.3.1 How to Acquire NBP names	10
5.3.2 When to Turn NBP names into DDP addresses	11
5.3.3 How to Turn NBP names into DDP addresses	11
5.3.4 What if NBP is broken	12
6 SNMPv2 over IPX	13
6.1 Serialization	13
6.2 Well-known Values	13
7 Proxy to SNMPv1	14
7.1 Transport Domain: rfc1157Domain	14
7.2 Authentication Algorithm: rfc1157noAuth	14

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page i]

RFC 1449	Transport	Mappings	for	SNMPv2	April 1993
----------	-----------	----------	-----	--------	------------

8 Serialization using the Basic Encoding Rules	16
8.1 Usage Example	17
9 Acknowledgements	
10 References	22
11 Security Considerations	24
12 Authors' Addresses	24
13 Security Considerations	25
14 Authors' Addresses	25

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993

1. Introduction

A network management system contains: several (potentially many) nodes, each with a processing entity, termed an agent, which has access to management instrumentation; at least one management station; and, a management protocol, used to convey management information between the agents and management stations. Operations of the protocol are carried out under an administrative framework which defines both authentication and authorization policies.

Network management stations execute management applications which monitor and control network elements. Network elements are devices such as hosts, routers, terminal servers, etc., which are monitored and controlled through access to their management information.

The management protocol, version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol [1], may be used over a variety of protocol suites. It is the purpose of this document to define how the SNMPv2 maps onto an initial set of transport domains. Other mappings may be defined in the future.

Although several mappings are defined, the mapping onto UDP is the preferred mapping. As such, to provide for the greatest level of interoperability, systems which choose to deploy other mappings should also provide for proxy service to the UDP mapping.

1.1. A Note on Terminology

For the purpose of exposition, the original Internet-standard Network Management Framework, as described in RFCs 1155, 1157, and 1212, is termed the SNMP version 1 framework (SNMPv1). The current framework is termed the SNMP version 2 framework (SNMPv2).

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 2]

```
RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993
2. Definitions
SNMPv2-TM DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN
IMPORTS
   snmpDomains, snmpProxys
       FROM SNMPv2-SMI
    TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
       FROM SNMPv2-TC;
-- SNMPv2 over UDP
snmpUDPDomain OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpDomains 1 }
-- for a SnmpUDPAddress of length 6:
___
-- octets contents encoding
-- 1-4 IP-address network-byte order
-- 5-6 UDP-port network-byte order
_ _
SnmpUDPAddress ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    DISPLAY-HINT "1d.1d.1d.1d/2d"
    STATUS
             current
    DESCRIPTION
            "Represents a UDP address."
    SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (6))
```

```
RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993
-- SNMPv2 over OSI
snmpCLNSDomain OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpDomains 2 }
snmpCONSDomain OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpDomains 3 }
-- for a SnmpOSIAddress of length m:
-- 1 length of NSAP "n" as an unsigned-integer

-- (either 0 or from 2 to 07
_ _
                                 (either 0 or from 3 to 20)
-- 2..(n+1) NSAP
-- (n+2)..m TSEL
                              concrete binary representation
                               string of (up to 64) octets
_ _
SnmpOSIAddress ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    DISPLAY-HINT "*1x:/1x:"
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
          "Represents an OSI transport-address."
    SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (1 | 4..85))
```

```
RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993
-- SNMPv2 over DDP
snmpDDPDomain OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpDomains 4 }
-- for a SnmpNBPAddress of length m:
_ _
    octets contents
                                   encoding
_ _
                 length of object "n" as an unsigned integer
_ _
        1
       2..(n+1)objectstring of (up to 32) octetsn+2length of type"p" as an unsigned integer3)..(n+2+p)typestring of (up to 32) octets
_ _
_ _
-- (n+3)..(n+2+p) type
      n+3+p length of zone "q" as an unsigned integer
_ _
-- (n+4+p)..m
                  zone
                                   string of (up to 32) octets
_ _
-- for comparison purposes, strings are case-insensitive
_ _
-- all strings may contain any octet other than 255 (hex ff)
_ _
SnmpNBPAddress ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
            "Represents an NBP name."
             OCTET STRING (SIZE (3..99))
    SYNTAX
-- SNMPv2 over IPX
snmpIPXDomain OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpDomains 5 }
-- for a SnmpIPXAddress of length 12:
_ _
-- octets contents
                                 encoding
-- 1-4 network-number network-byte order
-- 5-10 physical-address network-byte order
-- 11-12 socket-number network-byte order
_ _
SnmpIPXAddress ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    DISPLAY-HINT "4x.1x:1x:1x:1x:1x:1x.2d"
                 current
    STATUS
    DESCRIPTION
        "Represents an IPX address."
    SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (12))
```

RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993
-- for proxy to community-based SNMPv1 (RFC 1157)
rfc1157Proxy OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpProxys 1 }
-- uses SnmpUDPAddress
rfc1157Domain OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { rfc1157Proxy 1 }
-- the community-based noAuth
rfc1157noAuth OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { rfc1157Proxy 2 }

END

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 6]

RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993

3. SNMPv2 over UDP

This is the preferred transport mapping.

3.1. Serialization

Each instance of a message is serialized onto a single UDP[2] datagram, using the algorithm specified in Section 8.

3.2. Well-known Values

Although the partyTable gives transport addressing information for an SNMPv2 party, it is suggested that administrators configure their SNMPv2 entities acting in an agent role to listen on UDP port 161. Further, it is suggested that notification sinks be configured to listen on UDP port 162.

The partyTable also lists the maximum message size which a SNMPv2 party is willing to accept. This value must be at least 484 octets. Implementation of larger values is encouraged whenever possible.

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

RFC 1449

4. SNMPv2 over OSI

This is an optional transport mapping.

4.1. Serialization

Each instance of a message is serialized onto a single TSDU [3,4] for the OSI Connectionless-mode Transport Service (CLTS), using the algorithm specified in Section 8.

4.2. Well-known Values

Although the partyTable gives transport addressing information for an SNMPv2 party, it is suggested that administrators configure their SNMPv2 entities acting in an agent role to listen on transport selector "snmp-l" (which consists of six ASCII characters), when using a CL-mode network service to realize the CLTS. Further, it is suggested that notification sinks be configured to listen on transport selector "snmpt-1" (which consists of seven ASCII characters) when using a CLmode network service to realize the CLTS. Similarly, when using a CO-mode network service to realize the CLTS, the suggested transport selectors are "snmp-o" and "snmpt-o", for agent and notification sink, respectively.

The partyTable also lists the maximum message size which a SNMPv2 party is willing to accept. This value must be at least 484 octets. Implementation of larger values is encouraged whenever possible.

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

RFC 1449

5. SNMPv2 over DDP

This is an optional transport mapping.

5.1. Serialization

Each instance of a message is serialized onto a single DDP datagram [5], using the algorithm specified in Section 8.

5.2. Well-known Values

SNMPv2 messages are sent using DDP protocol type 8. SNMPv2 entities acting in an agent role listens on DDP socket number 8, whilst notification sinks listen on DDP socket number 9.

Although the partyTable gives transport addressing information for an SNMPv2 party, administrators must configure their SNMPv2 entities acting in an agent role to use NBP type "SNMP Agent" (which consists of ten ASCII characters), whilst notification sinks must be configured to use NBP type "SNMP Trap Handler" (which consists of seventeen ASCII characters).

The NBP name for agents and notification sinks should be stable - NBP names should not change any more often than the IP address of a typical TCP/IP node. It is suggested that the NBP name be stored in some form of stable storage.

The partyTable also lists the maximum message size which a SNMPv2 party is willing to accept. This value must be at least 484 octets. Implementation of larger values is encouraged whenever possible.

5.3. Discussion of AppleTalk Addressing

The AppleTalk protocol suite has certain features not manifest in the TCP/IP suite. AppleTalk's naming strategy and the dynamic nature of address assignment can cause problems for SNMPv2 entities that wish to manage AppleTalk networks. TCP/IP nodes have an associated IP address which distinguishes each from the other. In contrast, AppleTalk nodes generally have no such characteristic. The network-level address, while often relatively stable, can change at every reboot (or more

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 9]

frequently).

Thus, when SNMPv2 is mapped over DDP, nodes are identified by a "name", rather than by an "address". Hence, all AppleTalk nodes that implement this mapping are required to respond to NBP lookups and confirms (e.g., implement the NBP protocol stub), which guarantees that a mapping from NBP name to DDP address will be possible.

In determining the SNMP identity to register for an SNMPv2 entity, it is suggested that the SNMP identity be a name which is associated with other network services offered by the machine.

