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## Description

Given a fitted model by the exact NPMLE procedure prediction is made at a new design point with lower and upper bounds for the prediction due to ambiguity of the assignment of mass within the cell enumerated polygons.

## Usage

bounds.KW2(object, ...)

## Arguments

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { object } & \text { is the fitted NPMLE object } \\ \ldots & \text { is expected to contain an argument newdata }\end{array}$

## Value

a list consisting of the following components:
phat Point prediction
lower lower bound prediction
upper upper bound prediction
xpoly indices of crossed polygons

## Author(s)

Jiaying Gu

## See Also

predict. KW2 for a simpler prediction function without bounds

## Description

Groeneboom and Hendrickx semiparametric binary response estimator (scalar case) score estimator based on NPMLE avoids any smoothing proposed by Groneboom and Hendrickx (2018).

## Usage

GH(b, X, y, eps = 0.001)

## Arguments

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { b } & \begin{array}{l}\text { parameter vector (fix last entry as a known number, usually } 1 \text { or }-1, \text { for normal- } \\ \text { ization) }\end{array} \\ \mathrm{X} & \text { design matrix } \\ \mathrm{y} & \text { binary response vector } \\ \text { eps } & \text { trimming tolerance parameter }\end{array}$

## Value

A list with components:

- evaluation of a score function at parameter value
- estimated standard error
- sindex single index linear predictor


## References

Groeneboom, P. and K. Hendrickx (2018) Current Status Linear Regression, Annals of Statistics, 46, 1415-1444,

## Description

Groeneboom and Hendrickx semiparametric binary response estimator (scalar case) score estimator based on NPMLE avoids any smoothing proposed by Groneboom and Hendrickx (2018).

## Usage

GH.se(bstar, X, y, eps = 0.001, hc = 2)

## Arguments

bstar parameter vector (fix last entry as a known number, usually 1 or -1 , for normalization)
$X \quad$ design matrix
y binary response vector
eps trimming tolerance parameter
hc kernel bandwidth (used for the standard error estimation)

## Value

A list with components:

- evaluation of a score function at parameter value
- estimated standard error
- sindex single index linear predictor


## References

Groeneboom, P. and K. Hendrickx (2018) Current Status Linear Regression, Annals of Statistics, 46, 1415-1444,

```
GK.control
```

Control parameters for Gautier-Kitamura bivariate random coefficient binary response

## Description

These parameters can be passed via the . . argument of the rcbr function. defaults as suggested in Gautier and Kitamura matlab code

## Usage

GK.control(n, $\left.u=-20: 20 / 10, v=-20: 20 / 10, T=3, T X=10, M n=1 / \log (n)^{\wedge} 2\right)$

## Arguments

$n$
u
v
T
TX Truncation parameter for denomerator must grow "sufficiently slowly with n"
Mn Trimming parameter "chosen to go to 0 slowly with n"

## Value

updated list

## Description

Modal choice data for journey to work in the Washington DC area from the late 1960's. The variables are: * 'DCOST': difference in cost of car versus transit (transit - car) * 'CARS': number of cars at home * 'DOVTT': difference in out of vehicle time (transit - car) * 'DIVTT': difference in in vehicle time (transit - car) * 'DEPEND': coded 1 if by car, 0 if by mass transit

## Usage

Horowitz93

## Format

A data frame with 842 observations on 5 variables:

## Source

https://www.gams.com/latest/gamslib_ml/libhtml/gamslib_mws.html

## References

Horowitz, J L, (1993) Semiparametric estimation of a work-trip mode choice model. Journal of Econometrics, 58, 49-70.

## Description

These parameters can be passed via the . . argument of the rcbr function. The first three arguments are only relevant if full cell enumeration is employed for bivariate version of the NPMLE.

