

# Package ‘biogeom’

August 7, 2022

**Type** Package

**Title** Biological Geometries

**Version** 1.0.9

**Date** 2022-08-07

**Author** Peijian Shi [aut, cre],  
Johan Gielis [aut],  
Brady K. Quinn [aut]

**Maintainer** Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>

**Imports** spatstat.geom (>= 2.4-0)

**Description** Is used to simulate and fit biological geometries. ‘biogeom’ incorporates several novel universal parametric equations that can generate the profiles of bird eggs, flowers, linear and lanceolate leaves, seeds, starfish, and tree-rings (Gielis (2003) <[doi:10.3732/ajb.90.3.333](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.90.3.333)>; Shi et al. (2020) <[doi:10.3390/sym12040645](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040645)>), three growth-rate curves representing the ontogenetic growth trajectories of animals and plants against time, and the axially symmetrical and integral forms of all these functions (Shi et al. (2017) <[doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012)>; Shi et al. (2021) <[doi:10.3390/sym13081524](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13081524)>). The optimization method proposed by Nelder and Mead (1965) <[doi:10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308](https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308)> was used to estimate model parameters. ‘biogeom’ includes several real data sets of the boundary coordinates of natural shapes, including avian eggs, fruit, lanceolate and ovate leaves, tree rings, seeds, and sea stars, and can be potentially applied to other natural shapes. ‘biogeom’ can quantify the conspecific or interspecific similarity of natural outlines, and provides information with important ecological and evolutionary implications for the growth and form of living organisms. Please see Shi et al. (2022) <[doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)> for details.

**Depends** R (>= 4.2.0)

**License** GPL (>= 2)

**NeedsCompilation** no

**Repository** CRAN

**Date/Publication** 2022-08-07 15:00:02 UTC

## R topics documented:

adjdata . . . . .	2
areaGE . . . . .	5
areaovate . . . . .	7
bambooleaves . . . . .	8
bilat . . . . .	9
biogeom . . . . .	14
curveGE . . . . .	17
curveNRGE . . . . .	19
curveovate . . . . .	21
curvePE . . . . .	23
eggs . . . . .	25
fitGE . . . . .	26
fitNRGE . . . . .	31
fitovate . . . . .	34
fitPE . . . . .	38
fitsigmoid . . . . .	42
fracdim . . . . .	44
GE . . . . .	46
ginkgoseed . . . . .	49
kp . . . . .	50
lmPE . . . . .	51
MbetaE . . . . .	55
MBriereE . . . . .	57
MLRFE . . . . .	59
Neocinnamomum . . . . .	62
NRGE . . . . .	63
PE . . . . .	65
shoots . . . . .	67
sigmoid . . . . .	69
starfish . . . . .	70
TGE . . . . .	71
TSE . . . . .	74
veins . . . . .	76
whitespruce . . . . .	77
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>80</b>

---

## Index

---

**adjdata**

*Boundary Data Adjustment of A Polygon*

---

### Description

`adjdata` adjusts the data points in counterclockwise order based on the shortest distance method.

**Usage**

```
adjdata(x, y, ub.np = 2000, times = 1.2, len.pro = 1/20, index.sp = 1)
```

**Arguments**

x	the <i>x</i> coordinates of points on a polygon's boundary.
y	the <i>y</i> coordinates of points on a polygon's boundary.
ub.np	the upper bound of the number of points eventually retained on the polygon's boundary.
times	the number of times un.np is initially retained as the number of points.
len.pro	the proportion of the distance between any two points to the maximum distance between the points on the polygon's boundary, which is used to determine whether the second point needs to be deleted.
index.sp	the index of the starting point of a group of indices that regularly divide the number of points on the polygon's boundary into ub.np parts.

**Details**

When  $\text{ub.np} > \text{length}(x)$ ,  $\text{length}(x)$  points on the polygon's boundary are retained. The [quantile](#) function in package **stats** is used to carry out the regular division of data points. From the starting point, the second point is the one that has the shortest distance from the former. When the distance between the two points is larger than *len.pro* multiplied by the maximum distance between points on the polygon's boundary, the second point is deleted from the coordinates. Then, the third point that has the shortest distance from the first point is defined as the second point. If the distance between the first point and the second point is no more than *len.pro* multiplied by the maximum distance, the first and second points are recorded in a new matrix for the coordinates of the polygon, and the second point is defined as the first point in the old matrix for the coordinates of the polygon. The shortest distance method is then used to look for a third point that meets the requirement.

**Value**

x	the <i>x</i> coordinates of points eventually retained on the polygon's boundary.
y	the <i>y</i> coordinates of points eventually retained on the polygon's boundary.

**Note**

The initial boundary data of a polygon can be obtained by running the M-file based on Matlab (version  $\geq 2009a$ ) developed by Shi et al. (2018) and Su et al. (2019) for a .bmp black and white image of the polygon. See references below.

**Author(s)**

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14862
- Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Li, Y., Zhang, L., Lin, S., Gielis, J. (2018) General leaf-area geometric formula exists for plants - Evidence from the simplified Gielis equation. *Forests* 9, 714. doi:10.3390/f9110714
- Su, J., Niklas, K.J., Huang, W., Yu, X., Yang, Y., Shi, P. (2019) Lamina shape does not correlate with lamina surface area: An analysis based on the simplified Gielis equation. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 19, e00666. doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00666

## Examples

```

data(eggs)
uni.C1 <- sort( unique(eggs$Code) )
ind1   <- 2
Data1  <- eggs[eggs$Code==uni.C1[ind1], ]
x0     <- Data1$x
y0     <- Data1$y

Res1   <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=2000, times=1.2, len.pro=1/20)
x1     <- Res1$x
y1     <- Res1$y

dev.new()
plot( x1, y1, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")),
      pch=1, col=1 )

Res2   <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=40, times=1, len.pro=1/2, index.sp=20)
x2     <- Res2$x
y2     <- Res2$y

Res3   <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=100, times=1, len.pro=1/2, index.sp=100)
x3    <- Res3$x
y3    <- Res3$y

dev.new()
plot( x2, y2, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")),
      pch=1, col=4 )
points( x3, y3, col=2)

data(starfish)

uni.C2 <- sort( unique(starfish$Code) )
ind2   <- 2
Data2  <- starfish[starfish$Code==uni.C2[ind2], ]
x4     <- Data2$x
y4     <- Data2$y

```

```

dev.new()
plot( x4, y4, asp=1, type="l", cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

Res4 <- adjdata(x4, y4, ub.np=500, times=1.2, len.pro=1/20)
x5   <- Res4$x
y5   <- Res4$y

dev.new()
plot( x5, y5, asp=1, type="l", cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

```

areaGE

*Area Calculation for the Gielis Curve Within [0, 2 $\pi$ )*

## Description

areaGE is used to calculate the area of the polygon generated by the Gielis curve within  $[0, 2\pi)$ .

## Usage

```
areaGE(expr, P, m = 1, simpver = NULL,
       nval = 1, subdivisions = 100L,
       rel.tol = .Machine$double.eps^0.25,
       abs.tol = rel.tol, stop.on.error = TRUE,
       keep.xy = FALSE, aux = NULL)
```

## Arguments

expr	the original (or twin) Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.
P	the parameters of the original (or twin) Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.
m	the given $m$ value that determines the number of angles of the Gielis curve within $[0, 2\pi)$ .
simpver	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original (or twin) Gielis equation.
nval	the specified value for $n_1$ or $n_2$ or $n_3$ in the simplified versions.
subdivisions	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
rel.tol	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
abs.tol	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
stop.on.error	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
keep.xy	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
aux	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .

## Details

The arguments of `P`, `m`, `simpver`, and `nval` should correspond to `expr` (i.e., GE or TGE). Please note the differences in the simplified version number and the number of parameters between GE and TGE.

## Value

The area of the polygon within  $[0, 2\pi]$  generated by the original (or twin) Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Note

`simpver` in GE is different from that in TGE.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Gielis, J. (2003) A generic geometric transformation that unifies a wide range of natural and abstract shapes. *American Journal of Botany* 90, 333–338. [doi:10.3732/ajb.90.3.333](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.90.3.333)
- Li, Y., Quinn, B.K., Gielis, J., Li, Y., Shi, P. (2022) Evidence that supertriangles exist in nature from the vertical projections of *Koelreuteria paniculata* fruit. *Symmetry* 14, 23. [doi:10.3390/sym14010023](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14010023)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Gielis, J. (2020) The generalized Gielis geometric equation and its application. *Symmetry* 12, 645. [doi:10.3390/sym12040645](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040645)
- Shi, P., Xu, Q., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Ding, Y., Li, H., Dong, X. (2015) Comparison of dwarf bamboos (*Indocalamus* sp.) leaf parameters to determine relationship between spatial density of plants and total leaf area per plant. *Ecology and Evolution* 5, 4578–4589. [doi:10.1002/ece3.1728](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1728)

## See Also

[curveGE](#), [fitGE](#), [GE](#), [TGE](#)

## Examples

```
Para1 <- c(1.7170, 5.2258, 7.9802)
areaGE(GE, P = Para1, m=5, simpver=1)
```

```
Para2 <- c(2.1066, 3.5449, 0.4619, 10.5697)
areaGE(TGE, P = Para2, m=5, simpver=1)
```

---

areaovate*Area Calculation for an Ovate Polygon*

---

**Description**

areaovate is used to calculate the area of an ovate polygon made from combining two symmetrical curves generated by a performance equation (e.g., [MLRFE](#)).

**Usage**

```
areaovate(expr, P, simpver = NULL,
          subdivisions = 100L,
          rel.tol = .Machine$double.eps^0.25,
          abs.tol = rel.tol, stop.on.error = TRUE,
          keep.xy = FALSE, aux = NULL)
```

**Arguments**

expr	a performance equation or one of its simplified versions.
P	the parameters of the performance equation or one of its simplified versions.
simpver	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the performance equation.
subdivisions	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
rel.tol	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
abs.tol	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
stop.on.error	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
keep.xy	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
aux	please see the arguments for the <a href="#">integrate</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .

**Details**

The performance equations denote [MbtaE](#), [MBriereE](#), [MLRFE](#), and their simplified versions. The arguments of P and simpver should correspond to expr (i.e., [MbtaE](#) or [MBriereE](#) or [MLRFE](#)).

**Value**

The area of two symmetrical curves along the  $x$ -axis generated by a performance equation or one of its simplified versions.

**Note**

Here, the user can define other performance equations, but new equations or their simplified versions should include the lower and upper thresholds in the  $x$ -axis corresponding to  $y = 0$ , whose indices should be the same as those in [MbtaE](#) or [MBriereE](#) or [MLRFE](#).

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Jin, J., Quinn, B.K., Shi, P. (2022) The modified Brière equation and its applications. *Plants* 11, 1769. [doi:10.3390/plants11131769](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11131769)
- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. [doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Yu, K., Niklas, K.J., Schrader, J., Song, Y., Zhu, R., Li, Y., Wei, H., Ratkowsky, D.A. (2021) A general model for describing the ovate leaf shape. *Symmetry* 13, 1524. [doi:10.3390/sym13081524](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13081524)

## See Also

[curveovate](#), [fitovate](#), [MbetaE](#), [MBriereE](#), [MLRFE](#), [sigmoid](#)

## Examples

```
Par1 <- c(1.8175, 2.7795, 7.1557, 1.6030)
areaovate(MbetaE, P = Par1, simpver = 1)

Par2 <- c(0.0550, 0.3192, 7.1965, 0.5226)
areaovate(MBriereE, P = Par2, simpver = 1)

Par3 <- c(1.8168, 2.7967, 7.2623, 0.9662)
areaovate(MLRFE, P = Par3, simpver = 1)
```

bambooleaves

*Leaf Boundary Data of Phyllostachys incarnata T. H. Wen (Poaceae: Bambusoideae)*

## Description

The data consist of the boundary data of six leaves of *P. incarnata* sampled at Nanjing Forestry University campus in early December 2016.

## Usage

```
data(bambooleaves)
```

## Details

In the data set, there are three columns of variables: Code, x, and y. Code saves the codes of individual leaves; x saves the  $x$  coordinates of the leaf boundary in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm); and y saves the  $y$  coordinates of the leaf boundary in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm).

## References

- Lin, S., Shao, L., Hui, C., Song, Y., Reddy, G.V.P., Gielis, J., Li, F., Ding, Y., Wei, Q., Shi, P. (2018) Why does not the leaf weight-area allometry of bamboos follow the 3/2-power law? *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9, 583. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00583
- Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Li, Y., Zhang, L., Lin, S., Gielis, J. (2018) General leaf-area geometric formula exists for plants - Evidence from the simplified Gielis equation. *Forests* 9, 714. doi:10.3390/f9110714

## Examples

```
data(bamboleaves)

uni.C <- sort( unique(bamboleaves$Code) )
ind   <- 1
Data  <- bamboleaves[bamboleaves$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

dev.new()
plot( x0, y0, asp=1, type="l", cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )
length(x0)

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=600, len.pro=1/20)
dev.new()
plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )
```

## Description

`bilat` is used to measure the extent of bilateral (a)symmetry and other measures for a polygon (e.g., a leaf).

## Usage

```
bilat(x, y, strip.num = 200, peri.np = NULL, n.loop = 60,
      auto.search = TRUE, animation.fig = TRUE, time.interval = 0.001,
      unit = "cm", main = NULL, diff.fig = TRUE, angle = NULL,
```

```
ratiox = 0.02, ratioy = 0.08, fd.opt = TRUE, frac.fig = TRUE,
denomi.range = seq(8, 30, by = 1))
```

## Arguments

<code>x</code>	the $x$ coordinates of a polygon's boundary.
<code>y</code>	the $y$ coordinates of a polygon's boundary.
<code>strip.num</code>	the number of equidistant strips intersecting with the polygon that are horizontally placed. See Shi et al. (2018, 2020) for details.
<code>peri.np</code>	the number of data points on the boundary retained for calculating the perimeter of the polygon.
<code>n.loop</code>	the number of data points to randomly sample for calculating the mean perimeter of the polygon.
<code>auto.search</code>	an optional argument to automatically search the maximum distance between two points on the polygon's boundary.
<code>animation.fig</code>	the option of showing the data points on the polygon's boundary in an animation.
<code>time.interval</code>	the time interval at which to suspend execution, in seconds.
<code>unit</code>	the units of the $x$ -axis and the $y$ -axis when showing the polygon.
<code>main</code>	the main title of the figure.
<code>diff.fig</code>	an optional argument to draw the differences in areas between the intersections of the strips with the upper part of the polygon and the intersections of the strips with the lower part of the polygon. The polygon is divided into the upper and lower parts by the $x$ -axis. See Shi et al. (2018, 2020) for details.
<code>angle</code>	the angle between the major axis (i.e., the leaf length axis) and the $x$ -axis, which can be defined by the user.
<code>fd.opt</code>	An optional argument to use the box-counting method to calculate the fractal dimension of the polygon's boundary on a log-log scale.
<code>ratiox</code>	the $x$ coordinate of the location parameter for positioning the legend in the plot of the linear fitting.
<code>ratioy</code>	the $y$ coordinate of the location parameter for positioning the legend in the plot of the linear fitting.
<code>frac.fig</code>	an optional argument to draw the results of the linear fitting using the box-counting method to calculate the fractal dimension of the polygon's boundary on a log-log scale.
<code>denomi.range</code>	the number of equidistant segments of the maximum range between the range of the $x$ coordinates and that of the $y$ coordinates.

## Details

The data of `x` and `y` should be the coordinates adjusted using the `adjdata` function. If `peri.np = NULL`, the number of `length(x)` is used to calculate the perimeter of the polygon; if `peri.np` is a positive integer, the number of data points retained on the polygon's boundary is equal to `peri.np` and random sampling for retaining `peri.np` data points is carried out `n.loop` times for calculating the mean perimeter of the polygon. That is to say, the final output for the perimeter is the mean

of the `n.loop` perimeters (i.e., replicates). If the user wants to get a consistent result for the mean perimeter, the `set.seed` function can be used. In addition, if `length(x) < peri.np`, `peri.np` then becomes `length(x)` rather than the specified value in Arguments. If the polygon apparently has a major axis (i.e., the leaf length axis for an ovate leaf), `auto.search` is appropriate. If the major axis of the polygon is not the maximum distance between two points, the user can define the major axis using the `locator` function in **graphic** by clicking two points on or near the polygon's boundary. The location of the first click should be northeast of the location of the second click. This means that the angle between the straight line through the locations of the two clicks and the *x*-axis should range from 0 to  $\pi/2$ . The locations of the clicks can be on the boundary or be approximate to the boundary. The function will automatically find the nearest data point on the boundary to the location of each click. When `angle = NULL`, the observed polygon will be shown at its initial angle in the scanned image; when `angle` is a numerical value (e.g.,  $\pi/4$ ) defined by the user, it indicates that the major axis is rotated  $\pi/4$  counterclockwise from the *x*-axis.