NBP lookups, which are used to map NBP names into DDP addresses, can cause large amounts of network traffic as well as consume CPU resources. It is also the case that the ability to perform an NBP lookup is sensitive to certain network disruptions (such as zone table inconsistencies) which would not prevent direct AppleTalk communications between two SNMPv2 entities.

Thus, it is recommended that NBP lookups be used infrequently, primarily to create a cache of name-to-address mappings. These cached mappings should then be used for any further SNMP traffic. It is recommended that SNMPv2 entities acting in a manager role should maintain this cache between reboots. This caching can help minimize network traffic, reduce CPU load on the network, and allow for (some amount of) network trouble shooting when the basic name-to-address translation mechanism is broken.

5.3.1. How to Acquire NBP names

An SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role may have a preconfigured list of names of "known" SNMPv2 entities acting in an agent role. Similarly, an SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role might interact with an operator. Finally, an SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role might communicate with all SNMPv2 entities acting in an agent role in a set of zones or networks.

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 10]

5.3.2. When to Turn NBP names into DDP addresses

When an SNMPv2 entity uses a cache entry to address an SNMP packet, it should attempt to confirm the validity mapping, if the mapping hasn't been confirmed within the last T1 seconds. This cache entry lifetime, T1, has a minimum, default value of 60 seconds, and should be configurable.

An SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role may decide to prime its cache of names prior to actually communicating with another SNMPv2 entity. In general, it is expected that such an entity may want to keep certain mappings "more current" than other mappings, e.g., those nodes which represent the network infrastructure (e.g., routers) may be deemed "more important".

Note that an SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role should not prime its entire cache upon initialization - rather, it should attempt resolutions over an extended period of time (perhaps in some pre-determined or configured priority order). Each of these resolutions might, in fact, be a wildcard lookup in a given zone.

An SNMPv2 entity acting in an agent role must never prime its cache. Such an entity should do NBP lookups (or confirms) only when it needs to send an SNMP trap. When generating a response, such an entity does not need to confirm a cache entry.

5.3.3. How to Turn NBP names into DDP addresses

If the only piece of information available is the NBP name, then an NBP lookup should be performed to turn that name into a DDP address. However, if there is a piece of stale information, it can be used as a hint to perform an NBP confirm (which sends a unicast to the network address which is presumed to be the target of the name lookup) to see if the stale information is, in fact, still valid.

An NBP name to DDP address mapping can also be confirmed implicitly using only SNMP transactions. For example, an SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role issuing a retrieval operation could also retrieve the relevant objects from the NBP group [6] for the SNMPv2 entity acting in an agent role.

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 11]

This information can then be correlated with the source DDP address of the response.

5.3.4. What if NBP is broken

Under some circumstances, there may be connectivity between two SNMPv2 entities, but the NBP mapping machinery may be broken, e.g.,

- the NBP FwdReq (forward NBP lookup onto local attached 0 network) mechanism might be broken at a router on the other entity's network; or,
- the NBP BrRq (NBP broadcast request) mechanism might be 0 broken at a router on the entity's own network; or,
- NBP might be broken on the other entity's node. 0

An SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role which is dedicated to AppleTalk management might choose to alleviate some of these failures by directly implementing the router portion of NBP. For example, such an entity might already know all the zones on the AppleTalk internet and the networks on which each zone appears. Given an NBP lookup which fails, the entity could send an NBP FwdReq to the network in which the agent was last located. If that failed, the station could then send an NBP LkUp (NBP lookup packet) as a directed (DDP) multicast to each network number on that network. Of the above (single) failures, this combined approach will solve the case where either the local router's BrRq-to-FwdReq mechanism is broken or the remote router's FwdReq-to-LkUp mechanism is broken.

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 12]

RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993

6. SNMPv2 over IPX

This is an optional transport mapping.

6.1. Serialization

Each instance of a message is serialized onto a single IPX datagram [7], using the algorithm specified in Section 8.

6.2. Well-known Values

SNMPv2 messages are sent using IPX packet type 4 (i.e., Packet Exchange Packet).

Although the partyTable gives transport addressing information for an SNMPv2 party, it is suggested that administrators configure their SNMPv2 entities acting in an agent role to listen on IPX socket 36879 (900f hexadecimal). Further, it is suggested that notification sinks be configured to listen on IPX socket 36880 (9010 hexadecimal)

The partyTable also lists the maximum message size which a SNMPv2 party is willing to accept. This value must be at least 546 octets. Implementation of larger values is encouraged whenever possible.