## Usage

KW. control(
$u v=$ NULL,
$u=$ NULL ,
$v=$ NULL,
initial $=c(0,0)$, epsbound $=1$, epstol = 1e-07, presolve = 1, verb = 0
)

## Arguments

uv
u
v
initial initial point for cell enumeration algorithm
epsbound controls how close witness points can be to vertices of a cell
epstol zero tolerance for witness solutions
presolve controls whether Mosek does a presolve of the LP
verb controls verbosity of Mosek solver 0 implies it is quiet

## Value

updated list

## Description

Interface function for calls to optimizer from various REBayes functions There is currently only one option for the optimization that based on Mosek. It relies on the Rmosek interface to R see installation instructions in the Readme file in the inst directory of this package. This version of the function is intended to work with versions of Mosek after 7.0. A more experimental option employing the pogs package available from https://github.com/foges/pogs and employing an ADMM (Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers) approach has been deprecated, those interested could try installing version 1.4 of REBayes, and following the instructions provided there.

## Usage

KWDual(A, d, w, ...)

## Arguments

A
d constraint vector
w weights for $x$ should sum to one.
... other parameters passed to control optimization: These may include rtol the relative tolerance for dual gap convergence criterion, verb to control verbosity desired from mosek, verb $=0$ is quiet, verb $=5$ produces a fairly detailed iteration $\log$, control is a control list consisting of sublists iparam, dparam, and sparam, containing elements of various mosek control parameters. See the Rmosek and Mosek manuals for further details. A prime example is rtol which should eventually be deprecated and folded into control, but will persist for a while for compatibility reasons. The default for $r$ tol is $1 e-6$, but in some cases it is desirable to tighten this, say to $1 \mathrm{e}-10$. Another example that motivated the introduction of control would be control = list (iparam = list (num_threads $=1$ ) ), which forces Mosek to use a single threaded process. The default allows Mosek to uses multiple threads (cores) if available, which is generally desirable, but may have unintended (undesirable) consequences when running simulations on clusters.

## Value

Returns a list with components:

| $f$ | dual solution vector, the mixing density |
| :--- | :--- |
| $g$ | primal solution vector, the mixture density evaluated at the data points |
| logLik | log likelihood |
| status | return status from Mosek |

. Mosek termination messages are treated as warnings from an R perspective since solutions producing, for example, MSK_RES_TRM_STALL: The optimizer is terminated due to slow progress, may still provide a satisfactory solution, especially when the return status variable is "optimal".

## Author(s)

## R. Koenker

## References

Koenker, R and I. Mizera, (2013) "Convex Optimization, Shape Constraints, Compound Decisions, and Empirical Bayes Rules," JASA, 109, 674-685.

Mosek Aps (2015) Users Guide to the R-to-Mosek Optimization Interface, https: //docs .mosek. com/8.1/rmosek/index.html.

Koenker, R. and J. Gu, (2017) REBayes: An R Package for Empirical Bayes Mixture Methods, Journal of Statistical Software, 82, 1-26.

```
neighbours Check Neighbouring Cell Counts
```


## Description

Compare cell counts for each cell with its neighbours and return indices of the locally maximal cells.

## Usage

neighbours(SignVector)

## Arguments

SignVector $n$ by m matrix of signs produced by NICER

## Value

Column indices of the cells that are locally maximal, i.e. those whose neighbours have strictly fewer cell counts. The corresponding interior points of these cells can be used as potential mass points for the NPMLE function rcbr.fit.KW.
NICER New Incremental Cell Enumeration (in) $R$

## Description

Find interior points and cell counts of the polygons (cells) formed by a line arrangement.

## Usage

$\operatorname{NICER}(A, b$, initial $=c(0,0)$, verb $=$ TRUE, epsbound $=1$, epstol $=1 \mathrm{e}-07$ )

## Arguments

A is a $n$ by 2 matrix of slope coefficients
b is an $n$ vector of intercept coefficients
initial origin for the interior point vectors $w$
verb controls verbosity of Mosek solution
epsbound is a scalar tolerance controlling how close the witness point can be to an edge of the polytope
epstol is a scalar tolerance for the LP convergence

## Details

Modified version of the algorithm of Rada and Cerny (2018). The main modifications include preprocessing as hyperplanes are added to determine which new cells are created, thereby reducing the number of calls to the witness function to solve LPs, and treatment of degenerate configurations as well as those in "general position." When the hyperplanes are in general position the number of polytopes (cells) is determined by the elegant formula of Zazlavsky (1975)

$$
m=\binom{n}{d}+n+1
$$

. In degenerate cases, i.e. when hyperplanes are not in general position, the number of cells is more complicated as considered by Alexanderson and Wetzel (1981). The function polycount is provided to check agreement with their results in an effort to aid in the selection of tolerances for the witness function. Current version is intended for use with $d=2$, but the algorithm is adaptable to $d>2$, and there is an experimental version called NICERd in the package.