### Value

<code>x</code>	the <i>x</i> coordinates retained on the polygon's boundary.
<code>y</code>	the <i>y</i> coordinates retained on the polygon's boundary.
<code>phi</code>	the angle between the length axis (i.e., the major axis) of the polygon and the <i>x</i> -axis.
<code>n1</code>	the number of data points on the upper boundary of the polygon.
<code>n2</code>	the number of data points on the lower boundary of the polygon.
<code>n</code>	the number of data points on the whole polygon's boundary.
<code>total.poly</code>	an object of class "ppp" representing a point pattern dataset in the two-dimensional plane, representing the polygon's boundary.
<code>upper.poly</code>	an object of class "ppp" representing a point pattern dataset in the two-dimensional plane, representing the upper boundary of the polygon along the <i>x</i> -axis.
<code>lower.poly</code>	an object of class "ppp" representing a point pattern dataset in the two-dimensional plane, representing the lower boundary of the polygon along the <i>x</i> -axis.
<code>D</code>	the differences in areas between the upper and lower boundaries of the polygon.
<code>par.upper.area</code>	the area of the upper boundary of the polygon along the <i>x</i> -axis.
<code>par.lower.area</code>	the area of the lower boundary of the polygon along the <i>x</i> -axis.
<code>SI</code>	the standardized index for bilateral (a)symmetry for the polygon.
<code>AR</code>	the ratio of the areas of the upper to the lower parts of the polygon.
<code>scan.length</code>	the length of the polygon. The default is the maximum distance between two points on the polygon's boundary.
<code>scan.width</code>	the maximum width of the polygon.
<code>scan.area</code>	the area of the polygon.
<code>scan.perimeter</code>	the perimeter of the polygon based on all data points or a mean of <code>n.loop</code> replicates of perimeters using the <code>peri.np</code> data points retained on the polygon's boundary.
<code>x.width</code>	distance from the base to a point on the major axis associated with the maximum width of the polygon.

<code>width.1e</code>	the width associated with 1/8 of <code>scan.length</code> (starting from the base of the polygon).
<code>width.2e</code>	the width associated with 2/8 of <code>scan.length</code> (starting from the base of the polygon).
<code>width.4e</code>	the width associated with 4/8 of <code>scan.length</code> (starting from the base of the polygon).
<code>width.6e</code>	the width associated with 6/8 of <code>scan.length</code> (starting from the base of the polygon).
<code>width.7e</code>	the width associated with 7/8 of <code>scan.length</code> (starting from the base of the polygon).
<code>bi.test</code>	the testing results for D using the Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity correction. See the <a href="#">wilcox.test</a> function in <b>stats</b> .
<code>a</code>	the estimate of the intercept obtained using the box-counting method to calculate the fractal dimension of the polygon's boundary.
<code>sd.a</code>	the standard deviation of the estimated intercept.
<code>lci.a</code>	the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval of the estimated intercept.
<code>uci.a</code>	the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval of the estimated intercept.
<code>b</code>	the estimate of the slope obtained using the box-counting method to calculate the fractal dimension of the polygon's boundary.
<code>sd.b</code>	the standard deviation of the estimated slope.
<code>lci.a</code>	the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval of the estimated slope.
<code>uci.a</code>	the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval of the estimated slope.
<code>r.sq</code>	the coefficient of determination obtained when using the box-counting method to calculate the fractal dimension of the polygon's boundary.
<code>delta</code>	the vector of box sizes used in the box-counting method to calculate the fractal dimension of the polygon's boundary.
<code>N</code>	the number of boxes that include at least one pixel of the polygon's boundary.

### Note

The polygon is expected to have an apparent major axis (e.g., the straight line through two points on the polygon's boundary having the maximum distance or one that can be clearly defined to pass by two landmarks on the polygon's boundary [i.e., the leaf length axis, the egg length axis, etc.]). The polygon is placed with its major axis overlapping the *x*-axis; the base of the polygon is located at the origin; the apex of the polygon is located to the right of the base. *phi* is equal to *angle* when *angle* is not null. In theory,  $n_1 + n_2 = n$ , but in most cases  $n_1 + n_2$  is slightly smaller than  $n$ . The reason is that very few boundary points fall outside the the lower and upper boundaries of the polygon when using the [intersect.owin](#) function in **spatstat.geom**. However, this does not considerably affect the results. The log-transformed SI and the log-transformed AR are demonstrated to have a more symmetrical frequency distribution than their original forms. This is important when performing an analysis of variance between (or among) groups to compared their extents of bilateral (a)symmetry. See Shi et al. (2020) for details. The box-counting approach uses a group of boxes (squares for simplicity) with different sizes ( $\delta$ ) to divide the leaf vein image into different parts. Let  $N$  represent the number of boxes that include at least one pixel of the polygon's boundary. The

maximum of the range of the  $x$  coordinates and the range of the  $y$  coordinates for the pixels of the polygon's boundary is defined as  $z$ . Let  $\delta$  represent the vector of  $z/\text{denomi.range}$ . We then used the following equation to calculate the fractal dimension of the polygon's boundary:

$$\ln N = a + b \ln \delta^{-1},$$

where  $b$  is the theoretical value of the fractal dimension. We can use its estimate as the numerical value of the fractal dimension for the polygon's boundary.

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>, Johan Gielis <johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be>, Brady K. Quinn <Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>.

### References

- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14862
- Shi, P., Niinemets, Ü., Hui, C., Niklas, K.J., Yu, X., Hölscher, D. (2020) Leaf bilateral symmetry and the scaling of the perimeter vs. the surface area in 15 vine species. *Forests* 11, 246. doi:10.3390/f11020246
- Shi, P., Zheng, X., Ratkowsky, D.A., Li, Y., Wang, P., Cheng, L. (2018) A simple method for measuring the bilateral symmetry of leaves. *Symmetry* 10, 118. doi:10.3390/sym10040118

### See Also

[adjdata](#), [fracdim](#)

### Examples

```
data(bambooleaves)

uni.C <- sort( unique(bambooleaves$Code) )
ind   <- 3
Data  <- bambooleaves[bambooleaves$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

dev.new()
plot( x0, y0, asp=1, type="l", cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=2000, len.pro=1/20)
x1   <- Res1$x
y1   <- Res1$y

Res2 <- bilat( x=x1, y=y1, time.interval=0.00045,
               peri.np=NULL, auto.search=TRUE,
```

```

fd.opt=TRUE )
Res2$scan.perimeter

set.seed(123)
Res3 <- bilat( x=x1, y=y1, time.interval=0.00045,
                peri.np=500, n.loop=30,
                auto.search=TRUE, fd.opt=FALSE )
Res3$scan.perimeter

set.seed(123)
Res4 <- bilat( x=x1, y=y1, time.interval=0.00045,
                peri.np=500, n.loop=30,
                auto.search=TRUE, fd.opt=FALSE, angle=pi/4 )
Res4$scan.perimeter

set.seed(123)
Res5 <- bilat( x=x1, y=y1, time.interval=0.00045,
                peri.np=500, n.loop=30,
                auto.search=TRUE, fd.opt=FALSE, angle=0 )
Res5$scan.perimeter

set.seed(123)
# The user needs to first click the position of the leaf apex
# and then click the position of the leaf base in the figure.
Res6 <- bilat( x=x1, y=y1, time.interval=0.00045,
                peri.np=500, n.loop=30,
                auto.search=FALSE, fd.opt=FALSE, angle=NULL )
Res6$scan.perimeter

set.seed(NULL)

```

## Description

Is used to simulate and fit biological geometries. 'biogeom' incorporates several novel universal parametric equations that can generate the profiles of bird eggs, flowers, linear and lanceolate leaves, seeds, starfish, and tree-rings (Gielis, 2003; Shi et al., 2020), three growth-rate curves representing the ontogenetic growth trajectories of animals and plants against time, and the axially symmetrical and integral forms of all these functions (Shi et al., 2017, 2021). The optimization method proposed by Nelder and Mead (1965) was used to estimate model parameters. 'biogeom' includes several real data sets of the boundary coordinates of natural shapes, including avian eggs, fruit, lanceolate and ovate leaves, tree rings, seeds, and sea stars, and can be potentially applied to other natural shapes. 'biogeom' can quantify the conspecific or interspecific similarity of natural outlines, and provides information with important ecological and evolutionary implications for the growth and form of living organisms. Please see Shi et al. (2022) for details.

## Details

The DESCRIPTION file:

Package:	biogeo
Type:	Package
Title:	Biological Geometries
Version:	1.0.9
Date:	2022-08-07
Authors@R:	c(person(given="Peijian", family="Shi", email="pjshi@njfu.edu.cn", role=c("aut", "cre")), person(given="Johan", family="Gielis", role="aut"), person(given="Brady", family="Quinn", role="aut")))
Author:	Peijian Shi [aut, cre], Johan Gielis [aut], Brady K. Quinn [aut]
Maintainer:	Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>
Imports:	spatstat.geom (>= 2.4-0)
Description:	Is used to simulate and fit biological geometries. 'biogeo' incorporates several novel universal parameters for leaf shape analysis.
Depends:	R (>= 4.2.0)
License:	GPL (>= 2)
NeedsCompilation:	no

Index of help topics:

GE	Calculation of the Polar Radius of the Gielis Curve
MBriereE	Modified Briere Equation
MLRFE	Modified Lobry-Rosso-Flandrois (LRF) Equation
MbetaE	Modified Beta Equation
NRGE	The Narushin-Romanov-Griffin Equation (NRGE)
Neocinnamomum	Leaf Boundary Data of Seven Species of <i>_Neocinnamomum_</i>
PE	Calculation of the Abscissa, Ordinate and Distance From the Origin For an Arbitrary Point on the Preston Curve
TGE	Calculation of the Polar Radius of the Twin Gielis Curve
TSE	The Todd-Smart Equation (TSE)
adjdata	Boundary Data Adjustment of A Polygon
areaGE	Area Calculation for the Gielis Curve Within [0, 2pi]
areaovate	Area Calculation for an Ovate Polygon
bambooleaves	Leaf Boundary Data of <i>_Phyllostachys incarnata_</i> T. H. Wen (Poaceae: Bambusoideae)
bilat	Measure of the Extent of Bilateral Symmetry of A Polygon
biogeo	Biological Geometries
curveGE	Drawing the Gielis Curve
curveNRGE	Drawing the Egg Shape Predicted by the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin Equation
curvePE	Drawing the Preston Curve
curveovate	Drawing the Ovate Leaf-Shape Curve

eggs	Egg Boundary Data of Nine Species of Birds
fitGE	Data-Fitting Function for the Gielis Equation
fitNRGE	Parameter Estimation for the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin Equation
fitPE	Data-Fitting Function for the Preston Equation
fitovate	Data-Fitting Function for the Ovate Leaf-Shape Equation
fitsigmoid	Data-Fitting Function for the Sigmoid Growth Equation
fracdim	Calculation of Fractal Dimension of Leaf Veins Based on the Box-Counting Method
ginkgoseed	Boundary Data of the Side Projections of _Ginkgo biloba_ Seeds
kp	Boundary Data of the Vertical Projections of _Koelreuteria paniculata_ Fruit
lmPE	Parameter Estimation for the Todd-Smart Equation
shoots	Height Growth Data of Bamboo Shoots
sigmoid	Sigmoid Growth Equation
starfish	Boundary Data of Eight Sea Stars
veins	Leaf Vein Data of _Michelia compressa_
whitespruce	Planar Coordinates of _Picea glauca_ Tree Rings

### Note

We are deeply thankful to Cang Hui, Yang Li, Valeriy G. Narushin, Ülo Niinemets, Karl J. Nikas, Honghua Ruan, David A. Ratkowsky, Julian Schrader, Rolf Turner, Lin Wang, and Victoria Wimmer for their valuable help during creating this package. This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2021YFD02200403) and Simon Stevin Institute for Geometry (Antwerpen, Belguim).

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi [aut, cre], Johan Gielis [aut], Brady K. Quinn [aut]  
 Maintainer: Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>

### References

- Gielis, J. (2003) A generic geometric transformation that unifies a wide range of natural and abstract shapes. *American Journal of Botany* 90, 333–338. doi:10.3732/ajb.90.3.333
- Nelder, J.A., Mead, R. (1965). A simplex method for function minimization. *Computer Journal* 7, 308–313. doi:10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308
- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14862

Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Gielis, J. (2020) The generalized Gielis geometric equation and its application. *Symmetry* 12, 645. doi:10.3390/sym12040645

Shi, P., Yu, K., Niklas, K.J., Schrader, J., Song, Y., Zhu, R., Li, Y., Wei, H., Ratkowsky, D.A. (2021) A general model for describing the ovate leaf shape. *Symmetry*, 13, 1524. doi:10.3390/sym13081524

## curveGE

*Drawing the Gielis Curve***Description**

curveGE is used to draw the Gielis curve.

**Usage**

```
curveGE(expr, P, phi = seq(0, 2*pi, len = 2000),
        m = 1, simpver = NULL, nval = 1,
        fig.opt = FALSE, deform.fun = NULL, Par = NULL,
        xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main="")
```

**Arguments**

<code>expr</code>	the original (or twin) Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.
<code>P</code>	the three location parameters and the parameters of the original (or twin) Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.
<code>phi</code>	the given polar angles at which we want to draw the Gielis curve.
<code>m</code>	the given $m$ value that determines the number of angles of the Gielis curve within $[0, 2\pi]$ .
<code>simpver</code>	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original (or twin) Gielis equation.
<code>nval</code>	the specified value for $n_1$ or $n_2$ or $n_3$ in the simplified versions.
<code>fig.opt</code>	an optional argument to draw the Gielis curve.
<code>deform.fun</code>	the deformation function used to describe the deviation from a theoretical Gielis curve.
<code>Par</code>	the parameter(s) of the deformation function.
<code>xlim</code>	the range of the $x$ -axis over which to plot the Gielis curve.
<code>ylim</code>	the range of the $y$ -axis over which to plot the Gielis curve.
<code>unit</code>	the units of the $x$ -axis and the $y$ -axis when showing the Gielis curve.
<code>main</code>	the main title of the figure.

## Details

The first three elements of P are location parameters. The first two are the planar coordinates of the transferred polar point, and the third is the angle between the major axis of the curve and the  $x$ -axis. The other arguments in P (except these first three location parameters), m, simpver, and nval should correspond to expr (i.e., GE or TGE). Please note the differences in the simplified version number and the number of parameters between GE and TGE. deform.fun should take the form as: deform.fun <- function(Par, z){...}, where z is a two-dimensional matrix related to the x and y values. And the return value of deform.fun should be a list with two variables x and y.

## Value

- x the  $x$  coordinates of the Gielis curve corresponding to the given polar angles phi.
- y the  $y$  coordinates of the Gielis curve corresponding to the given polar angles phi.
- r the polar radii of the Gielis curve corresponding to the given polar angles phi.

## Note

simpver in GE is different from that in TGE.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>, Johan Gielis <johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be>, Brady K. Quinn <Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>.

## References

- Gielis, J. (2003) A generic geometric transformation that unifies a wide range of natural and abstract shapes. *American Journal of Botany* 90, 333-338. doi:[10.3732/ajb.90.3.333](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.90.3.333)
- Li, Y., Quinn, B.K., Gielis, J., Li, Y., Shi, P. (2022) Evidence that supertriangles exist in nature from the vertical projections of *Koelreuteria paniculata* fruit. *Symmetry* 14, 23. doi:[10.3390/sym14010023](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14010023)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:[10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Gielis, J. (2020) The generalized Gielis geometric equation and its application. *Symmetry* 12, 645. doi:[10.3390/sym12040645](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040645)
- Shi, P., Xu, Q., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Ding, Y., Li, H., Dong, X. (2015) Comparison of dwarf bamboos (*Indocalamus* sp.) leaf parameters to determine relationship between spatial density of plants and total leaf area per plant. *Ecology and Evolution* 5, 4578-4589. doi:[10.1002/ece3.1728](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1728)

## See Also

[areaGE](#), [fitGE](#), [GE](#), [TGE](#)

## Examples

```

GE.par <- c(2, 1, 4, 6, 3)
phi.vec <- seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000)
r.theor <- GE(P=GE.par, phi=phi.vec, m=5)

dev.new()
plot( phi.vec, r.theor, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic(phi)), ylab=expression(italic("r")),
      type="l", col=4 )

curve.par <- c(1, 1, pi/4, GE.par)
GE.res <- curveGE(GE, P=curve.par, fig.opt=TRUE, deform.fun=NULL, Par=NULL, m=5)
# GE.res$r

GE.res <- curveGE( GE, P=c(0, 0, 0, 2, 4, 20), m=1, simpver=1, fig.opt=TRUE )
# GE.res$r

GE.res <- curveGE( GE, P=c(1, 1, pi/4, 2, 1, 3), m=5, simpver=1, fig.opt=TRUE )
# GE.res$r

GE.res <- curveGE( GE, P=c(1, 1, pi/4, 2, 1, 3), m=2, simpver=1, fig.opt=TRUE )
# GE.res$r

GE.res <- curveGE( GE, P=c(1, 1, pi/4, 2, 0.05), m=1, simpver=2, fig.opt=TRUE )
# GE.res$r

GE.res <- curveGE( GE, P=c(1, 1, pi/4, 2), m=4, simpver=3, nval=2, fig.opt=TRUE )
# GE.res$r

GE.res <- curveGE( GE, P=c(1, 1, pi/4, 2, 0.6), m=4, simpver=8, nval=2, fig.opt=TRUE )
# GE.res$r

```

curveNRGE

*Drawing the Egg Shape Predicted by the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin Equation*

## Description

curveNRGE is used to draw the egg shape predicted by the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation.