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 13]

RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993

7. Proxy to SNMPv1

In order to provide proxy to community-based SNMP [8], some definitions are necessary for both transport domains and authentication protocols.

7.1. Transport Domain: rfc1157Domain

The transport domain, rfc1157Domain, indicates the transport mapping for community-based SNMP messages defined in RFC 1157. When a party's transport domain (partyTDomain) is rfc1157Domain:

- (1) the party's transport address (partyTAddress) shall be 6 octets long, the initial 4 octets containing the IPaddress in network-byte order, and the last two octets containing the UDP port in network-byte order; and,
- (2) the party's authentication protocol (partyAuthProtocol) shall be rfc1157noAuth.

When a proxy relationship identifies a proxy destination party which has rfc1157Domain as its transport domain:

- (1) the proxy source party (contextSrcPartyIndex) and proxy context (contextProxyContext) components of the proxy relationship are irrelevant; and,
- (2) Section 3.1 of [9] specifies the behavior of the proxy agent.
- 7.2. Authentication Algorithm: rfc1157noAuth

A party's authentication protocol (partyAuthProtocol) specifies the protocol and mechanism by which the party authenticates the integrity and origin of the SNMPv1 or SNMPv2 PDUs it generates. When a party's authentication protocol is rfc1157noAuth:

(1) the party's public authentication key (partyAuthPublic), clock (partyAuthClock), and lifetime (partyAuthLifetime) are irrelevant; and,

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 14]

RFC 1449	Transport	Mappings	for	SNMPv2	
----------	-----------	----------	-----	--------	--

(2) the party's private authentication key (partySecretsAuthPrivate) shall be used as the 1157 community for the proxy destination, and shall be at least one octet in length. (No maximum length is specified.)

Note that when setting the party's private authentication key, the exclusive-OR semantics specified in [10] still apply.

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 15]

8. Serialization using the Basic Encoding Rules

When the Basic Encoding Rules [11] are used for serialization:

- (1) When encoding the length field, only the definite form is used; use of the indefinite form encoding is prohibited. Note that when using the definite-long form, it is permissible to use more than the minimum number of length octets necessary to encode the length field.
- (2) When encoding the value field, the primitive form shall be used for all simple types, i.e., INTEGER, OCTET STRING, OBJECT IDENTIFIER, and BIT STRING (either IMPLICIT or explicit). The constructed form of encoding shall be used only for structured types, i.e., a SEQUENCE or an IMPLICIT SEQUENCE.
- (3) When a BIT STRING is serialized, all named-bits are transferred regardless of their truth-value. Further, if the number of named-bits is not an integral multiple of eight, then the fewest number of additional zero-valued bits are transferred so that an integral multiple of eight bits is transferred.

These restrictions apply to all aspects of ASN.1 encoding, including the message wrappers, protocol data units, and the data objects they contain.

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 16]

8.1. Usage Example

As an example of applying the Basic Encoding Rules, suppose one wanted to encode an instance of the GetBulkRequest-PDU [1]:

```
[5] IMPLICIT SEQUENCE {
                             1414684022,
             request-id
             non-repeaters
                             1,
             max-repetitions 2,
             variable-bindings {
                 { name sysUpTime,
                   value { unspecified NULL } },
                 { name ipNetToMediaPhysAddress,
                   value { unspecified NULL } },
                 { name ipNetToMediaType,
                   value { unspecified NULL } }
             }
         }
Applying the BER, this would be encoded (in hexadecimal) as:
```

```
[5] IMPLICIT SEQUENCE
                               a5 82 00 39
   INTEGER
                               02 04 52 54 5d 76
   INTEGER
                               02 01 01
   INTEGER
                               02 01 02
   SEQUENCE
                               30 2b
       SEQUENCE
                               30 Ob
           OBJECT IDENTIFIER 06 07 2b 06 01 02 01 01 03
                               05 00
           NULL
        SEQUENCE
                               30 Od
           OBJECT IDENTIFIER 06 09 2b 06 01 02 01 04 16 01 02
                               05 00
           NULL
                               30 Od
        SEQUENCE
           OBJECT IDENTIFIER 06 09 2b 06 01 02 01 04 16 01 04
           NULL
                               05 00
```

Note that the initial SEQUENCE is not encoded using the minimum number of length octets. (The first octet of the length, 82, indicates that the length of the content is encoded in the next two octets.)

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 17]

RFC 1449

Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993

9. Acknowledgements

The UDP-based mapping is based, in part, on RFC 1157.

The OSI-based mapping is based, in part, on RFC 1283.

The DDP-based mapping is based, in part, on earlier work by Greg Minshall of Novell, Inc., and Mike Ritter of Apple Computer, Inc.

The IPX-based mapping is based, in part, on RFC 1298.

The section on proxy to community-based SNMP is based on earlier work that was based in part on a suggestion by Jonathan Biggar of Netlabs, Inc.

Finally, the comments of the SNMP version 2 working group are gratefully acknowledged:

Beth Adams, Network Management Forum Steve Alexander, INTERACTIVE Systems Corporation David Arneson, Cabletron Systems Toshiya Asaba Fred Baker, ACC Jim Barnes, Xylogics, Inc. Brian Bataille Andy Bierman, SynOptics Communications, Inc. Uri Blumenthal, IBM Corporation Fred Bohle, Interlink Jack Brown Theodore Brunner, Bellcore Stephen F. Bush, GE Information Services Jeffrey D. Case, University of Tennessee, Knoxville John Chang, IBM Corporation Szusin Chen, Sun Microsystems Robert Ching Chris Chiotasso, Ungermann-Bass Bobby A. Clay, NASA/Boeing John Cooke, Chipcom Tracy Cox, Bellcore Juan Cruz, Datability, Inc. David Cullerot, Cabletron Systems Cathy Cunningham, Microcom James R. (Chuck) Davin, Bellcore Michael Davis, Clearpoint

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 18]

Mike Davison, FiberCom Cynthia DellaTorre, MITRE Taso N. Devetzis, Bellcore Manual Diaz, DAVID Systems, Inc. Jon Dreyer, Sun Microsystems David Engel, Optical Data Systems Mike Erlinger, Lexcel Roger Fajman, NIH Daniel Fauvarque, Sun Microsystems Karen Frisa, CMU Shari Galitzer, MITRE Shawn Gallagher, Digital Equipment Corporation Richard Graveman, Bellcore Maria Greene, Xyplex, Inc. Michel Guittet, Apple Robert Gutierrez, NASA Bill Hagerty, Cabletron Systems Gary W. Haney, Martin Marietta Energy Systems Patrick Hanil, Nokia Telecommunications Matt Hecht, SNMP Research, Inc. Edward A. Heiner, Jr., Synernetics Inc. Susan E. Hicks, Martin Marietta Energy Systems Geral Holzhauer, Apple John Hopprich, DAVID Systems, Inc. Jeff Hughes, Hewlett-Packard Robin Iddon, Axon Networks, Inc. David Itusak Kevin M. Jackson, Concord Communications, Inc. Ole J. Jacobsen, Interop Company Ronald Jacoby, Silicon Graphics, Inc. Satish Joshi, SynOptics Communications, Inc. Frank Kastenholz, FTP Software Mark Kepke, Hewlett-Packard Ken Key, SNMP Research, Inc. Zbiginew Kielczewski, Eicon Jongyeoi Kim Andrew Knutsen, The Santa Cruz Operation Michael L. Kornegay, VisiSoft Deirdre C. Kostik, Bellcore Cheryl Krupczak, Georgia Tech Mark S. Lewis, Telebit David Lin David Lindemulder, AT&T/NCR Ben Lisowski, Sprint David Liu, Bell-Northern Research

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 19]

RFC 1449

John Lunny, The Wollongong Group Robert C. Lushbaugh Martin, Marietta Energy Systems Michael Luufer, BBN Carl Madison, Star-Tek, Inc. Keith McCloghrie, Hughes LAN Systems Evan McGinnis, 3Com Corporation Bill McKenzie, IBM Corporation Donna McMaster, SynOptics Communications, Inc. John Medicke, IBM Corporation Doug Miller, Telebit Dave Minnich, FiberCom Mohammad Mirhakkak, MITRE Rohit Mital, Protools George Mouradian, AT&T Bell Labs Patrick Mullaney, Cabletron Systems Dan Myers, 3Com Corporation Rina Nathaniel, Rad Network Devices Ltd. Hien V. Nguyen, Sprint Mo Nikain Tom Nisbet William B. Norton, MERIT Steve Onishi, Wellfleet Communications, Inc. David T. Perkins, SynOptics Communications, Inc. Carl Powell, BBN Ilan Raab, SynOptics Communications, Inc. Richard Ramons, AT&T Venkat D. Rangan, Metric Network Systems, Inc. Louise Reingold, Sprint Sam Roberts, Farallon Computing, Inc. Kary Robertson, Concord Communications, Inc. Dan Romascanu, Lannet Data Communications Ltd. Marshall T. Rose, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc. Shawn A. Routhier, Epilogue Technology Corporation Chris Rozman Asaf Rubissa, Fibronics Jon Saperia, Digital Equipment Corporation Michael Sapich Mike Scanlon, Interlan Sam Schaen, MITRE John Seligson, Ultra Network Technologies Paul A. Serice, Corporation for Open Systems Chris Shaw, Banyan Systems Timon Sloane Robert Snyder, Cisco Systems Joo Young Song

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 20]

Roy Spitier, Sprint Einar Stefferud, Network Management Associates John Stephens, Cayman Systems, Inc. Robert L. Stewart, Xyplex, Inc. (chair) Kaj Tesink, Bellcore Dean Throop, Data General Ahmet Tuncay, France Telecom-CNET Maurice Turcotte, Racal Datacom Warren Vik, INTERACTIVE Systems Corporation Yannis Viniotis Steven L. Waldbusser, Carnegie Mellon Universitty Timothy M. Walden, ACC Alice Wang, Sun Microsystems James Watt, Newbridge Luanne Waul, Timeplex Donald E. Westlake III, Digital Equipment Corporation Gerry White Bert Wijnen, IBM Corporation Peter Wilson, 3Com Corporation Steven Wong, Digital Equipment Corporation Randy Worzella, IBM Corporation Daniel Woycke, MITRE Honda Wu Jeff Yarnell, Protools Chris Young, Cabletron Kiho Yum, 3Com Corporation

- 10. References
- [1] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Protocol Operations for version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1448, SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.
- [2] Postel, J., "User Datagram Protocol", STD 6, RFC 768, USC/Information Sciences Institute, August 1980.
- [3] Information processing systems Open Systems Interconnection - Transport Service Definition, International Organization for Standardization. International Standard 8072, (June, 1986).
- [4] Information processing systems Open Systems Interconnection - Transport Service Definition - Addendum 1: Connectionless-mode Transmission, International Organization for Standardization. International Standard 8072/AD 1, (December, 1986).
- [5] G. Sidhu, R. Andrews, A. Oppenheimer, Inside AppleTalk (second edition). Addison-Wesley, 1990.
- [6] Waldbusser, S., "AppleTalk Management Information Base", RFC 1243, Carnegie Mellon University, July 1991.
- [7] Network System Technical Interface Overview. Novell, Inc, (June, 1989).
- [8] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., Davin, J., "Simple Network Management Protocol", STD 15, RFC 1157, SNMP Research, Performance Systems International, MIT Laboratory for Computer Science, May 1990.
- [9] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Coexistence between version 1 and version 2 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", RFC 1452, SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.
- [10] McCloghrie, K., and Galvin, J., "Party MIB for version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 22]

1447, Hughes LAN Systems, Trusted Information Systems, April 1993.

[11] Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Specification of Basic Encoding Rules for Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1), International Organization for Standardization. International Standard 8825, (December, 1987).

Case, McCloghrie, Rose & Waldbusser

[Page 23]

RFC 1449 Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 April 1993

11. Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.

12. Authors' Addresses

Jeffrey D. Case SNMP Research, Inc. 3001 Kimberlin Heights Rd. Knoxville, TN 37920-9716 US

Phone: +1 615 573 1434 Email: case@snmp.com

Keith McCloghrie Hughes LAN Systems 1225 Charleston Road Mountain View, CA 94043 US

Phone: +1 415 966 7934 Email: kzm@hls.com

Marshall T. Rose Dover Beach Consulting, Inc. 420 Whisman Court Mountain View, CA 94043-2186 US

Phone: +1 415 968 1052 Email: mrose@dbc.mtview.ca.us

Steven Waldbusser Carnegie Mellon University 4910 Forbes Ave Pittsburgh, PA 15213 US

Phone: +1 412 268 6628 Email: waldbusser@cmu.edu