## Value

A list with components:

- SignVector a $n$ by m matrix of signs determining position of cell relative to each hyperplane.
- w a d by m matrix of interior points for the m cells


## References

Alexanderson, G.L and J.E. Wetzel, (1981) Arrangements of planes in space, Discrete Math, 34, 219-240. Gu, J. and R. Koenker (2020) Nonparametric Maximum Likelihood Methods for Binary Response Models with Random Coefficients, J. Am. Stat Assoc Rada, M. and M. Cerny (2018) A new algorithm for the enumeration of cells of hyperplane arrangements and a comparison with Avis and Fukada's reverse search, SIAM J. of Discrete Math, 32, 455-473. Zaslavsky, T. (1975) Facing up to arrangements: Face-Count Formulas for Partitions of Space by Hyperplanes, Memoirs of the AMS, Number 154.

## Examples

```
{
if(packageVersion("Rmosek") > "8.0.0"){
    A = cbind(c(1,-1,1,-2,2,1,3), c(1,1,1,1,1,-1,-2))
    B = matrix(c(3,1,7,-2,7,-1,1), ncol = 1)
    plot(NULL,xlim = c(-10,10),ylim = c(-10,10))
    for (i in 1:nrow(A))
    abline(a = B[i,1]/A[i,2], b = -A[i,1]/A[i,2],col = i)
    f = NICER(A, B)
    for (j in 1:ncol(f$SignVector))
            points(f$w[1,j], f$w[2,j], cex = 0.5)
    }
}
```

NICERd

New (Accelerated) Incremental Cell Enumeration (in) $R$

## Description

Find interior points and cell counts of the polygons (polytopes) formed by a hyperplane arrangement.

## Usage

```
NICERd(
    A,
    b,
    initial = rep(0, ncol(A)),
    verb = TRUE,
    accelerate = FALSE,
    epsbound = 1,
    epstol = 1e-07
)
```


## Arguments

A
b
initial
verb
accelerate allows the option to turn off acceleration step (turned off by default)
epsbound is a scalar tolerance controlling how close the witness point can be to an edge of the polytope
epstol is a scalar tolerance for the LP convergence

## Details

Modified version of the algorithm of Rada and Cerny (2018). The main modifications include preprocessing as hyperplanes are added to determine which new cells are created, thereby reducing the number of calls to the witness function to solve LPs, and treatment of degenerate configurations as well as those in "general position." (for $d=2$ for now). When the hyperplanes are in general position the number of cells (polytopes) is determined by the elegant formula of Zaslavsky (1975)

$$
m=\binom{n}{d}+n+1
$$

. In degenerate cases, i.e. when hyperplanes are not in general position, the number of cells is more complicated as considered by Alexanderson and Wetzel (1981). The function polycount is provided to check agreement with their results in an effort to aid in the selection of tolerances for the witness function for arrangement in $d=2$. The current version is intended mainly for use with $d=2$, but the algorithm is adapted to the general position setting with $d>2$, although it requires hyperplanes in general position and may require some patience when both the sample size is large. if hyperplanes not general position (i.e. all cross at origin), turn off accelerate

## Value

A list with components:

- SignVector a $n$ by matrix of signs determining position of cell relative to each hyperplane.
- w a d by m matrix of interior points for the m cells


## References

Alexanderson, G.L and J.E. Wetzel, (1981) Arrangements of planes in space, Discrete Math, 34, 219-240. Rada, M. and M. Cerny (2018) A new algorithm for the enumeration of cells of hyperplane arrangements and a comparison with Avis and Fukada's reverse search, SIAM J. of Discrete Math, 32, 455-473. Zaslavsky, T. (1975) Facing up to arrangements: Face-Count Formulas for Partitions of Space by Hyperplanes, Memoirs of the AMS, Number 154.

## Description

Given a fitted model by the Guatier-Kitamura procedure plot the estimated density contours

## Usage

\#\# S3 method for class 'GK'
plot(x, ...)

## Arguments

$\begin{array}{ll}x & \text { is the fitted GK object } \\ \ldots & \text { other arguments to pass to contour, notably e.g. add }=\text { TRUE }\end{array}$

## Value

nothing (invisibly)

## Description

Given a fitted model by the rcbr NPMLE procedure plot the estimated mass points

## Usage

\#\# S3 method for class 'KW2'
plot (x, smooth $=0$, pal $=$ NULL, inches $=1 / 6, N=25$, tol $=0.001, \ldots$ )

## Arguments

X

## smooth

pal

## inches

N
tol
...
is the fitted NPMLE object
is a parameter to control bandwidth of the smoothing if a contour plot of the estimated density is desired, default is no smoothing and only the mass points of the discrete estimate are plotted.
a color palette
as used in symbols to control size of mass points
scaling of the color palette
tolerance for size of mass points
other arguments to pass to symbols, notably e.g. add = TRUE

## Value

nothing (invisibly)
polycount Check Cell Count for degenerate hyperplane arrangements

## Description

When the hyperplane arrangement is degenerate, i.e. not in general position, the number of distinct cells can be checked against the formula of Alexanderson and Wetzel (1981).

## Usage

polycount(A, b, maxints = 10)

## Arguments

A
is a $n$ by $m$ matrix of hyperplane slope coefficients
b is an n vector of hyperplane intercept coefficients
maxints is maximum number of lines allowed to cross at the same vertex

## Value

number of distinct cells

## References

Alexanderson, G.L and J.E. Wetzel, (1981) Arrangements of planes in space, Discrete Math, 34, 219-240.

```
polyzone Identify crossed polygons from existing cells when adding a new line
    (works only for dim = 2)
```


## Description

Given an existing cell configuration represented by the Signvector and associated interior points w, identify the polygons crossed by the next new line.

## Usage

polyzone(SignVector, w, A, b)

## Arguments

| SignVector | current SignvVctor matrix |
| :--- | :--- |
| w | associated interior points |
| A | design matrix for full problem aka [1,z] |
| b | associated final column of design matrix aka [v] |

## Value

vector of indices of crossed polygons

## Author(s)

Jiaying Gu
prcbr Profiling estimation methods for RCBR models

## Description

Profile likelihood and (GEE) score methods for estimation of random coefficient binary response models. This function is a wrapper for rcbr that uses the offset argument to implement estimation of additional fixed parameters. It may be useful to restrict the domain of the optimization over the profiled parameters, this can be accomplished, at least for box constraints by setting omethod $=$ "L-BFGS-B" and specifying the lo and up accordingly.

## Usage

```
prcbr(
    formula,
    b0,
    data,
    logL = TRUE,
    omethod = "BFGS",
    lo = -Inf,
    up = Inf,
    ...
    )
```


## Arguments

formula is of the extended form enabled by the Formula package. In the Cosslett, or current status, model the formula takes the form $\mathrm{y} \sim \mathrm{v} \mid \mathrm{z}$ where v is the covariate designated to have coefficient one, and $z$ is another covariate or group of covariates that are assumed fixed coefficients that are to be estimated.

| b0 | is either an initial value of the parameter for the Z covariates or a matrix of such <br> values, in which case optimization occurs over this discrete set, when there is <br> only one covariate then b0 is either scalar, or a vector. |
| :--- | :--- |
| data | data frame for formula variables <br> logL |
| if logL is TRUE the log likelihood is optimized, otherwise a GEE score criterion |  |
| is minimized. |  |

## Value

a list comprising the components:
bopt output of the optimizer for the profiled parameters beta
fopt output of the optimizer for the random coefficients eta

```
predict.GK Prediction of Marginal Effects
```


## Description

Given a fitted model by the Gautier Kitamura procedure predictions are made at new design points given by the newdata argument.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'GK'
predict(object, ...)
```


## Arguments

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { object } & \text { is the fitted object of class "GK" } \\ \ldots & \text { is expected to contain an argument newdata }\end{array}$

## Value

a vector pf predicted probabilities

## Description

Given a fitted model by the rcbr NPMLE procedure predictions are made at new design points given by the newdata argument.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'KW2'
predict(object, ...)
```


## Arguments

object is the fitted NPMLE object
$\ldots \quad$ is expected to contain an argument newdata

## Value

a vector pf predicted probabilities

## See Also

bound. KW2 for a prediction function with bounds
rcbr

## Description

Two methods are implemented for estimating binary response models with random coefficients: A nonparametric maximum likelihood method proposed by Cosslett (1986) and extended by Ichimura and Thompson (1998), and a (hemispherical) deconvolution method proposed by Gautier and and Kitamura (2013). The former is closely related to the NPMLE for mixture models of Kiefer and Wolfowitz (1956). The latter is an R translation of the matlab implementation of Gautier and Kitamura.

## Usage

rcbr(formula, data, subset, offset, mode = "GK", ...)

## Arguments

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { formula } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { an expression of the generic form } y \sim z+v \text { where } y \text { is the observed binary re- } \\
\text { sponse, } z \text { is an observed covariate with a random coefficient, and } v \text { is an ob- } \\
\text { served covariate with coefficient normalize to be one. If } z \text { is not present then } \\
\text { the model has only a random "intercept" coefficient and thus corresponds to the } \\
\text { basic model of Cosslett (1983); this model is also referred to as the current sta- } \\
\text { tus model in the biostatistics literature, see Groeneboom and Hendrikx }(2016) \text {. } \\
\text { When } z \text { is present there are random coefficients associated with both the inter- } \\
\text { cept and } z \text {. } \\
\text { is a data.frame containing the data referenced in the formula. } \\
\text { data } \\
\text { subset }
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\text { specifies a subsample of the data used for fitting the model } \\
\text { offset }
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { specifies a fixed shift in v representing the potential effect of other covariates } \\
\text { having fixed coefficients that may be useful for profile likelihood computations. } \\
\text { (Should be vector of the same length as v. }
\end{array} \\
\text { mode } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { controls whether the Gautier and Kitamura, "GK", or Kiefer and Wolfowitz, } \\
\text { "KW" methods are used. } \\
\text { miscellaneous other arguments to control fitting. See GK. control and KW. control }
\end{array} \\
\text { for further details. }
\end{array}
$$

## Details

The predict method produces estimates of the probability of a "success" $(y=1)$ for a particular vector, ( $z, v$ ), when aggregated over the estimated distribution of random coefficients.
The logLik produces an evaluation of the log likelihood value associated with a fitted model.

## Value

of object of class GK, KW1, with components described in further detail in the respective fitting functions.

## Author(s)

Jiaying Gu and Roger Koenker

## References

Kiefer, J. and J. Wolfowitz (1956) Consistency of the Maximum Likelihood Estimator in the Presence of Infinitely Many Incidental Parameters, Ann. Math. Statist, 27, 887-906.
Cosslett, S. (1983) Distribution Free Maximum Likelihood Estimator of the Binary Choice Model, Econometrica, 51, 765-782.

Gautier, E. and Y. Kitamura (2013) Nonparametric estimation in random coefficients binary choice models, Ecoonmetrica, 81, 581-607.
Gu, J. and R. Koenker (2020) Nonparametric Maximum Likelihood Methods for Binary Response Models with Random Coefficients, J. Am. Stat Assoc
Groeneboom, P. and K. Hendrickx (2016) Current Status Linear Regression, preprint available from https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.00202.

Ichimura, H. and T. S. Thompson, (1998) Maximum likelihood estimation of a binary choice model with random coefficients of unknown distribution," Journal of Econometrics, 86, 269-295.

## Examples

```
{
if(packageVersion("Rmosek") > "8.0.0"){
    # Simple Test Problem for rcbr
    n <- 100
    B0 = rbind(c(0.7,-0.7,1),c(-0.7,0.7,1))
    z <- rnorm(n)
    v <- rnorm(n)
    s <- sample(0:1, n, replace = TRUE)
    XB0 <- cbind(1,z,v) %*% t(B0)
    u <- s * XB0[,1] + (1-s) * XB0[,2]
    y <- (u > 0) - 0
    D <- data.frame(z = z, v = v, y = y)
    f <- rcbr(y ~ z + v, mode = "KW", data = D)
    plot(f)
    # Simple Test Problem for rcbr
    set.seed(15)
    n <- 100
    B0 = rbind(c(0.7,-0.7,1),c(-0.7,0.7,1))
    z <- rnorm(n)
    v <- rnorm(n)
    s <- sample(0:1, n, replace = TRUE)
    XB0 <- cbind(1,z,v) %*% t(B0)
    u <- s * XB0[,1] + (1-s) * XB0[,2]
    y <- (u > 0) - 0
    D <- data.frame(z = z, v = v, y = y)
    f <- rcbr(y ~ z + v, mode = "GK", data = D)
    contour(f$u, f$v, matrix(f$w, length(f$u)))
    points(x = 0.7, y = -0.7, col = 2)
    points(x = -0.7, y = 0.7, col = 2)
    f <- rcbr(y ~ z + v, mode = "GK", data = D, T = 7)
    contour(f$u, f$v, matrix(f$w, length(f$u)))
    points(x = 0.7, y = -0.7, col = 2)
    points(x = -0.7, y = 0.7, col = 2)
    }
}
```

rcbr.fit

## Description

Two methods are implemented for estimating binary response models with random coefficients: A nonparametric maximum likelihood method proposed by Cosslett (1986) and extended by Ichimura and Thompson (1998), and a (hemispherical) deconvolution method proposed by Gautier and and Kitamura (2013). The former is closely related to the NPMLE for mixture models of Kiefer and

Wolfowitz (1956). The latter is an R translation of the matlab implementation of Gautier and Kitamura.

## Usage

```
rcbr.fit(x, y, offset = NULL, mode = "KW", control)
```


## Arguments

x
design matrix
$y \quad$ binary response vector
offset specifies a fixed shift in v representing the potential effect of other covariates having fixed coefficients that may be useful for profile likelihood computations. (Should be vector of the same length as $v$.
mode controls whether the Gautier and Kitamura, "GK", or Kiefer and Wolfowitz, "KW" methods are used.
control control parameters for fitting methods See GK.control and KW.control for further details.

## Details

The predict method produces estimates of the probability of a "success" $(\mathrm{y}=1)$ for a particular vector, $(z, v)$, when aggregated over the estimated distribution of random coefficients.

## Value

of object of class GK, KW1, with components described in further detail in the respective fitting functions.

## Author(s)

Jiaying Gu and Roger Koenker

## References

Kiefer, J. and J. Wolfowitz (1956) Consistency of the Maximum Likelihood Estimator in the Presence of Infinitely Many Incidental Parameters, Ann. Math. Statist, 27, 887-906.

Cosslett, S. (1983) Distribution Free Maximum Likelihood Estimator of the Binary Choice Model, Econometrica, 51, 765-782. Gautier, E. and Y. Kitamura (2013) Nonparametric estimation in random coefficients binary choice models, Ecoonmetrica, 81, 581-607.
Groeneboom, P. and K. Hendrickx (2016) Current Status Linear Regression, preprint available from https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.00202.

Ichimuma, H. and T. S. Thompson, (1998) Maximum likelihood estimation of a binary choice model with random coefficients of unknown distribution," Journal of Econometrics, 86, 269-295.

```
rcbr.fit.GK Gautier and Kitamura (2013) bivariate random coefficient binary re- sponse
```


## Description

This is an implementation based on the matlab version of Gautier and Kitamura's deconvolution method for the bivariate random coefficient binary response model. Methods based on the fitted object are provided for predict, logLik and plot.requires orthopolynom package for Gegenbauer polynomials

## Usage

rcbr.fit. GK (X, y, control)

## Arguments

X the design matrix expected to have an intercept column of ones as the first column.
$y$ the binary response.
control is a list of tuning parameters for the fitting,see GK. control for further details.

## Value

a list with components:
u grid values
$\mathbf{v}$ grid values
$\mathbf{w}$ estimated function values on $2 \mathrm{~d} u \times v$ grid
$\mathbf{X}$ design matrix
$\mathbf{y}$ response vector

## Author(s)

Gautier and Kitamura for original matlab version, Jiaying Gu and Roger Koenker for the R translation.

## References

Gautier, E. and Y. Kitamura (2013) Nonparametric estimation in random coefficients binary choice models, Ecoonmetrica, 81, 581-607.

```
rcbr.fit.KW1
```

NPMLE fitting for the Cosslett random coefficient binary response model

## Description

This is the original one dimensional version of the Cosslett model, also known as the current status model:

$$
P(y=1 \mid v)=\int I(\eta>v) d F(\eta)
$$

invoked with the formula $y \sim v$. By default the algorithm computes a vector of potential locations for the mass points of $\hat{F}$ by finding interior points of the intervals between the ordered v , and then solving a convex optimization problem to determine these masses. Alternatively, a vector of predetermined locations can be passed via the control argument. Additional covariate effects can be accommodated by either specifying a fixed offset in the call to rcbr or by using the profile likelihood function prcbr.

## Usage

rcbr.fit.KW1 (X, y, control)

## Arguments

$X \quad$ the design matrix expected to have an intercept column of ones as the first column, the last column is presumed to contain values of the covariate that is designated to have coefficient one.
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{y} & \text { the binary response. } \\ \text { control } & \text { is a list of parameters for the fitting, see KW. control for further details. }\end{array}$

## Value

a list with components:

- x evaluation points for the fitted distribution
- y estimated mass associated with the $v$ points
- $\log$ Lik the loglikelihood value of the fit
- status mosek solution status


## Author(s)

Jiaying Gu and Roger Koenker

## References

Gu, J. and R. Koenker (2018) Nonparametric maximum likelihood estimation of the random coefficients binary choice model, preprint.

## Description

Exact NPMLE fitting requires that the uv argument contain a matrix whose rows represent points in the interior of the locally maximal polytopes determined by the hyperplane arrangement of the observations. If it is not provided it will be computed afresh here; since this can be somewhat time consuming, uv is included in the returned object so that it can be reused if desired. Approximate NPMLE fitting can be achieved by specifying an equally spaced grid of points at which the NPMLE can assign mass using the arguments $u$ and $v$. If the design matrix $X$ contains only 2 columns, so we have the Cosslett, aka current status, model then the polygons in the prior description collapse to intervals and the default method computes the locally maximal count intervals and passes their interior points to the optimizer of the log likelihood. Alternatively, as in the bivariate case one can specify a grid to obtain an approximate solution.

## Usage

rcbr.fit.KW2(x, y, control)

## Arguments

$x \quad$ the design matrix expected to have an intercept column of ones as the first column, the last column is presumed to contain values of the covariate that is designated to have coefficient one.
$y$ the binary response.
control is a list of parameters for the fitting, see KW. control for further details.

## Value

a list with components:

- uv evaluation points for the fitted distribution
- W estimated mass associated with the uv points
- $\operatorname{logLik}$ the loglikelihood value of the fit
- status mosek solution status


## Author(s)

Jiaying Gu and Roger Koenker

## References

Gu, J. and R. Koenker (2018) Nonparametric maximum likelihood estimation of the random coefficients binary choice model, preprint.

```
witness Find witness point
```


## Description

Find (if possible) an interior point of a polytope solving a linear program

## Usage

witness(A, b, s, epsbound $=1$, epstol $=1 \mathrm{e}-07$, presolve $=1$, verb $=0$ )

## Arguments

A
b
s Is an $n$ vector of signs.
epsbound Is a scalar tolerance controlling how close the witness point can be to an edge of the polytope.
epstol Is a scalar tolerance for the LP convergence.
presolve Controls whether Mosek should presolve the LP.
verb Controls verbosity of Mosek solution.

## Details

Solves LP: max over w,eps eps |SAw - eps $>=\mathrm{Sb}, 0<\mathrm{eps}<=$ epsbound S is $\operatorname{diag}(\mathrm{s})$, if at the solution eps $>0$, then $w$ is a valid interior point otherwise the LP fails to find an interior point, another $s$ must be tried. Constructs a problem formulation that can be passed to Rmosek for solution.

## Value

List with components:

- w proposed interior point at solution
- fail indicator of whether $w$ is a valid interior point


## Index

```
* datasets
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prcbr, 14
predict.GK,15
predict.KW2,16
rcbr,16
rcbr.fit,18
rcbr.fit.GK, 20
rcbr.fit.KW1,21
rcbr.fit.KW2, 22
```

witness, 23