## Usage

```
curveNRGE(P, x, fig.opt = FALSE, deform.fun = NULL,
          Par = NULL, xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main = NULL)
```

## Arguments

P	the three location parameters and the four parameters of the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation (Narushin et al., 2021).
x	the given $x$ coordinates at which we want to draw the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
fig.opt	an optional argument to draw the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
deform.fun	the deformation function used to describe the deviation from a theoretical Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
Par	the parameter(s) of the deformation function.
xlim	the range of the $x$ -axis over which to plot the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
ylim	the range of the $y$ -axis over which to plot the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
unit	the units of the $x$ -axis and the $y$ -axis when showing the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
main	the main title of the figure.

## Details

The first three elements of P are location parameters. The first two are the planar coordinates of the transferred origin, and the third is the angle between the major axis of the curve and the  $x$ -axis. The other arguments in P should be the same as those in NRGE. deform.fun should take the form as: deform.fun <- function(Par, z){...}, where z is a two-dimensional matrix related to the  $x$  and  $y$  values. And the return value of deform.fun should be a list with two variables x and y.

## Value

x	the $x$ coordinates of the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
y	the $y$ coordinates of the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.

## Note

The  $x$  coordinates of the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve are different from the given  $x$  coordinates (i.e., x in Arguments). The latter are twice the former. The  $x$  coordinates for the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve range from the maximum to the minimum on the  $x$ -axis (corresponding to positive  $y$  coordinates), and then range from the minimum to the maximum on the  $x$ -axis (corresponding to negative  $y$  coordinates). That is to say, the coordinates of  $(x, y)$  need to undergo a counterclockwise rotation to form the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>, Johan Gielis <johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be>, Brady K. Quinn <Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>.

## References

- Narushin, V.G., Romanov, M.N., Griffin, D.K. (2021) Egg and math: introducing a universal formula for egg shape. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1505, 169–177. doi:10.1111/nyas.14680
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Niklas, K.J. (2022) Comparison of a universal (but complex) model for avian egg shape with a simpler model. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14799
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14862
- Tian, F., Wang, Y., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Shi, P. (2020) Comparison of seed morphology of two ginkgo cultivars. *Journal of Forestry Research* 31, 751–758. doi:10.1007/s116760180770y

## See Also

[fitNRGE](#), [NRGE](#)

## Examples

```
PA <- c(1, 1, pi/4, 11.5, 7.8, 1.1, 5.6)
xA <- seq(-11.5/2, 11.5/2, len=2000)
resA <- curveNRGE(PA, xA, fig.opt=TRUE)
xB <- seq(-11.5/2, 11.5/2, len=100)
resB <- curveNRGE(PA, xB, fig.opt=TRUE, xlim=c(-6, 6),
                    ylim=c(-6, 6), main="A pear-shaped egg")
cbind(resB$x, resB$y)
```

curveovate

*Drawing the Ovate Leaf-Shape Curve*

## Description

curveovate is used to draw the ovate leaf-shape curve.

## Usage

```
curveovate(expr, P, x, fig.opt = FALSE,
           deform.fun = NULL, Par = NULL,
           xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main = NULL)
```

## Arguments

- |      |   |
|------|---|
| expr | the simplified version 1 of a performance equation.   |
| P    | the three location parameters and the parameters of the simplified version 1 of a performance equation. |
| x    | the given <i>x</i> values to draw the ovate leaf-shape curve.   |

<code>fig.opt</code>	an optional argument to draw the ovate leaf-shape curve.
<code>deform.fun</code>	the deformation function used to describe the deviation from a theoretical ovate leaf-shape curve.
<code>Par</code>	the parameter(s) of the deformation function.
<code>xlim</code>	the range of the $x$ -axis over which to plot the ovate leaf-shape curve.
<code>ylim</code>	the range of the $y$ -axis over which to plot the ovate leaf-shape curve.
<code>unit</code>	the units of the $x$ -axis and the $y$ -axis when showing the ovate leaf-shape curve.
<code>main</code>	the main title of the figure.

## Details

`P` has seven elements: three location parameters, and four model parameters, i.e.,  $y_{opt}$ ,  $x_{opt}$ ,  $x_{max}$ , and  $\delta$ . This means that `expr` is limited to be the simplified version 1 (where  $x_{min} = 0$ ) in [MbataE](#), [MBriereE](#), and [MLRFE](#). The first three elements of `P` are location parameters, among which the first two are the planar coordinates of the transferred origin, and the third is the angle between the major axis of the curve and the  $x$ -axis. `deform.fun` should take the form as: `deform.fun <- function(Par, z){...}`, where `z` is a two-dimensional matrix related to the  $x$  and  $y$  values. And the return value of `deform.fun` should be a list with two variables `x` and `y`.

## Value

<code>x</code>	the $x$ coordinates of the ovate leaf-shape curve.
<code>y</code>	the $y$ coordinates of the ovate leaf-shape curve.

## Note

The number of elements in `P` here has additional three location parameters than that in [MbataE](#), [MBriereE](#), and [MLRFE](#).

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Jin, J., Quinn, B.K., Shi, P. (2022) The modified Brière equation and its applications. *Plants* 11, 1769. [doi:10.3390/plants11131769](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11131769)
- Li, Y., Zheng, Y., Ratkowsky, D.A., Wei, H., Shi, P. (2022) Application of an ovate leaf shape model to evaluate leaf bilateral asymmetry and calculate lamina centroid location. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12, 822907. [doi:10.3389/fpls.2021.822907](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.822907)
- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. [doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)

Shi, P., Yu, K., Niklas, K.J., Schrader, J., Song, Y., Zhu, R., Li, Y., Wei, H., Ratkowsky, D.A. (2021) A general model for describing the ovate leaf shape. *Symmetry* 13, 1524. doi:10.3390/sym13081524

## See Also

[areaovate](#), [fitovate](#), [MbetaE](#), [MBriereE](#), [MLRFE](#)

## Examples

```
P1 <- c(1, 1, pi/4, 2, 3, 10, 4)
RE1 <- curveovate(MLRFE, P=P1, x=seq(0, 10, by=0.1), fig.opt=TRUE)
RE2 <- curveovate(MbetaE, P=P1, x=seq(0, 10, by=0.1), fig.opt=TRUE)

dev.new()
plot(RE1$x, RE1$y, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
     xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")))
lines(RE2$x, RE2$y, col=4)
```

curvePE

*Drawing the Preston Curve*

## Description

curvePE is used to draw the Preston curve.

## Usage

```
curvePE(P, zeta = seq(0, 2*pi, len = 2000), simpver = NULL,
        fig.opt = FALSE, deform.fun = NULL, Par = NULL,
        xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main="")
```

## Arguments

P	the three location parameters and the parameters of the original Preston equation or one of its simplified versions.
zeta	the given angles at which we want to draw the Preston curve.
simpver	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original Preston equation.
fig.opt	an optional argument to draw the Preston curve.
deform.fun	the deformation function used to describe the deviation from a theoretical Preston curve.
Par	the parameter(s) of the deformation function.
xlim	the range of the <i>x</i> -axis over which to plot the Preston curve.
ylim	the range of the <i>y</i> -axis over which to plot the Preston curve.
unit	the units of the <i>x</i> -axis and the <i>y</i> -axis when showing the Preston curve.
main	the main title of the figure.

## Details

The first three elements of P are location parameters. The first two are the planar coordinates of the transferred origin, and the third is the angle between the major axis of the curve and the  $x$ -axis. Here, the major axis is a straight line through the midpoint of the egg length, and is perpendicular to the egg length axis. The other arguments in P (except these first three location parameters), and simpver should correspond to PE. deform.fun should take the form as: deform.fun <- function(Par, z){...}, where z is a two-dimensional matrix related to the  $x$  and  $y$  values. And the return value of deform.fun should be a list with two variables x and y.

## Value

- x the  $x$  coordinates of the Preston curve corresponding to the given angles zeta.
- y the  $y$  coordinates of the Gielis curve corresponding to the given angles zeta.
- r the distances of the Preston curve from the origin corresponding to the given angles zeta.

## Note

$\zeta$  is NOT the polar angle corresponding to  $r$ , i.e.,

$$y \neq r \sin \zeta$$

$$x \neq r \cos \zeta$$

Let  $\varphi$  be the polar angle corresponding to  $r$ . We have:

$$\zeta = \arcsin \frac{r \sin \varphi}{a}$$

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>, Johan Gielis <johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be>, Brady K. Quinn <Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>.

## References

- Biggins, J.D., Montgomeries, R.M., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2022) Preston's universal formula for avian egg shape. *Ornithology* In press. doi:10.1093/ornithology/ukac028
- Biggins, J.D., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2018) Accurately quantifying the shape of birds' eggs. *Ecology and Evolution* 8, 9728–9738. doi:10.1002/ece3.4412
- Preston, F.W. (1953) The shapes of birds' eggs. *The Auk* 70, 160–182.
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14862
- Todd, P.H., Smart, I.H.M. (1984) The shape of birds' eggs. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 106, 239–243. doi:10.1016/00225193(84)900213

## See Also

[fitPE](#), [PE](#), [lmPE](#), [TSE](#)

## Examples

```

Para1 <- c(0, 0, 0, 10, 6, 0.325, -0.0415)
curvePE(P=Para1, zeta=seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000), simpver=1, fig.opt=TRUE)
Para2 <- c(0, 0, pi, 10, 6, -0.325, -0.0415)
curvePE(P=Para2, zeta=seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000), simpver=1, fig.opt=TRUE)

Para3 <- c(0, 0, 0, 10, 6, 0.325, -0.0415, 0.2)
curvePE(P=Para3, zeta=seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000), simpver=NULL, fig.opt=TRUE)
Para4 <- c(0, 0, pi, 10, 6, -0.325, -0.0415, 0.2)
curvePE(P=Para4, zeta=seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000), simpver=NULL, fig.opt=TRUE)

Para5 <- c(0, 0, pi/4, 10, 6, 0.325, -0.0415)
curvePE(P=Para5, zeta=seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000), simpver=1,
       fig.opt=TRUE, main="A rotated egg shape")

# There is an example that introduces a deformation function in the egg-shape equation
myfun <- function(Par, z){
  x <- z[,1]
  y <- z[,2]
  k1 <- Par[1]
  k2 <- Par[2]
  x <- x - k1*(x+k2)^2
  list(x=x, y=y)
}
deform.op <- curvePE(P=Para1, zeta=seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000), simpver=1,
                      fig.opt=TRUE, deform.fun=myfun, Par=c(0.05, 8))

```

## Description

The data consist of the egg boundary data of nine species of birds.

## Usage

```
data(eggs)
```

## Details

In the data set, there are four columns of variables: Code, LatinName, x, and y. Code saves the codes of individual eggs; LatinName saves the Latin names of the nine species of birds; x saves the  $x$  coordinates of the egg boundary in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm); and y saves the  $y$  coordinates of the egg boundary in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm). In Code, codes 1-9 represent *Strix uralensis*, *Dromaius novaehollandiae*, *Turdus philomelos*, *Gallus gallus*, *Pandion haliaetus*, *Uria aalge*, *Uria lomvia*, *Gallinago media*, and *Aptenodytes patagonicus*, respectively.

## References

- Narushin, V.G., Romanov, M.N., Griffin, D.K. (2021) Egg and math: introducing a universal formula for egg shape. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1505, 169–177. doi:[10.1111/nyas.14680](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14680)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Niklas, K.J. (2022) Comparison of a universal (but complex) model for avian egg shape with a simpler model. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:[10.1111/nyas.14799](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14799)
- Tian, F., Wang, Y., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Shi, P. (2020) Comparison of seed morphology of two ginkgo cultivars. *Journal of Forestry Research* 31, 751–758. doi:[10.1007/s116760180770y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s116760180770y)

## Examples

```
data(eggs)

uni.C <- sort( unique(eggs$Code) )
ind   <- 8
Data  <- eggs[eggs$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=1000, times=1.2, len.pro=1/20)
x1   <- Res1$x
y1   <- Res1$y

dev.new()
plot( x1, y1, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")),
      pch=1, col=1 )

Res2 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=60, times=1, len.pro=1/2, index.sp=20)
x2   <- Res2$x
y2   <- Res2$y

Res3 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=60, times=1, len.pro=1/2, index.sp=100)
x3   <- Res3$x
y3   <- Res3$y

dev.new()
plot( x2, y2, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")),
      pch=1, col=4 )
points( x3, y3, col=2)
```

## Description

`fitGE` is used to estimate the parameters of the original (or twin) Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Usage

```
fitGE(expr, x, y, ini.val, m = 1, simpver = NULL,
      nval = nval, control = list(), par.list = FALSE,
      stand.fig = TRUE, angle = NULL, fig.opt = FALSE, np = 2000,
      xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main = NULL)
```

## Arguments

<code>expr</code>	the original (or twin) Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.
<code>x</code>	the $x$ coordinates of a polygon's boundary.
<code>y</code>	the $y$ coordinates of a polygon's boundary.
<code>ini.val</code>	the list of initial values for the model parameters.
<code>m</code>	the given $m$ value that determines the number of angles of the Gielis curve within $[0, 2\pi]$ .
<code>simpver</code>	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original (or twin) Gielis equation.
<code>nval</code>	the specified value for $n_1$ or $n_2$ or $n_3$ in the simplified versions.
<code>control</code>	the list of control parameters for using the <code>optim</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>par.list</code>	the option of showing the list of parameters on the screen.
<code>stand.fig</code>	the option of drawing the observed and predicted polygons at the standard state (i.e., the polar point is located at $(0, 0)$ , and the major axis overlaps with the $x$ -axis).
<code>angle</code>	the angle between the major axis and the $x$ -axis, which can be defined by the user.
<code>fig.opt</code>	an optional argument of drawing the observed and predicted polygons at arbitrary angle between the major axis and the $x$ -axis.
<code>np</code>	the number of data points on the predicted Gielis curve.
<code>xlim</code>	the range of the $x$ -axis over which to plot the Gielis curve.
<code>ylim</code>	the range of the $y$ -axis over which to plot the Gielis curve.
<code>unit</code>	the unit of the $x$ -axis and the $y$ -axis when showing the Gielis curve.
<code>main</code>	the main title of the figure.

## Details

The arguments of `m`, `simpver`, and `nval` should correspond to `expr` (i.e., GE or TGE). Please note the differences in the simplified version number and the number of parameters between GE and TGE. The Nelder-Mead algorithm (Nelder and Mead, 1965) is used to carry out the optimization of minimizing the residual sum of squares (RSS) between the observed and predicted radii. The `optim` function in package **stats** was used to carry out the Nelder-Mead algorithm. When `angle` =

NULL, the observed polygon will be shown at its initial angle in the scanned image; when angle is a numerical value (e.g.,  $\pi/4$ ) defined by the user, it indicates that the major axis is rotated by the amount ( $\pi/4$ ) counterclockwise from the  $x$ -axis.

### **Value**

par	the estimates of the model parameters.
scan.length	the observed length of the polygon.
scan.width	the observed width of the polygon.
scan.area	the observed area of the polygon.
r.sq	the coefficient of determination between the observed and predicted polar radii.
RSS	the residual sum of squares between the observed and predicted polar radii.
sample.size	the number of data points used in the data fitting.
phi.stand.obs	the polar angles at the standard state.
phi.trans	the transferred polar angles rotated as defined by the user.
r.stand.obs	the observed polar radii at the standard state.
r.stand.pred	the predicted polar radii at the standard state.
x.stand.obs	the observed $x$ coordinates at the standard state.
x.stand.pred	the predicted $x$ coordinates at the standard state.
y.stand.obs	the observed $y$ coordinates at the standard state.
y.stand.pred	the predicted $y$ coordinates at the standard state.
r.obs	the observed polar radii at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
r.pred	the predicted polar radii at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
x.obs	the observed $x$ coordinates at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
x.pred	the predicted $x$ coordinates at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
y.obs	the observed $y$ coordinates at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
y.pred	the predicted $y$ coordinates at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.

### **Note**

`simpver` in GE is different from that in TGE.

### **Author(s)**

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Gielis, J. (2003) A generic geometric transformation that unifies a wide range of natural and abstract shapes. *American Journal of Botany* 90, 333–338. doi:[10.3732/ajb.90.3.333](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.90.3.333)
- Li, Y., Quinn, B.K., Gielis, J., Li, Y., Shi, P. (2022) Evidence that supertriangles exist in nature from the vertical projections of *Koelreuteria paniculata* fruit. *Symmetry* 14, 23. doi:[10.3390/sym14010023](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14010023)
- Nelder, J.A., Mead, R. (1965). A simplex method for function minimization. *Computer Journal* 7, 308–313. doi:[10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308](https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:[10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Gielis, J. (2020) The generalized Gielis geometric equation and its application. *Symmetry* 12, 645. doi:[10.3390/sym12040645](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040645)
- Shi, P., Xu, Q., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Ding, Y., Li, H., Dong, X. (2015) Comparison of dwarf bamboos (*Indocalamus* sp.) leaf parameters to determine relationship between spatial density of plants and total leaf area per plant. *Ecology and Evolution* 5, 4578–4589. doi:[10.1002/ece3.1728](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1728)

## See Also

[areaGE](#), [curveGE](#), [GE](#), [TGE](#)

## Examples

```
data(eggs)

uni.C <- sort( unique(eggs$Code) )
ind   <- 1
Data  <- eggs[eggs$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=200, times=1.2, len.pro=1/20)
x1    <- Res1$x
y1    <- Res1$y
Res2 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=40, times=1, len.pro=1/2, index.sp=20)
x2    <- Res2$x
y2    <- Res2$y
Res3 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=100, times=1, len.pro=1/2, index.sp=100)
x3    <- Res3$x
y3    <- Res3$y

dev.new()
plot( x2, y2, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")),
      pch=1, col=4 )
points( x3, y3, col=2)

x0.ini    <- mean( x1 )
```

```

y0.ini    <- mean( y1 )
theta.ini <- pi
a.ini     <- sqrt(2) * max( y0.ini-min(y1), x0.ini-min(x1) )
n1.ini <- c(5, 25)
n2.ini <- c(15, 25)
if(ind == 2){
  n1.ini <- c(0.5, 1)
  n2.ini <- c(6, 12)
}
ini.val <- list(x0.ini, y0.ini, theta.ini, a.ini, n1.ini, n2.ini)

Res4 <- fitGE( GE, x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                m=1, simpver=1, nval=1, unit="cm",
                par.list=FALSE, fig.opt=TRUE, angle=NULL,
                control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=20000),
                np=2000 )
Res4$par
sqrt(sum((Res4$y.stand.obs-Res4$y.stand.pred)^2)/Res4$sample.size)

xx      <- Res4$x.stand.obs
yy      <- Res4$y.stand.obs

library(spatstat.geom)
poly0 <- as.polygonal(owin(poly=list(x=xx, y=yy)))
area(poly0)

areaGE(GE, P = Res4$par[4:6],
       m=1, simpver=1)

# The following code is used to
# calculate the root-mean-square error (RMSE) in the y-coordinates
ind1 <- which(yy >= 0)
ind2 <- which(yy < 0)
xx1 <- xx[ind1] # The upper part of the egg
yy1 <- yy[ind1]
xx2 <- xx[ind2] # The lower part of the egg
yy2 <- yy[ind2]
Para <- c(0, 0, 0, Res4$par[4:length(Res4$par)])
PartU <- curveGE(GE, P=Para, phi=seq(0, pi, len=100000), m=1, simpver=1, fig.opt=FALSE)
xv1 <- PartU$x
yv1 <- PartU$y
PartL <- curveGE(GE, P=Para, phi=seq(pi, 2*pi, len=100000), m=1, simpver=1, fig.opt=FALSE)
xv2 <- PartL$x
yv2 <- PartL$y
ind3 <- c()
for(q in 1:length(xx1)){
  ind.temp <- which.min(abs(xx1[q]-xv1))
  ind3     <- c(ind3, ind.temp)
}
ind4 <- c()
for(q in 1:length(xx2)){
  ind.temp <- which.min(abs(xx2[q]-xv2))
}

```

```

    ind4      <- c(ind4, ind.temp)
}
RSS     <- sum((yy1-yv1[ind3])^2) + sum((yy2-yv2[ind4])^2)
RMSE   <- sqrt( RSS/length(yy) )

```

## Description

`fitNRGE` is used to estimate the parameters of the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation.

## Usage

```
fitNRGE(x, y, angle = NULL, x0 = NULL, y0 = NULL, ini.C = c(-1, 0.1, 0.5, 1),
         strip.num = 2000, control = list(), fig.opt = TRUE, xlim = NULL,
         ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main = NULL)
```

## Arguments

<code>x</code>	the $x$ coordinates of the edge of an egg's boundary.
<code>y</code>	the $y$ coordinates of the edge of an egg's boundary.
<code>angle</code>	the angle between the major axis (i.e., the egg length axis) of the egg shape and the $x$ -axis, which is estimated by the <code>fitGE</code> function.
<code>x0</code>	the polar point's abscissa corresponding to <code>!is.null(angle)</code> , when using the SGE major axis approximation method.
<code>y0</code>	the polar point's ordinate corresponding to <code>!is.null(angle)</code> , when using the SGE major axis approximation method.
<code>ini.C</code>	the initial value(s) of parameter $C$ in the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation.
<code>strip.num</code>	the number of equidistant strips intersecting with the egg's boundary that are horizontally placed. See Shi et al. (2018, 2020) for details.
<code>control</code>	the list of control parameters for using the <code>optim</code> function in package <code>stats</code> .
<code>fig.opt</code>	an optional argument to draw the observed and predicted egg's boundaries.
<code>xlim</code>	the range of the $x$ -axis over which to plot the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
<code>ylim</code>	the range of the $y$ -axis over which to plot the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
<code>unit</code>	the units of the $x$ -axis and the $y$ -axis when showing the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin curve.
<code>main</code>	the main title of the figure.

## Details

The NRGE (see [NRGE](#)) has a complex model structure with four parameters (i.e.,  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ , and  $D$ ). Because three out of four parameters of NRGE have clear biological and geometric meanings (i.e.,  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $D$ ), their values could be estimated by means of numerical calculation. After obtaining the numerical values of the three parameters, the Nelder-Mead algorithm (Nelder and Mead, 1965) was used to estimate  $C$ . Because of the failure of the optimization method to estimate the major axis (i.e., the egg length axis) and model parameters of NRGE, it was difficult to define the egg length axis, although it is essential for calculating  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $D$ . For this reason, two methods were used to obtain the major axis: the maximum distance method, and the SGE major axis approximation method, where SGE represents a simplified Gielis equation ([GE](#) with `simpver = 1` and  $m = 1$ ). In the first method, the straight line through two points forming the maximum distance on the egg's boundary is defined as the major axis. In the second method, the major axis predicted by SGE was directly used as the major axis of NRGE, because SGE balances the goodness-of-fit of the model and the bilateral symmetry of the curve. Because the direction from the egg base to the egg tip predicted by SGE is the reverse of that predicted by NRGE, the angle between the major axis of NRGE and the  $x$ -axis is equal to the sum of the estimated angle of the major axis using SGE and  $\pi$ . When `angle = NULL`, the maximum distance method is used; when `angle` is a numerical value, the SGE major axis approximation method is used, where `x0`, `y0`, and `angle` should be equal to the first three estimated parameters using the [fitGE](#) function with arguments  $m = 1$  and `simpver = 1`. Here, the numerical value of `angle` is not the angle between the major axis of NRGE and the  $x$ -axis, and instead it is the angle between the major axis of SGE and the  $x$ -axis. Once the major axis is established, the distance of the major axis can be calculated as the estimate of  $A$ . Using the maximum distance method,  $A$  equals the maximum distance. Using the SGE major axis approximation method,  $A$  may be slightly smaller than the true distance. After rotating the major axis to make it overlap with the  $x$ -axis, a large number of equidistant strips can be used (e.g., 2000) from the egg base to egg tip to intersect the egg's boundary. This methodology makes it easy to obtain the maximum egg width (i.e.,  $B$ ) and  $D$ . The residual sum of squares (RSS) between the observed and predicted  $y$  values can be minimized using an optimization method (Nelder and Mead, 1965) to estimate  $C$ . Despite the complex structure of NRGE (see [NRGE](#)), the optimization method for estimating the remaining parameter  $C$  becomes feasible after the other three parameters have been numerically estimated. Please see Shi et al. (2022) for details.

## Value

<code>theta</code>	the angle between the major axis (i.e., the egg length axis) of the egg shape and the $x$ -axis.
<code>x.obs</code>	the observed $x$ coordinates.
<code>y.obs</code>	the observed $y$ coordinates.
<code>y.pred</code>	the predicted $y$ coordinates corresponding to the the observed $x$ coordinates.
<code>par</code>	the estimates of the four model parameters in the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation.
<code>scan.length</code>	the length of the egg's boundary. The default is the maximum distance between two points on the egg's boundary.
<code>scan.width</code>	the maximum width of the egg's boundary.
<code>scan.area</code>	the area of the egg's boundary.

scan.perimeter	the perimeter of the egg's boundary based on all data points on the egg's boundary.
RSS	the residual sum of squares between the observed and predicted $y$ values.
sample.size	the number of data points used in the numerical calculation.
RMSE	the root-mean-square errors between the observed and predicted $y$ values.

### Note

`theta` is the calculated angle between the egg length axis and the  $x$ -axis when using the maximum distance method; and it is equal to the sum of  $\pi$  and the given angle when using the SGE major axis approximation method (i.e., the angle between the SGE major axis and the  $x$ -axis). There are four estimated parameters in total for `par`.

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

### References

- Narushin, V.G., Romanov, M.N., Griffin, D.K. (2021) Egg and math: introducing a universal formula for egg shape. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1505, 169–177. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14680](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14680)
- Nelder, J.A., Mead, R. (1965). A simplex method for function minimization. *Computer Journal* 7, 308–313. [doi:10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308](https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Niklas, K.J. (2022) Comparison of a universal (but complex) model for avian egg shape with a simpler model. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14799](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14799)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Niinemets, Ü., Hui, C., Niklas, K.J., Yu, X., Hölscher, D. (2020) Leaf bilateral symmetry and the scaling of the perimeter vs. the surface area in 15 vine species. *Forests* 11, 246. [doi:10.3390/f11020246](https://doi.org/10.3390/f11020246)
- Shi, P., Zheng, X., Ratkowsky, D.A., Li, Y., Wang, P., Cheng, L. (2018) A simple method for measuring the bilateral symmetry of leaves. *Symmetry* 10, 118. [doi:10.3390/sym10040118](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10040118)
- Tian, F., Wang, Y., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Shi, P. (2020) Comparison of seed morphology of two ginkgo cultivars. *Journal of Forestry Research* 31, 751–758. [doi:10.1007/s116760180770y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s116760180770y)

### See Also

[curveNRGE](#), [fitNRGE](#)

## Examples

```

data(eggs)

uni.C <- sort( unique(eggs$Code) )
ind   <- 8
Data  <- eggs[eggs$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=3000, len.pro=1/20)
x1    <- Res1$x
y1    <- Res1$y

dev.new()
plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

Res2 <- fitNRGE(x1, y1, angle=NULL, ini.C=c(-1, -0.1, seq(0.1, 1, by=0.05)),
                  strip.num=2000, control=list(), fig.opt=TRUE)

dev.new()
plot(Res2$x.obs, Res2$y.obs, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")),
      type="l", col=4)
lines( Res2$x.obs, Res2$y.pred, col=2)

```

## fitovate

### *Data-Fitting Function for the Ovate Leaf-Shape Equation*

## Description

fitovate is used to estimate the parameters of a simplified performance equation.

## Usage

```
fitovate(expr, x, y, ini.val,
         par.list = FALSE, stand.fig = TRUE, control = list(),
         angle = NULL, fig.opt = FALSE, index.xmax = 3, np = 2000,
         xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main = NULL)
```

## Arguments

- |      |  |
|------|--|
| expr | the simplified version 1 of the performance equations. |
| x    | the <i>x</i> coordinates of a polygon's boundary.      |
| y    | the <i>y</i> coordinates of a polygon's boundary.      |

ini.val	the initial values of the simplified version 1 of a performance equation.
par.list	an optional argument to show the list of parameters on the screen.
stand.fig	an optional argument to draw the observed and predicted polygons' boundaries at the standard state (i.e., the origin is located at (0, 0), and the major axis overlaps with the $x$ -axis).
control	the list of control parameters for using the <code>optim</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
angle	the angle between the major axis of the polygon and the $x$ -axis, which can be defined by the user.
fig.opt	an optional argument to draw the observed and predicted polygons at an arbitrary angle between the major axis and the $x$ -axis.
index.xmax	the specified index in parameters representing $x_{\text{max}}$ .
np	the number of data points on the predicted ovate leaf-shape curve.
xlim	the range of the $x$ -axis over which to plot the ovate leaf-shape curve.
ylim	the range of the $y$ -axis over which to plot the ovate leaf-shape curve.
unit	the units of the $x$ -axis and the $y$ -axis when showing the ovate leaf-shape curve.
main	the main title of the figure.

## Details

`ini.val` is a list for seven parameters: three location parameters, and four model parameters, i.e.,  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{max}}$ , and  $\delta$ . This means that `expr` is limited to being the simplified version 1 (where  $x_{\text{min}} = 0$ ) in `MbetaE`, `MBriereE`, and `MLRFE`. The initial values for the first three parameters in `ini.val` are location parameters, among which the first two are the planar coordinates of the transferred origin, and the third is the angle between the major axis of the polygon and the  $x$ -axis. The Nelder-Mead algorithm (Nelder and Mead, 1965) is used to carry out the optimization of minimizing the residual sum of squares (RSS) between the observed and predicted radii. The `optim` function in package **stats** was used to carry out the Nelder-Mead algorithm. When `angle = NULL`, the observed polygon will be shown at its initial angle in the scanned image; when `angle` is a numerical value (e.g.,  $\pi/4$ ) defined by the user, it indicates that the major axis is rotated by the amount ( $\pi/4$ ) counterclockwise from the  $x$ -axis.

## Value

par	the estimates of the model parameters.
r.sq	the coefficient of determination between the observed and predicted $y$ values.
RSS	the residual sum of squares between the observed and predicted $y$ values.
sample.size	the number of data points on the polygon's boundary in the data fitting.
scan.length	the observed length of the polygon's boundary.
scan.width	the observed width of the polygon's boundary.
scan.perimeter	the observed perimeter of the polygon's boundary.
scan.area	the observed area of the polygon's boundary.
pred.length	the predicted length of the polygon's boundary.
pred.width	the predicted width of the polygon's boundary.

pred.perimeter	the predicted perimeter of the polygon's boundary.
pred.area	the predicted area of the polygon's boundary.
x.stand.obs	the observed $x$ coordinates at the standard state.
x.stand.pred	the predicted $x$ coordinates at the standard state.
y.stand.obs	the observed $y$ coordinates at the standard state.
y.stand.pred	the predicted $y$ coordinates at the standard state.
x.obs	the observed $x$ coordinates at the transferred angles defined by the user.
x.pred	the predicted $x$ coordinates at the transferred angles defined by the user.
y.obs	the observed $y$ coordinates at the transferred angles defined by the user.
y.pred	the predicted $y$ coordinates at the transferred angles defined by the user.

### Note

There are seven parameters in total for the value of par. The transferred angle denotes the angle between the major axis and the  $x$ -axis.

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>, Johan Gielis <johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be>, Brady K. Quinn <Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>.

### References

- Jin, J., Quinn, B.K., Shi, P. (2022) The modified Brière equation and its applications. *Plants* 11, 1769. doi:[10.3390/plants11131769](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11131769)
- Li, Y., Zheng, Y., Ratkowsky, D.A., Wei, H., Shi, P. (2022) Application of an ovate leaf shape model to evaluate leaf bilateral asymmetry and calculate lamina centroid location. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12, 822907. doi:[10.3389/fpls.2021.822907](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.822907)
- Nelder, J.A., Mead, R. (1965). A simplex method for function minimization. *Computer Journal* 7, 308–313. doi:[10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308](https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308)
- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. doi:[10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:[10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Yu, K., Niklas, K.J., Schrader, J., Song, Y., Zhu, R., Li, Y., Wei, H., Ratkowsky, D.A. (2021) A general model for describing the ovate leaf shape. *Symmetry* 13, 1524. doi:[10.3390/sym13081524](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13081524)

### See Also

[areaovate](#), [curveovate](#), [MbetaE](#), [MBriereE](#), [MLRFE](#)

## Examples

```

data(Neocinnamomum)

uni.C <- sort( unique(Neocinnamomum$Code) )
ind   <- 2
Data  <- Neocinnamomum[Neocinnamomum$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=200, len.pro=1/20)
x1   <- Res1$x
y1   <- Res1$y

dev.new()
plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

x0.ini   <- min( x1 )
y0.ini   <- min( y1 )
theta.ini <- pi/4
len.max   <- max( max(y1)-min(y1), max(x1)-min(x1) ) *2/sqrt(2)
a.ini     <- c(0.1, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001)
m.ini     <- c(0.1, 0.5, 1, 2)
x2.ini   <- len.max
delta.ini <- c(0.5, 1)
ini.val   <- list(x0.ini, y0.ini, theta.ini, a.ini, m.ini, x2.ini, delta.ini)

Res2 <- fitovate(MBriereE, x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                  par.list=FALSE, fig.opt=TRUE, angle=pi/6,
                  control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=20000),
                  np=2000, unit=NULL)
Res2$RSS

x0.ini   <- min( x1 )
y0.ini   <- min( y1 )
theta.ini <- pi/4
len.max   <- max( max(y1)-min(y1), max(x1)-min(x1) ) *2/sqrt(2)
yc.ini    <- len.max/3
xc.ini    <- 1/4*len.max
x2.ini   <- len.max
delta.ini <- c(0.5, seq(1, 5, by=5))
ini.val   <- list(x0.ini, y0.ini, theta.ini, yc.ini, xc.ini, x2.ini, delta.ini)

Res3 <- fitovate( MbetaE, x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                  par.list=TRUE, fig.opt=TRUE, angle=pi/3,
                  control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=20000),
                  np=2000, unit=NULL )
Res3$RSS

Res4 <- fitovate( MLRFE, x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                  unit=NULL, par.list=FALSE, fig.opt=TRUE,

```

```
angle=NULL, control=list(reltol=1e-20,
maxit=20000), np=2000)
Res4$RSS
```

**fitPE***Data-Fitting Function for the Preston Equation***Description**

**fitPE** is used to estimate the parameters of the original Preston equation or one of its simplified versions.

**Usage**

```
fitPE(x, y, ini.val, simpver = NULL,
      control = list(), par.list = FALSE,
      stand.fig = TRUE, angle = NULL, fig.opt = FALSE, np = 2000,
      xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main = NULL)
```

**Arguments**

<b>x</b>	the <i>x</i> coordinates of a polygon's boundary.
<b>y</b>	the <i>y</i> coordinates of a polygon's boundary.
<b>ini.val</b>	the list of initial values for the model parameters.
<b>simpver</b>	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original Preston equation.
<b>control</b>	the list of control parameters for using the <a href="#">optim</a> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<b>par.list</b>	the option of showing the list of parameters on the screen.
<b>stand.fig</b>	the option of drawing the observed and predicted polygons at the standard state (i.e., the polar point is located at (0, 0), and the major axis overlaps with the <i>x</i> -axis).
<b>angle</b>	the angle between the major axis and the <i>x</i> -axis, which can be defined by the user.
<b>fig.opt</b>	an optional argument of drawing the observed and predicted polygons at arbitrary angle between the major axis and the <i>x</i> -axis.
<b>np</b>	the number of data points on the predicted Preston curve.
<b>xlim</b>	the range of the <i>x</i> -axis over which to plot the Preston curve.
<b>ylim</b>	the range of the <i>y</i> -axis over which to plot the Preston curve.
<b>unit</b>	the unit of the <i>x</i> -axis and the <i>y</i> -axis when showing the Preston curve.
<b>main</b>	the main title of the figure.

## Details

The `simpver` argument should correspond to `PE`. Here, the major axis is a straight line through the midpoint of the egg length, and is perpendicular to the egg length axis. The Nelder-Mead algorithm (Nelder and Mead, 1965) is used to carry out the optimization of minimizing the residual sum of squares (RSS) between the observed and predicted distances from the origin. The `optim` function in package `stats` was used to carry out the Nelder-Mead algorithm. When `angle = NULL`, the observed polygon will be shown at its initial angle in the scanned image; when `angle` is a numerical value (e.g.,  $\pi/4$ ) defined by the user, it indicates that the major axis is rotated by the amount ( $\pi/4$ ) counterclockwise from the  $x$ -axis.

## Value

<code>par</code>	the estimates of the model parameters.
<code>scan.length</code>	the observed length of the polygon.
<code>scan.width</code>	the observed width of the polygon.
<code>scan.area</code>	the observed area of the polygon.
<code>r.sq</code>	the coefficient of determination between the observed and predicted distances of the points on the Preston curve from the origin.
<code>RSS</code>	the residual sum of squares between the observed and predicted distances of the points on the Preston curve from the origin.
<code>sample.size</code>	the number of data points used in the data fitting.
<code>zeta.stand.obs</code>	the <code>zeta</code> angles at the standard state.
<code>zeta.trans</code>	the transferred angles rotated as defined by the user.
<code>r.stand.obs</code>	the observed distances of the points on the Preston curve from the origin at the standard state.
<code>r.stand.pred</code>	the predicted distances of the points on the Preston curve from the origin at the standard state.
<code>x.stand.obs</code>	the observed $x$ coordinates of the points on the Preston curve at the standard state.
<code>x.stand.pred</code>	the predicted $x$ coordinates of the points on the Preston curve at the standard state.
<code>y.stand.obs</code>	the observed $y$ coordinates of the points on the Preston curve at the standard state.
<code>y.stand.pred</code>	the predicted $y$ coordinates of the points on the Preston curve at the standard state.
<code>r.obs</code>	the observed distances of the points on the Preston curve from the origin at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
<code>r.pred</code>	the predicted distances of the points on the Preston curve from the origin at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
<code>x.obs</code>	the observed $x$ coordinates of the points on the Preston curve at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
<code>x.pred</code>	the predicted $x$ coordinates of the points on the Preston curve at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.

y.obs	the observed $y$ coordinates of the points on the Preston curve at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.
y.pred	the predicted $y$ coordinates of the points on the Preston curve at the transferred polar angles as defined by the user.

### Note

When  $c_1$  is a positive number, the egg tip generated by the Preston equation is down; when  $c_1$  is a negative number, the egg tip is up. To conveniently quantify the angle between the major axis and the  $x$ -axis, we define  $c_1$  to be a non-negative number.

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

### References

- Biggins, J.D., Montgomeries, R.M., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2022) Preston's universal formula for avian egg shape. *Ornithology* In press. [doi:10.1093/ornithology/ukac028](https://doi.org/10.1093/ornithology/ukac028)
- Biggins, J.D., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2018) Accurately quantifying the shape of birds' eggs. *Ecology and Evolution* 8, 9728–9738. [doi:10.1002/ece3.4412](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4412)
- Nelder, J.A., Mead, R. (1965). A simplex method for function minimization. *Computer Journal* 7, 308–313. [doi:10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308](https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308)
- Preston, F.W. (1953) The shapes of birds' eggs. *The Auk* 70, 160–182.
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Todd, P.H., Smart, I.H.M. (1984) The shape of birds' eggs. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 106, 239–243. [doi:10.1016/00225193\(84\)900213](https://doi.org/10.1016/00225193(84)900213)

### See Also

[curvePE](#), [PE](#), [lmPE](#), [TSE](#)

### Examples

```
data(eggs)

uni.C <- sort( unique(eggs$Code) )
ind   <- 8
Data  <- eggs[eggs$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=2000, times=1.2, len.pro=1/20)
x1    <- Res1$x
y1    <- Res1$y
```

```

dev.new()
plot( x1, y1, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l", col=4,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

x0.ini    <- mean( x1 )
y0.ini    <- mean( y1 )
theta.ini <- -pi/4
if(ind==1) theta.ini <- 0
if(ind==7 | ind==9) theta.ini <- 4/3*pi
if(ind==8) theta.ini <- pi
a.ini     <- max(c(max(y1)-min(y1), max(x1)-min(x1)))/2
b.ini     <- a.ini*3/4
c1.ini    <- log(0.25)
c2.ini    <- c(-0.1, -0.01, -0.001)
c3.ini    <- 0

simpver   <- NULL
ini.val   <- list(x0.ini, y0.ini, theta.ini, a.ini, b.ini, c1.ini, c2.ini, c3.ini)

res0 <- fitPE( x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                simpver=simpver, unit="cm", par.list=FALSE,
                stand.fig=FALSE, angle=NULL, fig.opt=FALSE,
                control=list(reltol=1e-30, maxit=50000, np=2000 ) )

n.loop <- 20
Show   <- "FALSE"
for(i in 1:n.loop){
  ini.val <- res0$par
  if(i==n.loop) Show <- "TRUE"
  print(paste(i, "/", n.loop, sep=""))
  res0 <- fitPE( x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                  simpver=simpver, unit="cm", par.list=FALSE,
                  stand.fig=Show, angle=pi/2, fig.opt=Show,
                  control=list(reltol=1e-30, maxit=50000, np=2000 ) )
}

# The numerical values of the location and model parameters
res0$par
# The root-mean-square error (RMSE) between the observed and predicted distances from the origin
sqrt(res0$RSS/res0$sample.size)
# The root-mean-square error (RMSE) between the observed and predicted x coordinates
sqrt(sum((res0$x.stand.obs-res0$x.stand.pred)^2)/length(res0$x.stand.obs))

# Using the PE major axis to estimate the parameters of the Todd-Smart equation
# based on the multiple linear regression
res1 <- lmPE(x1, y1, simpver=NULL, angle=res0$par[3], x0=res0$par[1], y0=res0$par[2], unit="cm")
summary( res1$lm.tse )
res2 <- lmPE(x1, y1, simpver=NULL, angle=NULL, unit="cm")
summary( res2$lm.tse )

```

---

**fitsigmoid***Data-Fitting Function for the Sigmoid Growth Equation*

---

## Description

**fitsigmoid** is used to estimate the parameters of a sigmoid growth equation based on the integral of a performance equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Usage

```
fitsigmoid(expr, x, y, ini.val, simpver = 1,
           control = list(), par.list = FALSE, fig.opt = FALSE,
           xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, xlab = NULL, ylab = NULL,
           main = NULL, subdivisions = 100L,
           rel.tol = .Machine$double.eps^0.25,
           abs.tol = rel.tol, stop.on.error = TRUE,
           keep.xy = FALSE, aux = NULL)
```

## Arguments

<code>expr</code>	a performance equation or one of its simplified versions that is used to build a sigmoid growth equation.
<code>x</code>	the observed investigation times.
<code>y</code>	the observed $y$ values (i.e., biomass, height, body length, etc.).
<code>ini.val</code>	the initial values of the model parameters.
<code>simpver</code>	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the performance equation.
<code>control</code>	the list of control parameters for using the <code>optim</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>par.list</code>	the option of showing the list of parameters on the screen.
<code>fig.opt</code>	an optional argument to draw the observations and the predicted sigmoid curve.
<code>xlim</code>	the range of the $x$ -axis over which to plot a sigmoid growth curve.
<code>ylim</code>	the range of the $y$ -axis over which to plot a sigmoid growth curve.
<code>xlab</code>	the label of the $x$ -axis when showing a sigmoid growth curve.
<code>ylab</code>	the label of the $y$ -axis when showing a sigmoid growth curve.
<code>main</code>	the main title of the figure.
<code>subdivisions</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>rel.tol</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>abs.tol</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>stop.on.error</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>keep.xy</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>aux</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .

## Details

Here, `ini.val` only includes the initial values of the model parameters as a list. The Nelder-Mead algorithm (Nelder and Mead, 1965) is used to carry out the optimization of minimizing the residual sum of squares (RSS) between the observed and predicted  $y$  values. The `optim` function in package `stats` was used to carry out the Nelder-Mead algorithm. The performance equations denote `MbetaE`, `MBriereE`, `MLRFE` and their simplified versions. The arguments of `P` and `simpver` should correspond to `expr` (i.e., `MbetaE` or `MBriereE` or `MLRFE`). The sigmoid equation is the integral of a performance equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Value

<code>par</code>	the estimates of the model parameters.
<code>r.sq</code>	the coefficient of determination between the observed and predicted $y$ values.
<code>RSS</code>	the residual sum of squares between the observed and predicted $y$ values.
<code>sample.size</code>	the number of data points used in the data fitting.
<code>x</code>	the observed $x$ values.
<code>y</code>	the observed $y$ values.
<code>y.pred</code>	the predicted $y$ values.

## Note

Here, the user can define other performance equations, but new equations or their simplified versions should include the lower and upper thresholds on the  $x$ -axis corresponding to  $y = 0$ , whose indices should be the same as those in `MbetaE` or `MBriereE` or `MLRFE`.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Jin, J., Quinn, B.K., Shi, P. (2022) The modified Brière equation and its applications. *Plants* 11, 1769. [doi:10.3390/plants11131769](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11131769)
- Nelder, J.A., Mead, R. (1965). A simplex method for function minimization. *Computer Journal* 7, 308–313. [doi:10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308](https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308)
- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. [doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)

## See Also

`areaovate`, `MbetaE`, `MBriereE`, `MLRFE`, `sigmoid`

## Examples

```

# The shrimp growth data(See the supplementary table in West et al., 2001)
# West, G.B., Brown, J.H., Enquist, B.J. (2001) A general model for ontogenetic growth.
#     Nature 413, 628-631.
t0 <- c(3, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 384)
m0 <- c(0.001, 0.005, 0.018, 0.037, 0.06, 0.067, 0.07)

dev.new()
plot( t0, m0, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, col=4,
      xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)) )

xopt0  <- seq(100, 150, by=5)
ini.val <- list(0.035, xopt0, 200, 1)
resu1  <- fitsigmoid(MLRFE, x=t0, y=m0, ini.val=ini.val, simpver=1, fig.opt=TRUE, par.list=TRUE)

delta0  <- c(0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20)
ini.val <- list(0.035, 150, -100, 200, delta0)
resu2  <- fitsigmoid(MLRFE, x=t0, y=m0, ini.val=ini.val, simpver=NULL,
                      fig.opt=TRUE, control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=2000),
                      subdivisions = 100L, rel.tol=.Machine$double.eps^0.25,
                      abs.tol=.Machine$double.eps^0.25, stop.on.error=TRUE,
                      keep.xy=FALSE, aux=NULL)

xopt0  <- seq(100, 150, by=5)
ini.val <- list(0.035, xopt0, 200, 1)
resu3  <- fitsigmoid(MbetaE, x=t0, y=m0, ini.val=ini.val, simpver=1, fig.opt=TRUE)

m.ini  <- c(0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 20)
ini.val <- list(1e-8, m.ini, 200, 1)
resu3  <- fitsigmoid(MBriereE, x=t0, y=m0, ini.val=ini.val, simpver=1,
                      fig.opt=TRUE, control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=20000, trace=FALSE),
                      subdivisions=100L, rel.tol=.Machine$double.eps^0.25,
                      abs.tol=.Machine$double.eps^0.25, stop.on.error=TRUE,
                      keep.xy=FALSE, aux=NULL)

```

## Description

`fracdim` is used to calculate the fractal dimension of leaf veins based on the box-counting method.

## Usage

```
fracdim(x, y, frac.fig = TRUE, denomi.range = seq(8, 30, by=1),
        ratiox = 0.02, ratioy = 0.08, main = NULL)
```

## Arguments

<code>x</code>	the $x$ coordinates of leaf-vein pixels.
<code>y</code>	the $y$ coordinates of leaf-vein pixels.
<code>frac.fig</code>	the option of drawing the results of the linear fitting.
<code>denomi.range</code>	the number of equidistant segments of the maximum range between the range of the $x$ coordinates and that of the $y$ coordinates.
<code>ratiox</code>	the the $x$ coordinate of the location parameter for positioning the legend.
<code>ratioy</code>	the the $y$ coordinate of the location parameter for positioning the legend.
<code>main</code>	the main title of the figure.

## Details

The box-counting approach uses a group of boxes (squares for simplicity) with different sizes ( $\delta$ ) to divide the leaf vein image into different parts. Let  $N$  represent the number of boxes that include at least one pixel of leaf vein. The maximum of the range of the  $x$  coordinates and the range of the  $y$  coordinates for leaf-vein pixels is defined as  $z$ . Let  $\delta$  represent the vector of  $z/\text{denomi.range}$ . Then, we used the following equation to calculate the fractal dimension of leaf veins:

$$\ln N = a + b \ln \delta^{-1},$$

where  $b$  is the theoretical value of the fractal dimension. We can use its estimate as the numerical value of the fractal dimension for a leaf venation network.

## Value

<code>a</code>	the estimate of the intercept.
<code>sd.a</code>	the standard deviation of the estimated intercept.
<code>lci.a</code>	the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval of the estimated intercept.
<code>uci.a</code>	the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval of the estimated intercept.
<code>b</code>	the estimate of the slope.
<code>sd.b</code>	the standard deviation of the estimated slope.
<code>lci.a</code>	the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval of the estimated slope.
<code>uci.a</code>	the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval of the estimated slope.
<code>r.sq</code>	the coefficient of determination.
<code>delta</code>	the vector of box sizes.
<code>N</code>	the number of boxes that include at least one pixel of leaf vein.

## Note

Here, `x` and `y` cannot be adjusted by the `adjdata` function because the leaf veins are not the leaf's boundary data.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:[10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Yu, K., Niinemets, Ü., Gielis, J. (2021) Can leaf shape be represented by the ratio of leaf width to length? Evidence from nine species of *Magnolia* and *Michelia* (Magnoliaceae). *Forests* 12, 41. doi:[10.3390/f12010041](https://doi.org/10.3390/f12010041)
- Vico, P.G., Kyriacos, S., Heymans, O., Louryan, S., Cartilier, L. (1998) Dynamic study of the extraembryonic vascular network of the chick embryo by fractal analysis. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 195, 525–532. doi:[10.1006/jtbi.1998.0810](https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.1998.0810)

## See Also

[veins](#)

## Examples

```
data(veins)

dev.new()
plot(veins$x, veins$y, cex=0.01, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expressionitalic("x"), ylab=expressionitalic("y"))

fracdim(veins$x, veins$y)
```

## Description

GE is used to calculate polar radii of the original Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions at given polar angles.

## Usage

```
GE(P, phi, m = 1, simpver = NULL, nval = 1)
```

## Arguments

P	the parameters of the original Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.
phi	the polar angle(s).
m	the given $m$ value that determines the number of angles of the Gielis curve within $[0, 2\pi]$ .
simpver	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original Gielis equation.
nval	the specified value for $n_1$ or $n_2$ or $n_3$ in the simplified versions.

## Details

When `simpver = NULL`, the original Gielis equation is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \frac{1}{k} \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_3} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $r$  represents the polar radius at the polar angle  $\varphi$ ;  $m$  determines the number of angles within  $[0, 2\pi)$ ; and  $a, k, n_1, n_2$ , and  $n_3$  need to be provided in `P`.

When `simpver = 1`, the simplified version 1 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a, n_1$ , and  $n_2$  need to be provided in `P`.

When `simpver = 2`, the simplified version 2 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a$  and  $n_1$  need to be provided in `P`, and  $n_2$  should be specified in `nval`.

When `simpver = 3`, the simplified version 3 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_1} + \left| \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a$  needs to be provided in `P`, and  $n_1$  should be specified in `nval`.

When `simpver = 4`, the simplified version 4 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_1} + \left| \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a$  and  $n_1$  need to be provided in `P`.

When `simpver = 5`, the simplified version 5 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_3} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a, n_1, n_2$ , and  $n_3$  need to be provided in `P`.

When `simpver = 6`, the simplified version 6 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \frac{1}{k} \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a, k, n_1$ , and  $n_2$  need to be provided in `P`.

When `simpver = 7`, the simplified version 7 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \frac{1}{k} \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a, k$ , and  $n_1$  need to be provided in `P`, and  $n_2$  should be specified in `nval`.

When `simpver` = 8, the simplified version 8 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_1} + \left| \frac{1}{k} \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a$  and  $k$  are parameters that need to be provided in  $\mathsf{P}$ , and  $n_1$  should be specified in `nval`.

When `simpver` = 9, the simplified version 9 is selected:

$$r(\varphi) = a \left( \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_1} + \left| \frac{1}{k} \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n_1}},$$

where  $a$ ,  $k$ , and  $n_1$  need to be provided in  $\mathsf{P}$ .

## Value

The polar radii predicted by the original Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Note

`simpver` here is different from that in the [TGE](#) function.

## Author(s)

Piejian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Gielis, J. (2003) A generic geometric transformation that unifies a wide range of natural and abstract shapes. *American Journal of Botany* 90, 333–338. [doi:10.3732/ajb.90.3.333](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.90.3.333)
- Li, Y., Quinn, B.K., Gielis, J., Li, Y., Shi, P. (2022) Evidence that supertriangles exist in nature from the vertical projections of *Koelreuteria paniculata* fruit. *Symmetry* 14, 23. [doi:10.3390/sym14010023](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14010023)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Gielis, J. (2020) The generalized Gielis geometric equation and its application. *Symmetry* 12, 645. [doi:10.3390/sym12040645](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040645)
- Shi, P., Xu, Q., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Ding, Y., Li, H., Dong, X. (2015) Comparison of dwarf bamboos (*Indocalamus* sp.) leaf parameters to determine relationship between spatial density of plants and total leaf area per plant. *Ecology and Evolution* 5, 4578–4589. [doi:10.1002/ece3.1728](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1728)

## See Also

[areaGE](#), [curveGE](#), [fitGE](#), [TGE](#)

## Examples

```
GE.par <- c(2, 1, 4, 6, 3)
varphi.vec <- seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000)
r.theor <- GE(P=GE.par, phi=varphi.vec, m=5)

dev.new()
plot( varphi.vec, r.theor, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic(varphi)), ylab=expression(italic("r")),
      type="l", col=4 )
```

ginkgoseed

*Boundary Data of the Side Projections of Ginkgo biloba Seeds*

## Description

The data consist of the boundary data of four side projections of *G. biloba* (Cultivar 'Fozhi') seeds sampled at Nanjing Forestry University campus on September 23, 2021.

## Usage

```
data(ginkgoseed)
```

## Details

In the data set, there are three columns of variables: Code, x, and y. Code saves the codes of individual fruit; x saves the x coordinates of the side projections of seeds in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm); and y saves the y coordinates of the side projections of seeds in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm).

## References

Tian, F., Wang, Y., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Shi, P. (2020) Comparison of seed morphology of two ginkgo cultivars. *Journal of Forestry Research* 31, 751–758. doi:[10.1007/s116760180770y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s116760180770y)

## Examples

```
data(ginkgoseed)

uni.C <- sort( unique(ginkgoseed$Code) )
ind   <- 1
Data  <- ginkgoseed[ginkgoseed$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=2000, len.pro=1/20)
dev.new()
plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )
```

```

x1      <- Res1$x
y1      <- Res1$y
x0.ini  <- mean( x1 )
y0.ini  <- mean( y1 )
theta.ini <- pi/4
a.ini    <- 1
n1.ini   <- seq(0.6, 1, by=0.1)
n2.ini   <- 1
n3.ini   <- 1
ini.val  <- list(x0.ini, y0.ini, theta.ini,
                  a.ini, n1.ini, n2.ini, n3.ini)

Res2 <- fitGE( GE, x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                m=2, simpver=5, nval=1, unit="cm",
                par.list=FALSE, fig.opt=TRUE, angle=NULL,
                control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=20000),
                np=2000 )

```

kp

*Boundary Data of the Vertical Projections of Koelreuteria paniculata Fruit*

## Description

The data consist of the boundary data of four vertical projections of *K. paniculata* fruit sampled at Nanjing Forestry University campus in early October 2021.

## Usage

```
data(kp)
```

## Details

In the data set, there are three columns of variables: Code, x, and y. Code saves the codes of individual fruit; x saves the *x* coordinates of the vertical projections of fruit in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm); and y saves the *y* coordinates of the vertical projections of fruit in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm).

## References

Li, Y., Quinn, B.K., Gielis, J., Li, Y., Shi, P. (2022) Evidence that supertriangles exist in nature from the vertical projections of *Koelreuteria paniculata* fruit. *Symmetry* 14, 23. [doi:10.3390/sym14010023](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14010023)

## Examples

```

data(kp)

uni.C <- sort( unique(kp$Code) )
ind   <- 1
Data  <- kp[kp$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=200, len.pro=1/20)
dev.new()
plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

x1      <- Res1$x
y1      <- Res1$y
x0.ini  <- mean( x1 )
y0.ini  <- mean( y1 )
theta.ini <- pi
a.ini   <- 0.9
n1.ini  <- c(1, 4)
n2.ini  <- 5
n3.ini  <- c(5, 10, 15)
ini.val <- list(x0.ini, y0.ini, theta.ini,
                 a.ini, n1.ini, n2.ini, n3.ini)

Res2 <- fitGE( GE, x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                m=3, simpver=5, nval=1, unit="cm",
                par.list=FALSE, fig.opt=TRUE, angle=NULL,
                control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=20000),
                np=2000 )

```

## Description

lmPE is used to estimate the parameters of the Todd-Smart equation using the multiple linear regression.

## Usage

```
lmPE(x, y, simpver = NULL, angle = NULL, x0 = NULL, y0 = NULL, strip.num = 2000,
      weights = NULL, fig.opt = TRUE, xlim = NULL, ylim = NULL, unit = NULL, main = NULL)
```

## Arguments

x	the <i>x</i> coordinates of the edge of an egg's boundary.
y	the <i>y</i> coordinates of the edge of an egg's boundary.
simpver	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original Todd-Smart equation.
angle	the angle between the major axis (i.e., the axis perpendicular to the egg length axis) of the egg shape and the <i>x</i> -axis, which is estimated by the <code>fitPE</code> function.
x0	the polar point's abscissa corresponding to <code>!is.null(angle)</code> , when using the PE major axis approximation method.
y0	the polar point's ordinate corresponding to <code>!is.null(angle)</code> , when using the PE major axis approximation method.
strip.num	the number of equidistant strips intersecting with the egg's boundary that are horizontally placed. See Shi et al. (2018, 2020) for details.
weights	the weights for the multiple linear regression.
fig.opt	an optional argument to draw the observed and predicted egg's boundaries.
xlim	the range of the <i>x</i> -axis over which to plot the Todd-Smart curve.
ylim	the range of the <i>y</i> -axis over which to plot the Todd-Smart curve.
unit	the units of the <i>x</i> -axis and the <i>y</i> -axis when showing the Todd-Smart curve.
main	the main title of the figure.

## Details

There are two methods to obtain the major axis (i.e., the egg length axis): the maximum distance method, and the PE major axis approximation method. In the first method, the straight line through two points forming the maximum distance on the egg's boundary is defined as the major axis. In the second method, the major axis predicted by using the `fitPE` function was directly used as the major axis of TSE, because the optimization method based on the `fitPE` function balances the goodness-of-fit of the model and the bilateral symmetry of the curve. Because the direction from the egg base to the egg tip predicted by the `fitPE` function is perpendicular to that predicted by TSE, the angle between the major axis of TSE and the *x*-axis is equal to the difference between the estimated angle of the major axis using the `fitPE` function and  $\pi/2$ . However, there is no need to subtract  $\pi/2$  in the angle argument. When `angle = NULL`, the maximum distance method is used; when `angle` is a numerical value, the PE major axis approximation method is used, where `x0`, `y0`, and `angle` should be equal to the first three estimated parameters using the `fitPE` function. Here, the numerical value of `angle` is not the angle between the major axis of TSE and the *x*-axis, and instead it is the angle between the major axis of PE and the *x*-axis.

## Value

lm.tse	the fitted results of the multiple linear regression.
par	the estimates of the four model parameters in the Todd-Smart equation.
theta	the angle between the major axis (i.e., the egg length axis) of the egg shape and the <i>x</i> -axis.
x.obs	the observed <i>x</i> coordinates.

y.obs	the observed $y$ coordinates.
y.pred	the predicted $y$ coordinates corresponding to the the observed $x$ coordinates.
x.stand.obs	the observed $x$ coordinates when the egg length is fixed to be 2 ranging from -1 to 1.
y.stand.obs	the observed $y$ coordinates when the egg length is fixed to be 2 ranging from -1 to 1.
y.stand.pred	the predicted $y$ coordinates corresponding to the the observed $x$ coordinates, when the egg length is fixed to be 2 ranging from -1 to 1.
scan.length	the length of the egg's boundary. The default is the maximum distance between two points on the egg's boundary.
scan.width	the maximum width of the egg's boundary.
scan.area	the area of the egg's boundary.
scan.perimeter	the perimeter of the egg's boundary based on all data points on the egg's boundary.
RSS	the residual sum of squares between the observed and predicted $y$ values.
sample.size	the number of data points used in the numerical calculation.
RMSE	the root-mean-square errors between the observed and predicted $y$ values.

### Note

theta is the calculated angle between the egg length axis and the  $x$ -axis when using the maximum distance method; and it is equal to the difference between the given (fitted) angle and  $\pi/2$  when using the PE major axis approximation method (i.e., the angle between the PE major axis and the  $x$ -axis). Here, RSS, and RMSE are for the observed and predicted  $y$  coordinates of the egg shape, not for those when the egg length is fixed to be 2 ranging from -1 to 1. There are two figures when `fig.opt = TRUE`: (i) the observed and predicted egg boundaries when the egg length is fixed to be 2 ranging from -1 to 1, and (ii) the observed and predicted egg boundaries at their actual scales.

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

### References

- Biggins, J.D., Montgomeries, R.M., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2022) Preston's universal formula for avian egg shape. *Ornithology* In press. [doi:10.1093/ornithology/ukac028](https://doi.org/10.1093/ornithology/ukac028)
- Biggins, J.D., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2018) Accurately quantifying the shape of birds' eggs. *Ecology and Evolution* 8, 9728–9738. [doi:10.1002/ece3.4412](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4412)
- Nelder, J.A., Mead, R. (1965). A simplex method for function minimization. *Computer Journal* 7, 308–313. [doi:10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308](https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308)
- Preston, F.W. (1953) The shapes of birds' eggs. *The Auk* 70, 160–182.
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)

Shi, P., Niinemets, Ü., Hui, C., Niklas, K.J., Yu, X., Hölscher, D. (2020) Leaf bilateral symmetry and the scaling of the perimeter vs. the surface area in 15 vine species. *Forests* 11, 246. doi:10.3390/f11020246

Shi, P., Zheng, X., Ratkowsky, D.A., Li, Y., Wang, P., Cheng, L. (2018) A simple method for measuring the bilateral symmetry of leaves. *Symmetry* 10, 118. doi:10.3390/sym10040118

Todd, P.H., Smart, I.H.M. (1984) The shape of birds' eggs. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 106, 239–243. doi:10.1016/00225193(84)900213

## See Also

[curvePE](#), [fitPE](#), [PE](#), [TSE](#)

## Examples

```
data(eggs)

uni.C <- sort( unique(eggs$Code) )
ind   <- 8
Data  <- eggs[eggs$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=3000, len.pro=1/20)
x1   <- Res1$x
y1   <- Res1$y

dev.new()
plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

Res2 <- lmPE(x1, y1, simpver=NULL, angle=NULL, unit="cm")
summary( Res2$lm.tse )

if(FALSE){
  dev.new()
  xg1 <- seq(-1, 1, len=1000)
  yg1 <- TSE(P=Res2$par, x=xg1, simpver=NULL)
  xg2 <- seq(1, -1, len=1000)
  yg2 <- -TSE(P=Res2$par, x=xg2, simpver=NULL)
  plot(xg1, yg1, asp=1, type="l", col=2, ylim=c(-1,1), cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
       xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)))
  lines(xg2, yg2, col=4)

  dev.new()
  plot(Res2$x.obs, Res2$y.obs, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
       xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)), type="l")
  lines(Res2$x.obs, Res2$y.pred, col=2)

  dev.new()
  plot(Res2$x.stand.obs, Res2$y.stand.obs, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
```

```

    xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)), type="l")
    lines(Res2$x.stand.obs, Res2$y.stand.pred, col=2)
}

Res3 <- lmPE(x1, y1, simpver=1, angle=NULL, unit="cm")
summary( Res3$lm.tse )

```

**MbtaE***Modified Beta Equation***Description**

**MbtaE** is used to calculate  $y$  values at given  $x$  values using the modified beta equation or one of its simplified versions.

**Usage**

```
MbtaE(P, x, simpver = 1)
```

**Arguments**

- |         |   |
|---------|---|
| P       | the parameters of the modified beta equation or one of its simplified versions.   |
| x       | the given $x$ values.   |
| simpver | an optional argument to use the simplified version of the modified beta equation. |

**Details**

When `simpver = NULL`, the modified beta equation is selected:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{if } x \in (x_{\min}, x_{\max}), \\
 y = y_{\text{opt}} & \left[ \left( \frac{x_{\max} - x}{x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}} \right) \left( \frac{x - x_{\min}}{x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\min}} \right)^{\frac{x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}}} \right]^{\delta}; \\
 & \text{if } x \notin (x_{\min}, x_{\max}), \\
 y & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $x$  and  $y$  represent the independent and dependent variables, respectively;  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\min}$ , and  $x_{\max}$  are constants to be estimated;  $y_{\text{opt}}$  represents the maximum  $y$ , and  $x_{\text{opt}}$  is the  $x$  value associated with the maximum  $y$  (i.e.,  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ); and  $x_{\min}$  and  $x_{\max}$  represent the lower and upper intersections between the curve and the  $x$ -axis.  $y$  is defined as 0 when  $x < x_{\min}$  or  $x > x_{\max}$ . There are five elements in  $P$ , representing the values of  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\min}$ ,  $x_{\max}$ , and  $\delta$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 1`, the simplified version 1 is selected:

$$\text{if } x \in (0, x_{\max}),$$

$$y = y_{\text{opt}} \left[ \left( \frac{x_{\max} - x}{x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}} \right) \left( \frac{x}{x_{\text{opt}}} \right)^{\frac{x_{\text{opt}}}{x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}}} \right]^{\delta};$$

if  $x \notin (0, x_{\max})$ ,

$$y = 0.$$

There are four elements in P, representing the values of  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\max}$ , and  $\delta$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 2`, the simplified version 2 is selected:

$$\text{if } x \in (x_{\min}, x_{\max}),$$

$$y = y_{\text{opt}} \left( \frac{x_{\max} - x}{x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}} \right) \left( \frac{x - x_{\min}}{x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\min}} \right)^{\frac{x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}}};$$

if  $x \notin (x_{\min}, x_{\max})$ ,

$$y = 0.$$

There are four elements in P, representing the values of  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\min}$ , and  $x_{\max}$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 3`, the simplified version 3 is selected:

$$\text{if } x \in (0, x_{\max}),$$

$$y = y_{\text{opt}} \left( \frac{x_{\max} - x}{x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}} \right) \left( \frac{x}{x_{\text{opt}}} \right)^{\frac{x_{\text{opt}}}{x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}}};$$

if  $x \notin (0, x_{\max})$ ,

$$y = 0.$$

There are three elements in P, representing the values of  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ , and  $x_{\max}$ , respectively.

## Value

The  $y$  values predicted by the modified beta equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Note

We have added a parameter  $\delta$  in the original beta equation (i.e., `simpver = 2`) to increase the flexibility for data fitting.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. [doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)

**See Also**

[areaovate](#), [curveovate](#), [fitovate](#), [MBriereE](#), [MLRFE](#), [sigmoid](#)

**Examples**

```
x1   <- seq(-5, 15, len=2000)
Par1 <- c(3, 3, 10, 2)
y1   <- MbriereE(P=Par1, x=x1, simpver=1)

dev.new()
plot( x1, y1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)) )
```

MBriereE

*Modified Brière Equation***Description**

`MBriereE` is used to calculate  $y$  values at given  $x$  values using the modified Brière equation or one of its simplified versions.

**Usage**

```
MBriereE(P, x, simpver = 1)
```

**Arguments**

- |                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| <code>P</code>       | the parameters of the modified Brière equation or one of its simplified versions.   |
| <code>x</code>       | the given $x$ values.   |
| <code>simpver</code> | an optional argument to use the simplified version of the modified Brière equation. |

**Details**

When `simpver = NULL`, the modified Brière equation is selected:

if  $x \in (x_{\min}, x_{\max})$ ,

$$y = a \left| x(x - x_{\min})(x_{\max} - x)^{1/m} \right|^{\delta};$$

if  $x \notin (x_{\min}, x_{\max})$ ,

$$y = 0.$$

Here,  $x$  and  $y$  represent the independent and dependent variables, respectively; and  $a$ ,  $m$ ,  $x_{\min}$ , and  $x_{\max}$  are constants to be estimated, where  $x_{\min}$  and  $x_{\max}$  represents the lower and upper intersections between the curve and the  $x$ -axis.  $y$  is defined as 0 when  $x < x_{\min}$  or  $x > x_{\max}$ . There are five elements in  $P$ , representing the values of  $a$ ,  $m$ ,  $x_{\min}$ ,  $x_{\max}$ , and  $\delta$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 1`, the simplified version 1 is selected:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } x \in (0, x_{\max}), \\ & y = a \left| x^2(x_{\max} - x)^{1/m} \right|^{\delta}; \\ & \text{if } x \notin (0, x_{\max}), \\ & y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

There are four elements in  $P$ , representing the values of  $a$ ,  $m$ ,  $x_{\max}$ , and  $\delta$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 2`, the simplified version 2 is selected:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } x \in (x_{\min}, x_{\max}), \\ & y = ax(x - x_{\min})(x_{\max} - x)^{1/m}; \\ & \text{if } x \notin (x_{\min}, x_{\max}), \\ & y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

There are four elements in  $P$  representing the values of  $a$ ,  $m$ ,  $x_{\min}$ , and  $x_{\max}$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 3`, the simplified version 3 is selected:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } x \in (0, x_{\max}), \\ & y = ax^2(x_{\max} - x)^{1/m}; \\ & \text{if } x \notin (0, x_{\max}), \\ & y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

There are three elements in  $P$  representing the values of  $a$ ,  $m$ , and  $x_{\max}$ , respectively.

## Value

The  $y$  values predicted by the modified Brière equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Note

We have added a parameter  $\delta$  in the original Brière equation (i.e., `simpver = 2`) to increase the flexibility for data fitting.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Brière, J.-F., Pracros, P, Le Roux, A.-Y., Pierre, J.-S. (1999) A novel rate model of temperature-dependent development for arthropods. *Environmental Entomology* 28, 22–29. doi:10.1093/ee/28.1.22
- Cao, L., Shi, P., Li, L., Chen, G. (2019) A new flexible sigmoidal growth model. *Symmetry* 11, 204. doi:10.3390/sym11020204
- Jin, J., Quinn, B.K., Shi, P. (2022) The modified Brière equation and its applications. *Plants* 11, 1769. doi:10.3390/plants11131769
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14862

## See Also

[areaovate](#), [curveovate](#), [fitovate](#), [MbetaE](#), [MLRFE](#), [sigmoid](#)

## Examples

```
x2    <- seq(-5, 15, len=2000)
Par2 <- c(0.01, 3, 0, 10, 1)
y2   <- MBriereE(P=Par2, x=x2, simpver=NULL)

dev.new()
plot( x2, y2, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      xlab=expressionitalic(x)), ylab=expressionitalic(y)) )
```

## Description

MLRFE is used to calculate  $y$  values at given  $x$  values using the modified LRF equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Usage

```
MLRFE(P, x, simpver = 1)
```

## Arguments

- |         |  |
|---------|--|
| P       | the parameters of the modified LRF equation or one of its simplified versions.   |
| x       | the given $x$ values.  |
| simpver | an optional argument to use the simplified version of the modified LRF equation. |

### Details

When `simpver = NULL`, the modified LRF equation is selected:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{if } x \in \left( x_{\min}, \frac{x_{\min} + x_{\max}}{2} \right), \\
 y = y_{\text{opt}} & \left\{ \frac{(x - x_{\min})(x - x_{\max})^2}{(x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}})[(x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}})(x - x_{\text{opt}}) - (x_{\min} - x_{\text{opt}})(x_{\text{opt}} + x_{\max} - 2x)]} \right\}^{\delta}; \\
 & \text{if } x \in \left[ \frac{x_{\min} + x_{\max}}{2}, x_{\max} \right), \\
 y = y_{\text{opt}} & \left\{ \frac{(x - x_{\max})(x - x_{\min})^2}{(x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\min})[(x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\min})(x - x_{\text{opt}}) - (x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\max})(x_{\text{opt}} + x_{\min} - 2x)]} \right\}^{\delta}; \\
 & \text{if } x \notin (x_{\min}, x_{\max}), \\
 & \quad y = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $x$  and  $y$  represent the independent and dependent variables, respectively;  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\min}$ , and  $x_{\max}$  are constants to be estimated;  $y_{\text{opt}}$  represents the maximum  $y$ , and  $x_{\text{opt}}$  is the  $x$  value associated with the maximum  $y$  (i.e.,  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ); and  $x_{\min}$  and  $x_{\max}$  represents the lower and upper intersections between the curve and the  $x$ -axis. There are five elements in  $\mathsf{P}$ , representing the values of  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\min}$ ,  $x_{\max}$ , and  $\delta$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 1`, the simplified version 1 is selected:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{if } x \in \left( 0, \frac{x_{\max}}{2} \right), \\
 y = y_{\text{opt}} & \left\{ \frac{x(x - x_{\max})^2}{(x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}})[(x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}})(x - x_{\text{opt}}) + x_{\text{opt}}(x_{\text{opt}} + x_{\max} - 2x)]} \right\}^{\delta}; \\
 & \text{if } x \in \left[ \frac{x_{\max}}{2}, x_{\max} \right), \\
 y = y_{\text{opt}} & \left\{ \frac{(x - x_{\max})x^2}{x_{\text{opt}}[x_{\text{opt}}(x - x_{\text{opt}}) - (x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\max})(x_{\text{opt}} - 2x)]} \right\}^{\delta}; \\
 & \text{if } x \notin (0, x_{\max}), \\
 & \quad y = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

There are four elements in  $\mathsf{P}$ , representing the values of  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\max}$ , and  $\delta$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 2`, the simplified version 2 is selected:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{if } x \in \left( x_{\min}, \frac{x_{\min} + x_{\max}}{2} \right), \\
 y = & \frac{y_{\text{opt}}(x - x_{\min})(x - x_{\max})^2}{(x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}})[(x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}})(x - x_{\text{opt}}) - (x_{\min} - x_{\text{opt}})(x_{\text{opt}} + x_{\max} - 2x)]};
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{if } x \in \left[ \frac{x_{\min} + x_{\max}}{2}, x_{\max} \right), \\
 y = & \frac{y_{\text{opt}} (x - x_{\max}) (x - x_{\min})^2}{(x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\min}) [(x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\min})(x - x_{\text{opt}}) - (x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\max})(x_{\text{opt}} + x_{\min} - 2x)]}; \\
 & \text{if } x \notin (x_{\min}, x_{\max}), \\
 & \quad y = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

There are four elements in  $\mathbb{P}$ , representing the values of  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\min}$ , and  $x_{\max}$ , respectively.

When `simpver = 3`, the simplified version 3 is selected:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{if } x \in \left( 0, \frac{x_{\max}}{2} \right), \\
 y = & \frac{y_{\text{opt}} x (x - x_{\max})^2}{(x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}}) [(x_{\max} - x_{\text{opt}})(x - x_{\text{opt}}) + x_{\text{opt}}(x_{\text{opt}} + x_{\max} - 2x)]}; \\
 & \text{if } x \in \left[ \frac{x_{\max}}{2}, x_{\max} \right), \\
 y = & \frac{y_{\text{opt}} (x - x_{\max}) x^2}{x_{\text{opt}} [x_{\text{opt}}(x - x_{\text{opt}}) - (x_{\text{opt}} - x_{\max})(x_{\text{opt}} - 2x)]}; \\
 & \text{if } x \notin (0, x_{\max}), \\
 & \quad y = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

There are three elements in  $\mathbb{P}$ , representing the values of  $y_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $x_{\text{opt}}$ , and  $x_{\max}$ , respectively.

## Value

The  $y$  values predicted by the modified LRF equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Note

We have added `n` parameter  $\delta$  in the original LRF equation (i.e., `simpver = 2`) to increase the flexibility for data fitting.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. [doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)

**See Also**

[areaovate](#), [curveovate](#), [fitovate](#), [MbetaE](#), [MBriereE](#), [sigmoid](#)

**Examples**

```
x3    <- seq(-5, 15, len=2000)
Par3 <- c(3, 3, 10, 2)
y3    <- MbetaE(P=Par3, x=x3, simpver=1)

dev.new()
plot( x3, y3, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)) )
```

**Neocinnamomum**

*Leaf Boundary Data of Seven Species of Neocinnamomum*

**Description**

The data consist of the leaf boundary data of seven species of *Neocinnamomum*.

**Usage**

```
data(Neocinnamomum)
```

**Details**

In the data set, there are four columns of variables: Code, LatinName, x, and y. Code saves the codes of individual leaves; LatinName saves the Latin names of the seven species of *Neocinnamomum*; x saves the x coordinates of the leaf boundary in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm); and y saves the y coordinates of the leaf boundary in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm).

**References**

- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. [doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012)
- Shi, P., Yu, K., Niklas, K.J., Schrader, J., Song, Y., Zhu, R., Li, Y., Wei, H., Ratkowsky, D.A. (2021) A general model for describing the ovate leaf shape. *Symmetry*, 13, 1524. [doi:10.3390/sym13081524](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13081524)

## Examples

```

data(Neocinnamomum)

uni.C <- sort( unique(Neocinnamomum$Code) )
ind   <- 2
Data  <- Neocinnamomum[Neocinnamomum$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y
length(x0)

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=200, len.pro=1/20)
x1    <- Res1$x
y1    <- Res1$y
length(x1)

dev.new()
plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )

```

## Description

NRGE is used to calculate  $y$  values at given  $x$  values using the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation (NRGE).

## Usage

```
NRGE(P, x)
```

## Arguments

- P            the four parameters (i.e.,  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ , and  $D$ ) of the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation.
- x            the given  $x$  values.

## Details

The Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation (Narushin et al., 2021) has four parameters in total, among which three parameters have clear geometric meanings.

$$f_1(x) = \frac{B}{2} \sqrt{\frac{A^2 - 4x^2}{A^2 + 8Cx + 4C^2}},$$

$$f_2(x) = \frac{\sqrt{5.5A^2 + 11AC + 4C^2} \cdot (\sqrt{3}AB - 2D\sqrt{A^2 + 2AC + 4C^2})}{\sqrt{3}AB (\sqrt{5.5A^2 + 11AC + 4C^2} - 2\sqrt{A^2 + 2AC + 4C^2})},$$

$$f_3(x) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{A(A^2 + 8Cx + 4C^2)}{2(A - 2C)x^2 + (A^2 + 8AC - 4C^2)x + 2AC^2 + A^2C + A^3}},$$

$$f(x) = \pm f_1(x) \cdot [1 - f_2(x) \cdot f_3(x)].$$

Here,  $f(x)$  is the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation, which is used to predict the  $y$  coordinates at the given  $x$  coordinates;  $A$  represents the egg length;  $B$  represents the egg maximum width;  $C$  is a parameter to be estimated, and it can be expressed as  $(A - B) / (2q)$ , where  $q$  is a parameter to be estimated;  $D$  represents the egg width associated with  $(3/4)L$  from the egg base (to the egg tip) on the egg length axis (which can be regarded as the major axis of the egg shape).

### Value

The  $y$  values predicted by the the Narushin-Romanov-Griffin equation.

### Note

Here, parameter  $C$  is a parameter to be estimated, which can be directly calculated numerically based on the egg-shape data.

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

### References

- Narushin, V.G., Romanov, M.N., Griffin, D.K. (2021) Egg and math: introducing a universal formula for egg shape. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1505, 169–177. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14680](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14680)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Niklas, K.J. (2022) Comparison of a universal (but complex) model for avian egg shape with a simpler model. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14799](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14799)
- Tian, F., Wang, Y., Sandhu, H.S., Gielis, J., Shi, P. (2020) Comparison of seed morphology of two ginkgo cultivars. *Journal of Forestry Research* 31, 751–758. [doi:10.1007/s116760180770](https://doi.org/10.1007/s116760180770)

### See Also

`curveNRGE`, `fitNRGE`

### Examples

```
P0 <- c(11.5, 7.8, 1.1, 5.6)
x <- seq(-11.5/2, 11.5/2, len=2000)
y1 <- NRGE(P=P0, x=x)
y2 <- -NRGE(P=P0, x=x)

dev.new()
plot(x, y1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      col=4, ylim=c(-4, 4), asp=1,
```

---

```
    xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)) )
lines(x, y2, col=2)
```

---

**PE**

*Calculation of the Abscissa, Ordinate and Distance From the Origin  
For an Arbitrary Point on the Preston Curve*

---

## Description

PE is used to calculate the abscissa, ordinate and distance from the origin for an arbitrary point on the Preston curve that was generated by the original Preston equation or one of its simplified versions at a given angle.

## Usage

```
PE(P, zeta, simpver = NULL)
```

## Arguments

P	the parameters of the original Preston equation or one of its simplified versions.
zeta	the angle(s) used in the Preston equation.
simpver	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original Preston equation.

## Details

When `simpver = NULL`, the original Preston equation is selected:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= a \sin \zeta \\ x &= b \cos \zeta (1 + c_1 \sin \zeta + c_2 \sin^2 \zeta + c_3 \sin^3 \zeta) \\ r &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  represent the abscissa and ordinate of an arbitrary point on the Preston curve corresponding to an angle  $\zeta$ ;  $r$  represents the distance of the point from the origin;  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $c_3$  are parameters to be estimated.

When `simpver = 1`, the simplified version 1 is selected:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= a \sin \zeta \\ x &= b \cos \zeta (1 + c_1 \sin \zeta + c_2 \sin^2 \zeta) \\ r &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  represent the abscissa and ordinate of an arbitrary point on the Preston curve corresponding to an angle  $\zeta$ ;  $r$  represents the distance of the point from the origin;  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c_1$ , and  $c_2$  are parameters to be estimated.

When `simpver = 2`, the simplified version 2 is selected:

$$y = a \sin \zeta$$

$$x = b \cos \zeta (1 + c_1 \sin \zeta)$$

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  represent the abscissa and ordinate of an arbitrary point on the Preston curve corresponding to an angle  $\zeta$ ;  $r$  represents the distance of the point from the origin;  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c_1$  are parameters to be estimated.

When `simpver = 3`, the simplified version 3 is selected:

$$y = a \sin \zeta$$

$$x = b \cos \zeta (1 + c_2 \sin^2 \zeta)$$

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  represent the abscissa and ordinate of an arbitrary point on the Preston curve corresponding to an angle  $\zeta$ ;  $r$  represents the distance of the point from the origin;  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c_2$  are parameters to be estimated.

### Value

- $x$  the abscissa(s) of the Preston curve corresponding to the given angle(s).
- $y$  the ordinate(s) of the Preston curve corresponding to the given angle(s).
- $r$  the distance(s) of the Preston curve corresponding to the given angle(s) from the origin.

### Note

$\zeta$  is NOT the polar angle corresponding to  $r$ , i.e.,

$$y \neq r \sin \zeta$$

$$x \neq r \cos \zeta$$

Let  $\varphi$  be the polar angle corresponding to  $r$ . We have:

$$\zeta = \arcsin \frac{r \sin \varphi}{a}$$

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Biggins, J.D., Montgomeries, R.M., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2022) Preston's universal formula for avian egg shape. *Ornithology* In press. doi:10.1093/ornithology/ukac028
- Biggins, J.D., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2018) Accurately quantifying the shape of birds' eggs. *Ecology and Evolution* 8, 9728–9738. doi:10.1002/ece3.4412
- Preston, F.W. (1953) The shapes of birds' eggs. *The Auk* 70, 160–182.
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14862
- Todd, P.H., Smart, I.H.M. (1984) The shape of birds' eggs. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 106, 239–243. doi:10.1016/00225193(84)900213

## See Also

[curvePE](#), [fitPE](#), [lmPE](#), [TSE](#)

## Examples

```

zeta <- seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000)
Par1 <- c(10, 6, 0.325, -0.0415)
Res1 <- PE(P=Par1, zeta=zeta, simpver=1)
Par2 <- c(10, 6, -0.325, -0.0415)
Res2 <- PE(P=Par2, zeta=zeta, simpver=1)

dev.new()
plot(Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, type="l", col=4, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)))
lines(Res2$x, Res2$y, col=2)

dev.new()
plot(Res1$r, Res2$r, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(paste(italic(r), "[1], sep="")),
      ylab=expression(paste(italic(r), "[2], sep=")))
abline(0, 1, col=4)

```

## Description

The height data of four species of bamboo at Nanjing Forestry University campus in 2016.

## Usage

`data(shoots)`

## Details

In the data set, there are four columns of variables: Code, LatinName, x, and y. Code saves the number codes of different bamboo species; LatinName saves the Latin names of different bamboo species; x saves the investigation times (days from a specific starting time of growth, and where every bamboo has a different starting time of growth); and y saves the measured aboveground height values (cm).

Code = 1 represents *Phyllostachys iridescent*, and the starting time (namely time = 0) was defined as 12:00, 3rd April, 2016;

Code = 2 represents *Phyllostachys manii*, and the starting time (namely time = 0) was defined as 12:00, 4th April, 2016;

Code = 3 represents *Pleioblastus maculatus*, and the starting time (namely time = 0) was defined as 12:00, 29th April, 2016;

Code = 4 represents *Sinobambusa tootsik*, and the starting time (namely time = 0) was defined as 12:00, 18th April, 2016.

## References

Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012

## Examples

```

data(shoots)
attach(shoots)
# Choose a species
# 1: Phyllostachys iridescent; 2: Phyllostachys manii;
# 3: Pleioblastus maculatus; 4: Sinobambusa tootsik
ind <- 4
x1 <- x[Code == ind]
y1 <- y[Code == ind]

dev.new()
plot(x1, y1, cex=1.5, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, xlab="Time (days)", ylab="Height (cm)")

delta0 <- c(0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20)
ini.val <- list(600, 25, 0, 40, delta0)
resu1 <- fitsigmoid(mlrfe, x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val, simpver=NULL,
fig.opt=TRUE, control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=20000),
subdivisions = 100L, rel.tol=.Machine$double.eps^0.25,
abs.tol=.Machine$double.eps^0.25, stop.on.error=TRUE,
keep.xy=FALSE, aux=NULL)

```

sigmoid

*Sigmoid Growth Equation*

## Description

`sigmoid` is used to calculate the  $y$  values (e.g., biomass, height, body length, and so on) at given investigation times.

## Usage

```
sigmoid(expr, P, x, simpver = 1, subdivisions = 100L,
       rel.tol = .Machine$double.eps^0.25,
       abs.tol = rel.tol, stop.on.error = TRUE,
       keep.xy = FALSE, aux = NULL)
```

## Arguments

<code>expr</code>	a performance equation or one of its simplified versions.
<code>P</code>	the parameters of the performance equation or one of its simplified versions.
<code>x</code>	the given investigation times.
<code>simpver</code>	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the performance equation.
<code>subdivisions</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>rel.tol</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>abs.tol</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>stop.on.error</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>keep.xy</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .
<code>aux</code>	please see the arguments for the <code>integrate</code> function in package <b>stats</b> .

## Details

The performance equations denote `MbetaE`, `MBriereE`, `MLRFE`, and their simplified versions. The arguments of `P` and `simpver` should correspond to `expr` (i.e., `MbetaE` or `MBriereE` or `MLRFE`). The sigmoid curve is the integral of the performance equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Value

The  $y$  values (i.e., biomass, height, body length, and so on) at given investigation times. The growth equation is actually an integral of the performance equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Note

Here, the user can define other performance equations, but new equations or their simplified versions should include the lower and upper thresholds in the  $x$ -axis corresponding to  $y = 0$ , whose indices of the parameters in `P` should be the same as those in `Mbeta` or `MBriere` or `MLRF`.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <pjshi@njfu.edu.cn>, Johan Gielis <johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be>, Brady K. Quinn <Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>.

## References

- Jin, J., Quinn, B.K., Shi, P. (2022) The modified Brière equation and its applications. *Plants* 11, 1769. doi:10.3390/plants11131769
- Shi, P., Fan, M., Ratkowsky, D.A., Huang, J., Wu, H., Chen, L., Fang, S., Zhang, C. (2017) Comparison of two ontogenetic growth equations for animals and plants. *Ecological Modelling* 349, 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.01.012
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. doi:10.1111/nyas.14862

## See Also

[fitsigmoid](#), [MbetaE](#), [MBriereE](#), [MLRFE](#)

## Examples

```
Pa1 <- c(3, 3, 10, 1)
xv1 <- seq(-5, 15, len=2000)
yv1 <- sigmoid(MBriereE, P=Pa1, x=xv1, simpver=1)
Pa2 <- c(3, 3, 2, 12, 1)
yv2 <- sigmoid(MBriereE, P=Pa2, x=xv1, simpver=NULL)

dev.new()
plot( xv1, yv2, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l", col=4,
      xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)) )
lines( xv1, yv1, col=2 )
```

## Description

The data consist of the boundary data of eight sea stars from five species.

## Usage

```
data(starfish)
```

## Details

In the data set, there are four columns of variables: Code, LatinName, x, and y. Code saves the codes of individual sea stars; LatinName saves the Latin names of the eight sea stars; x saves the  $x$  coordinates of the eight sea stars in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm); and y saves the  $y$  coordinates of the eight sea stars in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm). In Code, codes 1-9 represent *Anthenoides tenuis*, *Culcita schmidiana* sample 1, *Culcita schmidiana* sample 2, *Culcita schmidiana* sample 3, *Stellaster equestris*, *Tosia australis*, *Tosia magnifica* sample 1, and *Tosia magnifica* sample 2, respectively. See Table A1 published in Shi et al. (2020).

## References

Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Gielis, J. (2020) The generalized Gielis geometric equation and its application. *Symmetry* 12, 645. doi:10.3390/sym12040645

## Examples

```
data(starfish)

uni.C <- sort( unique(starfish$Code) )
ind   <- 2
Data  <- starfish[starfish$Code==uni.C[ind], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y

dev.new()
plot( x0, y0, asp=1, type="l", cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )
length(x0)

Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=400, times=1.2, len.pro=1/20)
x1   <- Res1$x
y1   <- Res1$y

dev.new()
plot( x1, y1, asp=1, type="l", cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )
length(x1)
```

## Description

TGE is used to calculate the polar radii of the twin Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions at given polar angles.

## Usage

```
TGE(P, phi, m = 1, simpver = NULL, nval = 1)
```

## Arguments

P	the parameters of the twin Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.
phi	the polar angle(s).
m	the given $m$ value that determines the number of angles of the twin Gielis curve within $[0, 2\pi]$ .
simpver	an optional argument to use the simplified version of the twin Gielis equation.
nval	the specified value for $n_2$ or $n_3$ in the simplified versions.

## Details

The general form of the twin Gielis equation can be represented as follows:

$$r(\varphi) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta \ln [r_e(\varphi)]} + \gamma \right\},$$

where  $r$  represents the polar radius of the twin Gielis curve at the polar angle  $\varphi$ , and  $r_e$  represents the elementary polar radius at the polar angle  $\varphi$ . There is a hyperbolic link function to link their log-transformations, i.e.,

$$\ln [r(\varphi)] = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta \ln [r_e(\varphi)]} + \gamma.$$

The first three elements of P are  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , and the remaining element(s) of P are the parameters of the elementary polar function, i.e.,  $r_e(\varphi)$ . See Shi et al. (2020) for details.

When `simpver` = NULL, the original twin Gielis equation is selected:

$$r_e(\varphi) = \left| \cos \left( \frac{m}{4} \varphi \right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \frac{1}{k} \sin \left( \frac{m}{4} \varphi \right) \right|^{n_3},$$

where  $r_e$  represents the elementary polar radius at the polar angle  $\varphi$ ;  $m$  determines the number of angles of the twin Gielis curve within  $[0, 2\pi]$ ; and  $k$ ,  $n_2$ , and  $n_3$  are the fourth to the sixth elements in P. In total, there are six elements in P.

When `simpver` = 1, the simplified version 1 is selected:

$$r_e(\varphi) = \left| \cos \left( \frac{m}{4} \varphi \right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \sin \left( \frac{m}{4} \varphi \right) \right|^{n_2},$$

where  $n_2$  is the fourth element in P. There are four elements in total in P.

When `simpver` = 2, the simplified version 2 is selected:

$$r_e(\varphi) = \left| \cos \left( \frac{m}{4} \varphi \right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \sin \left( \frac{m}{4} \varphi \right) \right|^{n_3},$$

where  $n_2$  should be specified in `nval`, and P only includes three elements, i.e.,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

When `simpver` = 3, the simplified version 3 is selected:

$$r_e(\varphi) = \left| \cos \left( \frac{m}{4} \varphi \right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \sin \left( \frac{m}{4} \varphi \right) \right|^{n_3},$$

where  $n_2$  and  $n_3$  are the fourth and fifth elements in P. There are five elements in total in P.

When `simpver = 4`, the simplified version 4 is selected:

$$r_e(\varphi) = \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \frac{1}{k} \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2},$$

where  $k$  and  $n_2$  are the fourth and fifth elements in  $P$ . There are five elements in total in  $P$ .

When `simpver = 5`, the simplified version 5 is selected:

$$r_e(\varphi) = \left| \cos\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2} + \left| \frac{1}{k} \sin\left(\frac{m}{4}\varphi\right) \right|^{n_2},$$

where  $k$  is the fourth element in  $P$ . There are four elements in total in  $P$ .  $n_2$  should be specified in `nval`.

## Value

The polar radii predicted by the twin Gielis equation or one of its simplified versions.

## Note

`simpver` here is different from that in the [GE](#) function.

## Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

## References

- Li, Y., Quinn, B.K., Gielis, J., Li, Y., Shi, P. (2022) Evidence that supertriangles exist in nature from the vertical projections of *Koelreuteria paniculata* fruit. *Symmetry* 14, 23. [doi:10.3390/sym14010023](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14010023)
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Shi, P., Ratkowsky, D.A., Gielis, J. (2020) The generalized Gielis geometric equation and its application. *Symmetry* 12, 645. [doi:10.3390/sym12040645](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040645)

## See Also

[areaGE](#), [curveGE](#), [fitGE](#), [GE](#)

## Examples

```
TGE.par      <- c(2.88, 0.65, 1.16, 139)
varphi.vec <- seq(0, 2*pi, len=2000)
r2.theor   <- TGE(P=TGE.par, phi=varphi.vec, simpver=1, m=5)

dev.new()
plot( varphi.vec, r2.theor, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
```

```

xlab=expression(italic(varphi)), ylab=expression(italic("r")),
type="l", col=4 )

starfish4 <- curveGE(TGE, P=c(0, 0, 0, TGE.par), simpver=1, m=5, fig.opt=TRUE)

```

**TSE***The Todd-Smart Equation (TSE)***Description**

TSE is used to calculate  $y$  values at given  $x$  values using the Todd and Smart's re-expression of Preston's universal egg shape.

**Usage**

```
TSE(P, x, simpver = NULL)
```

**Arguments**

- |         |   |
|---------|---|
| P       | the parameters of the original Todd-Smart equation or one of its simplified versions.   |
| x       | the given $x$ values ranging from -1 to 1.  |
| simpver | an optional argument to use the simplified version of the original Todd-Smart equation. |

**Details**

When `simpver = NULL`, the original Preston equation is selected:

$$y = d_0 z_0 + d_1 z_1 + d_2 z_2 + d_3 z_3$$

where

$$z_0 = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$$

$$z_1 = x \sqrt{1 - x^2}$$

$$z_2 = x^2 \sqrt{1 - x^2}$$

$$z_3 = x^3 \sqrt{1 - x^2}$$

Here,  $x$  and  $y$  represent the abscissa and ordinate of an arbitrary point on the Todd-Smart curve;  $d_0$ ,  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ , and  $d_3$  are parameters to be estimated.

When `simpver = 1`, the simplified version 1 is selected:

$$y = d_0 z_0 + d_1 z_1 + d_2 z_2$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  represent the abscissa and ordinate of an arbitrary point on the Todd-Smart curve;  $d_0$ ,  $d_1$ , and  $d_2$  are parameters to be estimated.

When `simpver = 2`, the simplified version 2 is selected:

$$y = d_0 z_0 + d_1 z_1$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  represent the abscissa and ordinate of an arbitrary point on the Todd-Smart curve;  $d_0$ , and  $d_1$  are parameters to be estimated.

When `simpver = 3`, the simplified version 3 is selected:

$$y = d_0 z_0 + d_2 z_2$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  represent the abscissa and ordinate of an arbitrary point on the Todd-Smart curve;  $d_0$ , and  $d_2$  are parameters to be estimated.

### Value

`y` the  $y$  value(s) of the Todd-Smart curve corresponding to the given  $x$  value(s).

### Note

Here,  $x$  and  $y$  in the Todd-Smart equation are actually equal to  $y/a$  and  $x/a$ , respectively, in the Preston equation (See [PE](#) for details). Since  $a$  represents half the egg length, this means that the egg length is fixed to be 2, and the maximum egg width is correspondingly adjusted to keep the same scale.

### Author(s)

Peijian Shi <[pjshi@njfu.edu.cn](mailto:pjshi@njfu.edu.cn)>, Johan Gielis <[johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be](mailto:johan.gielis@uantwerpen.be)>, Brady K. Quinn <[Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](mailto:Brady.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)>.

### References

- Biggins, J.D., Montgomeries, R.M., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2022) Preston's universal formula for avian egg shape. *Ornithology* In press. [doi:10.1093/ornithology/ukac028](https://doi.org/10.1093/ornithology/ukac028)
- Biggins, J.D., Thompson, J.E., Birkhead, T.R. (2018) Accurately quantifying the shape of birds' eggs. *Ecology and Evolution* 8, 9728–9738. [doi:10.1002/ece3.4412](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4412)
- Nelder, J.A., