Network Working Group Request for Comments: 2729 Category: Informational

R. Briscoe A. Poppitt BT December 1999

P. Bagnall

Taxonomy of Communication Requirements for Large-scale Multicast Applications

Status of this Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1999). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

The intention of this memo is to define a classification system for the communication requirements of any large-scale multicast application (LSMA). It is very unlikely one protocol can achieve a compromise between the diverse requirements of all the parties involved in any LSMA. It is therefore necessary to understand the worst-case scenarios in order to minimize the range of protocols needed. Dynamic protocol adaptation is likely to be necessary which will require logic to map particular combinations of requirements to particular mechanisms. Standardizing the way that applications define their requirements is a necessary step towards this. Classification is a first step towards standardization.

Table of Contents

1. :	Introduc	ction																			2
2. I	Definiti	ions	of Se	essi	ons.																3
3. 5	Taxonomy	7																			4
3	.1. Sumn	nary	of Co	ommu	nica	tio	ons	s E	ar	an	net	eı	îs								4
3	.2. Defi	initi	ons,	typ	es a	nd	st	ri	ct	es	st	re	equ	ıiı	cer	ner	nts	з.			5
	3.2.1.	Туре	s.																		6
	3.2.2.	Reli	abil:	ity																	7
	3.2.2	2.1.	Packe	et Lo	oss																7
	3.2.2	2.2.	Compo	onen	t Re	lia	abi	.li	Ĺtχ	7											8
	3.2.3.	Orde	ring																		9
	3.2.4.	Time	lines	ss .																	9
	3.2.5.	Sess	ion (Cont:	rol															. 1	L3
	3.2.6.	Sess	ion 7	Горо	logy															. 1	16
	3.2.7.	Dire	ctory	<i>Y</i> .																. 1	L7
	3.2.8.	Secu	rity																	. 1	L7
	3.2.8	3.1.	Secui	rity	Dyn	am:	ics	3												. 2	23
	3.2.9.	Paym	ent 8	cha	argi	ng														. 2	24
4. 5	Security	7 Con	sideı	rati	ons															. 2	25
5. I	Referenc	ces																		. 2	25
6. 7	Authors'	' Add	resse	es .																. 2	26
7. I	Full Cop	yrig	ht St	tate	ment															. 2	27

1. Introduction

This taxonomy consists of a large number of parameters that are considered useful for describing the communication requirements of LSMAs. To describe a particular application, each parameter would be assigned a value. Typical ranges of values are given wherever possible. Failing this, the type of any possible values is given. The parameters are collected into ten or so higher level categories, but this is purely for convenience.

The parameters are pitched at a level considered meaningful to application programmers. However, they describe communications not applications - the terms '3D virtual world', or 'shared TV' might imply communications requirements, but they don't accurately describe them. Assumptions about the likely mechanism to achieve each requirement are avoided where possible.

While the parameters describe communications, it will be noticed that few requirements concerning routing etc. are apparent. This is because applications have few direct requirements on these second order aspects of communications. Requirements in these areas will have to be inferred from application requirements (e.g. latency).

The taxonomy is likely to be useful in a number of ways:

- 1. Most simply, it can be used as a checklist to create a requirements statement for a particular LSMA. Example applications will be classified [bagnal198] using the taxonomy in order to exercise (and improve) it
- 2. Because strictest requirement have been defined for many parameters, it will be possible to identify worst case scenarios for the design of protocols
- 3. Because the scope of each parameter has been defined (per session, per receiver etc.), it will be possible to highlight where heterogeneity is going to be most marked
- 4. It is a step towards standardization of the way LSMAs define their communications requirements. This could lead to standard APIs between applications and protocol adaptation middleware
- 5. Identification of limitations in current Internet technology for LSMAs to be added to the LSMA limitations memo [limitations]
- 6. Identification of gaps in Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) working group coverage

This approach is intended to complement that used where application scenarios for Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) are proposed in order to generate network design metrics (values of communications parameters). Instead of creating the communications parameters from the applications, we try to imagine applications that might be enabled by stretching communications parameters.

2. Definition of Sessions

The following terms have no agreed definition, so they will be defined for this document.

Session

a happening or gathering consisting of flows of information related by a common description that persists for a non-trivial time (more than a few seconds) such that the participants (be they humans or applications) are involved and interested at intermediate times. A session may be defined recursively as a super-set of other sessions.

Secure session

a session with restricted access

A session or secure session may be a sub and/or super set of a multicast group. A session can simultaneously be both a sub and a super-set of a multicast group by spanning a number of groups while time-sharing each group with other sessions.

3. Taxonomy

3.1 Summary of Communications Parameters

Before the communications parameters are defined, typed and given worst-case values, they are simply listed for convenience. Also for convenience they are collected under classification headings.

Reliability	
Semantic loss Component reliability	2
Ordering	2
Ordering type	
Timeliness	3
Hard Realtime	
Synchronicity	
Burstiness	
Jitter	
Expiry	
Latency	
Optimum bandwidth	
Tolerable bandwidth	
Required by time and tolerance	
Host performance	
Fair delay	
Frame size	
Content size	
Session Control	1
Initiation	
Start time	
End time	
Duration	
Active time	
Session Burstiness	
Atomic join	
Late join allowed ?	

Temporary leave allowed ?
Late join with catch-up allowed ?
Potential streams per session
Active streams per sessions
Session Topology
Number of senders
Number of receivers
Directory
Fail-over time-out (see Reliability: fail-over time)
Mobility
Security
Authentication strength
Tamper-proofing
Non-repudiation strength
Denial of service
Action restriction
Privacy
Confidentiality
Retransmit prevention strength
Membership criteria
Membership principals
Collusion prevention
Fairness
Action on compromise
Security dynamics
Mean time between compromises
Compromise detection time limit
compromise recovery time limit
Payment & Charging
Total Cost
Cost per time
Cost per Mb

3.2 Definitions, types and strictest requirements

The terms used in the above table are now defined for the context of this document. Under each definition, the type of their value is given and where possible worst-case values and example applications that would exhibit this requirement.

There is no mention of whether a communication is a stream or a discrete interaction. An attempt to use this distinction as a way of characterizing communications proved to be remarkably unhelpful and was dropped.

3.2.1 Types

Each requirement has a type. The following is a list of all the types used in the following definitions.

Application Benchmark

This is some measure of the processor load of an application, in some architecture neutral unit. This is non-trivial since the processing an application requires may change radically with different hardware, for example, a video client with and without hardware support.

Bandwidth Measured in bits per second, or a multiple of.

Boolean

Abstract Currency

An abstract currency is one which is adjusted to take inflation into account. The simplest way of doing this is to use the value of a real currency on a specific date. It is effectively a way of assessing the cost of something in "real terms". An example might be 1970 US\$. Another measure might be "average man hours".

Currency - current local

Data Size

Date (time since epoch)

Enumeration

Fraction

Identifiers

A label used to distinguish different parts of a communication

Integer

Membership list/rule

Macro

A small piece of executable code used to describe policies

Time

3.2.2 Reliability

3.2.2.1 Packet Loss

Transactional

When multiple operations must occur atomically, transactional communications guarantee that either all occur or none occur and a failure is flagged.

Type: Boolean

Meaning: Transactional or Not transaction

Strictest Requirement: Transactional Scope: per stream

Example Application: Bank credit transfer, debit and credit must

be atomic.

NB: Transactions are potentially much more

complex, but it is believed this is

an application layer problem.

Guaranteed

Guarantees communications will succeed under certain conditions.

Type: Enumerated

Deferrable - if communication fails it will Meaning:

be deferred until a time when it will be

Guaranteed - the communication will succeed

so long as all necessary components are

working.

No guarantee - failure will not be

reported.

Strictest Requirement: Deferrable

Example Application: Stock quote feed - Guaranteed

Scope:

per stream

NB: The application will need to set parameters

to more fully define Guarantees, which the middleware may translate into, for example,

queue lengths.

Tolerated loss

This specifies the proportion of data from a communication that can be lost before the application becomes completely unusable.

Type: Fraction

fraction of the stream that can be lost Meaning:

Strictest Requirement: 0%

Scope: per stream Example Application: Video - 20%

Semantic loss

The application specifies how many and which parts of the communication can be discarded if necessary.

Identifiers, name disposable application Type:

level frames

List of the identifiers of application Meaning:

frames which may be lost

Strictest Requirement: No loss allowed

Scope: per stream

Example Application: Video feed - P frames may be lost, I frames

3.2.2.2. Component Reliability

Setup Fail-over time

The time before a failure is detected and a replacement component is invoked. From the applications point of view this is the time it may take in exceptional circumstances for a channel to be setup. It is not the "normal" operating delay before a channel is created.

Type: Time

Strictest Requirement: Web server - 1 second

per stream

Example Application: Name lookup - 5 seconds

Mean time between failures

The mean time between two consecutive total failures of the channel.

Time Type:

Strictest Requirement: Indefinite Scope: per stream

Example Application: Telephony - 1000 hours

Fail over time during a stream

The time between a stream breaking and a replacement being set up.

Time Type:

Strictest Requirement: Equal to latency requirement

per stream Scope:

Example Application: File Transfer - 10sec

3.2.3. Ordering

Ordering type

Specifies what ordering must be preserved for the application

Type:

Enumeration timing, Enumeration sequencing, Enumeration causality

Meaning: Timing - the events are timestamped

> Global Per Sender none

Sequencing - the events are sequenced in

order of occurrence

Global Per Sender none

Causality - the events form a graph

relating cause and effect

Global Per Sender none

Strictest Requirement: Global, Global, Global

per stream Scope:

Example Application: Game - { none, per sender, global } (to

make sure being hit by bullet occurs

after the shot is fired!)

3.2.4. Timeliness

Hard real- time

There is a meta-requirement on timeliness. If hard real-time is required then the interpretation of all the other requirements changes. Failures to achieve the required timeliness must be

Bagnall, et al.

Informational

[Page 9]

reported before the communication is made. By contrast soft realtime means that there is no guarantee that an event will occur in time. However statistical measures can be used to indicate the probability of completion in the required time, and policies such as making sure the probability is 95% or better could be used.

Type: Boolean

Hard or Soft realtime Meaning:

Strictest Requirement: Hard

per stream

Example Application: Medical monitor - Hard

Synchronicity

To make sure that separate elements of a session are correctly synchronized with respect to each other

Type: Time

The maximum time drift between streams Meaning:

Strictest Requirement: 80ms for human perception

per stream pair/set

Example Application: TV lip-sync value 80ms

NB: the scope is not necessarily the same as the session. Some streams may no need to be sync'd, (say, a score ticker in a football

match

Burstiness

This is a measure of the variance of bandwidth requirements over time.

Type: Fraction Meaning:

Variation in b/w as fraction of b/w for

variable b/w communications

duty cycle (fraction of time at peak b/w)

for intermittent b/w communications.

Strictest Requirement: Variation = max b/w Duty cycle ~ 0

Scope:

per stream

Sharing video clips, with chat channel -Example Application:

sudden bursts as clips are swapped. Compressed Audio - difference between

silence and talking

NB: More detailed analysis of communication

flow (e.g. max rate of b/w change or

Fourier Transform of the b/w requirement) is possible but as complexity increases

usefulness and computability decrease.

Jitter

Jitter is a measure of variance in the time taken for communications to traverse from the sender (application) to the receiver, as seen from the application layer.

Type: Time

Meaning: Maximum permissible time variance

Strictest Requirement: <1ms

per stream

Example Application: audio streaming - <1ms</pre>

A jitter requirement implies that the

communication is a real-time stream. It makes relatively little sense for a file

transfer for example.

Expiry

This specifies how long the information being transferred remains valid for.

Type: Date

Date at which data expires Meaning:

Strictest Requirement: For ever per stream Scope:

Example Application: key distribution - now+3600 seconds (valid

for at least one hour)

Latency

Time between initiation and occurrence of an action from application perspective.

Type: Time

Strictest Requirement: Near zero for process control apps

per stream Scope:

Example Application: Audio conference 20ms

Where an action consists of several NB:

distinct sequential parts the latency budget must be split over those parts. For process control the requirement may take

any value.

Optimum Bandwidth

Bandwidth required to complete communication in time

Type: Bandwidth Strictest Requirement: No upper limit per stream Scope:

Example Application: Internet Phone 8kb/s

Tolerable Bandwidth

Minimum bandwidth that application can tolerate

Bandwidth Type: Strictest Requirement: No upper limit per stream

Example Application: Internet phone 4kb/s

Required by time and tolerance

Time communication should complete by and time when failure to complete renders communication useless (therefore abort).

Type: Date - preferred complete time, Date - essential complete time

Strictest Requirement: Both now. Scope: per stream

Example Application: Email - Preferred 5 minutes & Essential in

1 day

NB: Bandwidth * Duration = Size; only two of

these parameters may be specified. An API though could allow application authors to

think in terms of any two.

Host performance

Ability of host to create/consume communication

Type: Application benchmark

Meaning: Level of resources required by Application

Strictest Requirement: Full consumption

Scope: per stream

Example Application: Video - consume 15 frames a second NB: Host performance is complex since load,

media type, media quality, h/w assistance,

and encoding scheme all affect the

Bagnall, et al. Informational [Page 12]

processing load. These are difficult to predict prior to a communication starting. To some extent these will need to be measured and modified as the communication proceeds.

Frame size

Size of logical data packets from application perspective

data size

Strictest Requirement: 6 bytes (gaming)

per stream Scope:

Example Application: video = data size of single frame update

Content size

The total size of the content (not relevant for continuous media)

Type: data size

Strictest Requirement: N/A

per stream

Example Application: document transfer, 4kbytes

3.2.5. Session Control

Initiation

Which initiation mechanism will be used.

Type: Enumeration

Meaning: Announcement - session is publicly

announced via a mass distribution

system

Invitation - specific participants are explicitly invited, e.g. my email

Directive - specific participants are

forced to join the session

Strictest Requirement: Directive

Scope: per stream

Example Application: Corporate s/w update - Directive

Start Time

Time sender starts sending!

Type: Date Strictest Requirement: Now

Scope: per stream Example Application: FTP - at 3am

End Time

Type: Date Strictest Requirement: Now

per stream Example Application: FTP - Now+30mins

Duration

(end time) - (start time) = (duration), therefore only two of three should be specified.

Type:

Strictest Requirement: - Oms for discrete, indefinite for streams

per stream

Example Application: audio feed - 60mins

Active Time

Total time session is active, not including breaks

Type: Time

Strictest Requirement: equals duration per stream

Example Application: Spectator sport transmission

Session Burstiness

Expected level of burstiness of the session

Type: Fraction

Variance as a fraction of maximum bandwidth Meaning:

Strictest Requirement: =bandwidth per stream

Example Application: commentary & slide show: 90% of max

Atomic join

Session fails unless a certain proportion of the potential participants accept an invitation to join. Alternatively, may be specified as a specific numeric quorum.

Fraction (proportion required) or int Type:

(quorum)

Strictest Requirement: 1.0 (proportion)

Example Application: price list update, committee meeting

Scope: per stream or session

NB: whether certain participants are essential

is application dependent.

Late join allowed ?

Does joining a session after it starts make sense

Boolean Type: Strictest Requirement: allowed

per stream or session Example Application: game - not allowed

NB: An application may wish to define an alternate session if late join is not

allowed

Temporary leave allowed ?

Does leaving and then coming back make sense for session

Type: Boolean Strictest Requirement: allowed

per stream or session Example Application: FTP - not allowed

Late join with catch-up allowed ?

Is there a mechanism for a late joiner to see what they've missed

Boolean Type: Strictest Requirement: allowed

Scope: per stream or session

Example Application: sports event broadcast, allowed NB: An application may wish to define an alternate session if late join is not

allowed

Potential streams per session

Total number of streams that are part of session, whether being consumed or not

Type: Integer

Strictest Requirement: No upper limit per session

Example Application: football match mcast - multiple camera's,

commentary, 15 streams

Active streams per sessions (i.e. max app can handle)

Maximum number of streams that an application can consume simultaneously

Type: Integer

Strictest Requirement: No upper limit per session Scope:

Example Application: football match mcast - 6, one main video,

four user selected, one audio commentary

3.2.6. Session Topology

Note: topology may be dynamic. One of the challenges in designing adaptive protocol frameworks is to predict the topology before the first join.

Number of senders

The number of senders is a result the middleware may pass up to the application

Integer

Strictest Requirement: No upper limit per stream

network MUD - 100 Example Application:

Number of receivers

The number of receivers is a results the middleware may pass up to the application

Type: Integer

Strictest Requirement: No upper limit per stream

Example Application: video mcast - 100,000

Bagnall, et al.

Informational

[Page 16]

3.2.7. Directory

Fail-over timeout (see Reliability: fail-over time)

Mobility

Defines restrictions on when directory entries may be changed

Enumeration

Meaning: while entry is in use while entry in unused

never

Strictest Requirement: while entry is in use

per stream Scope:

Example Application: voice over mobile phone, while entry is in

use (as phone gets new address when

changing cell).

3.2.8. Security

The strength of any security arrangement can be stated as the expected cost of mounting a successful attack. This allows mechanisms such as physical isolation to be considered alongside encryption mechanisms. The cost is measured in an abstract currency, such as 1970 UD\$ (to inflation proof).

Security is an orthogonal requirement. Many requirements can have a security requirement on them which mandates that the cost of causing the system to fail to meet that requirement is more than the specified amount. In terms of impact on other requirements though, security does potentially have a large impact so when a system is trying to determine which mechanisms to use and whether the requirements can be met security will clearly be a major influence.

Authentication Strength

Authentication aims to ensure that a principal is who they claim to be. For each role in a communication, (e.g. sender, receiver) there is a strength for the authentication of the principle who has taken on that role. The principal could be a person, organization or other legal entity. It could not be a process since a process has no legal representation.

Type: Abstract Currency

Meaning: That the cost of hijacking a role is in excess of the specified amount. Each role

is a different requirement.

Strictest Requirement: budget of largest attacker

Scope: per stream

Example Application: inter-governmental conference

Tamper-proofing

This allows the application to specify how much security will be applied to ensuring that a communication is not tampered with. This is specified as the minimum cost of successfully tampering with the communication. Each non-security requirement has a tamper-proofing requirement attached to it.

Requirement: The cost of tampering with the communication is in excess of the specified amount.

Type:

Abstract Currency, Abstract Currency, Abstract Currency

Meaning: cost to alter or destroy data,

cost to replay data (successfully),

cost to interfere with timeliness.

Scope: per stream

Strictest Requirement: Each budget of largest attacker

Example Application: stock price feed

Non-repudiation strength

The non-repudiation strength defines how much care is taken to make sure there is a reliable audit trail on all interactions. It is measured as the cost of faking an audit trail, and therefore being able to "prove" an untrue event. There are a number of possible parameters of the event that need to be proved. The following list is not exclusive but shows the typical set of requirements.

1. Time 2. Ordering (when relative to other events) 3. Whom 4. What (the event itself)

There are a number of events that need to be provable. 1. sender proved sent 2. receiver proves received 3. sender proves received.

Type: Abstract Currency

Meaning: minimum cost of faking or denying an event

Strictest Requirement: Budget of largest attacker

per stream

Example Application: Online shopping system

Denial of service

There may be a requirement for some systems (999,911,112 emergency services access for example) that denial of service attacks cannot be launched. While this is difficult (maybe impossible) in many systems at the moment it is still a requirement, just one that can't be met.

Type: Abstract Currency

Cost of launching a denial of service Meaning: attack is greater than specified amount.

Strictest Requirement: budget of largest attacker

per stream

Example Application: web hosting, to prevent individual hackers

stalling system.

Action restriction

For any given communication there are a two actions, send and receive. Operations like adding to members to a group are done as a send to the membership list. Examining the list is a request to and receive from the list. Other actions can be generalized to send and receive on some communication, or are application level not comms level issues.

Type: Membership list/rule for each action. Meaning: predicate for determining permission for

role

Strictest Requirement: Send and receive have different policies.

per stream

Example Application: TV broadcast, sender policy defines

transmitter, receiver policy is null. Several actions may share the same

membership policy.

Privacy

NB:

Privacy defines how well obscured a principals identity is. This could be for any interaction. A list of participants may be obscured, a sender may obscure their identity when they send. There are also different types of privacy. For example knowing two messages were sent by the same person breaks the strongest type of privacy even if the identity of that sender is still unknown. For each "level" of privacy there is a cost associated with violating it. The requirement is that this cost is excessive for the attacker.

```
Type:
                         Abstract Currency,
                         Abstract Currency,
                         Abstract Currency,
                         Abstract Currency
Meaning:
                       Level of privacy, expected cost to violate
                       privacy level for:-
                       openly identified - this is the unprotected
                           case
                       anonymously identified - (messages from
                           the same sender can be linked)
                       unadvertised (but traceable) - meaning that
                           traffic can be detected and traced to
                           it's source or destination, this is a
                           breach if the very fact that two
                           specific principals are communicating
                           is sensitive.
                       undetectable
Strictest Requirement: All levels budget of attacker
Scope:
                       per stream
Example Application:
                       Secret ballot voting system
                       openly identified - budget of any
                           interested party
                       anonymously identified - zero
                       unadvertised - zero
                       undetectable - zero
```

Confidentiality

Confidentiality defines how well protected the content of a communication is from snooping.

Abstract Currency Type:

Level of Confidentiality, the cost of Meaning:

gaining illicit access to the content of a

stream

Strictest Requirement: budget of attacker

per stream Scope:

Example Application: Secure email - value of transmitted

information

Retransmit prevention strength

This is extremely hard at the moment. This is not to say it's not a requirement.

Type: Abstract Currency

Meaning: The cost of retransmitting a secure piece

of information should exceed the specified

amount.

Strictest Requirement: Cost of retransmitting value of

information

Scope: per stream

Membership Criteria

If a principal attempts to participate in a communication then a check will be made to see if it is allowed to do so. The requirement is that certain principals will be allowed, and others excluded. Given the application is being protected from network details there are only two types of specification available, per user, and per organization (where an organization may contain other organizations, and each user may be a member of multiple organizations). Rules could however be built on properties of a user, for example does the user own a key? Host properties could also be used, so users on slow hosts or hosts running the wrong OS could be excluded.

Type: Macros

Include or exclude Meaning:

users (list)

organizations (list)

hosts (list)

user properties (rule) org properties (rule) hosts properties (rule)

Strictest Requirement: List of individual users

per stream

Example Application: Corporate video-conference - organization

membership

Collusion prevention

Which aspects of collusion it is required to prevent. Collusion is defined as malicious co-operation between members of a secure session. Superficially, it would appear that collusion is not a relevant threat in a multicast, because everyone has the same information, however, wherever there is differentiation, it can be exploited.

Type: Abstract Currency, Abstract Currency, Abstract Currency

Bagnall, et al. Informational [Page 21]

Meaning: time race collusion - cost of colluding

key encryption key (KEK) sharing - cost of

colluding

sharing of differential QoS (not strictly collusion as across sessions not within

one) - cost of colluding

Strictest Requirement: For all threats cost attackers

combined resources

Scope: per stream

Example Application: A race where delay of the start signal may

> be allowed for, but one participant may fake packet delay while receiving the start

signal from another participant.

NB: Time race collusion is the most difficult

one to prevent. Also note that while these may be requirements for some systems this

does not mean there are necessarily

solutions. Setting tough requirements may result in the middleware being unable to

create a valid channel.

Fairness

Fairness is a meta-requirement of many other requirements. Of particular interest are Reliability and Timeliness requirements. When a communication is first created the creator may wish to specify a set of requirements for these parameters. Principals which join later may wish to set tighter limits. Fairness enforces a policy that any improvement is requirement by one principal must be matched by all others, in effect requirements can only be set for the whole group. This increases the likelihood that requirements of this kind will fail to be met. If fairness if not an issue then some parts of the network can use more friendly methods to achieve those simpler requirements.

Level of variance of the requirement that Type:

needs to be fair. For example, if the latency requirement states within 2

seconds, the level of fairness required may be that variations in latency are not more

than 0.1s. This has in fact become an issue in online gaming (e.g. Quake)

Meaning: The variance of performance with respect to

any other requirement is less than the

specified amount.

Scope: per stream, per requirement Example Application: Networked game, latency to receive

positions of players must be within 5ms for

all players.

Action on compromise

The action to take on detection of compromise (until security reassured).

Enumeration Type: Meaning: warn but continue

> pause abort

Scope: Per stream

Strictest Requirement: pause

Example Application: Secure video conference - if intruder

alert, everyone is warned, but they can continue while knowing not to discuss sensitive matters (cf. catering staff

during a meeting).

3.2.8.1. Security Dynamics

Security dynamics are the temporal properties of the security mechanisms that are deployed. They may affect other requirements such as latency or simply be a reflection of the security limitations of the system. The requirements are often concerned with abnormal circumstances (e.g. system violation).

Mean time between compromises

This is not the same as the strength of a system. A fairly weak system may have a very long time between compromises because it is not worth breaking in to, or it is only worth it for very few people. Mean time between compromises is a combination of strength, incentive and scale.

Type: Time

Scope: Per stream Strictest Requirement: indefinite

Example Application: Secure Shell - 1500hrs

Compromise detection time limit

The average time it must take to detect a compromise (one predicted in the design of the detection system, that is). Type: Time Scope: Per stream Strictest Requirement: Round trip time Example Application: Secure Shell - 2secs

Compromise recovery time limit

The maximum time it must take to re-seal the security after a breach. This combined with the compromise detection time limit defines how long the system must remain inactive to avoid more security breaches. For example if a compromise is detected in one minute, and recovery takes five, then one minute of traffic is now insecure and the members of the communication must remain silent for four minutes after detection while security is re-established.

Type: Time Scope: Per stream Strictest Requirement: 1 second

Example Application: Audio conference - 10 seconds

3.2.9. Payment & Charging

Total Cost

The total cost of communication must be limited to this amount. This would be useful for transfer as opposed to stream type applications.

Type: Currency

Maximum charge allowed Meaning: Scope: Per user per stream

Strictest Requirement: Free

Example Application: File Transfer: comms cost must be < 1p/Mb

Cost per Time

This is the cost per unit time. Some

applications may not be able to predict the duration of a communication. It may be more meaningful for those to be able to specify

price per time instead.

Currency per timeS Type:

Scope: Per user per stream

Strictest Requirement: Free

Example Application: Video Conference - 15p / minute

Bagnall, et al.

Informational

[Page 24]

Cost per Mb

This is the cost per unit of data. Some communications may be charged by the amount of data transferred. Some applications may prefer to specify requirements in this way.

Type: Currency per data size Scope: Per user per stream

Strictest Requirement: Free

Example Application: Email advertising - 15p / Mb

4. Security Considerations

See comprehensive security section of taxonomy.

5. References

[Bagnall98] Bagnall Peter, Poppitt Alan, Example LSMA classifications, BT Tech report, <URL:http://www.labs.bt.com/projects/mware/>

[limitations] Pullen, M., Myjak, M. and C. Bouwens, "Limitations of Internet Protocol Suite for Distributed Simulation in the Large Multicast Environment", RFC 2502, February 1999.

[rmodp] Open Distributed Processing Reference Model (RM-ODP), ISO/IEC 10746-1 to 10746-4 or ITU-T (formerly CCITT) X.901 to X.904. Jan 1995.

[blaze95] Blaze, Diffie, Rivest, Schneier, Shimomura, Thompson and Wiener, Minimal Key Lengths for Symmetric Ciphers to Provide Adequate Commercial Security, January 1996.

6. Authors' Addresses

Peter Bagnall c/o B54/77 BT Labs Martlesham Heath Ipswich, IP5 3RE England

EMail: pete@surfaceeffect.com

Home page: http://www.surfaceeffect.com/people/pete/

Bob Briscoe B54/74 BT Labs Martlesham Heath Ipswich, IP5 3RE England

Phone: +44 1473 645196 Fax: +44 1473 640929 EMail: bob.briscoe@bt.com

Home page: http://www.labs.bt.com/people/briscorj/

Alan Poppitt B54/77 BT Labs Martlesham Heath Ipswich, IP5 3RE England

Phone: +44 1473 640889 Fax: +44 1473 640929

EMail: apoppitt@jungle.bt.co.uk

Home page: http://www.labs.bt.com/people/poppitag/

7. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1999). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.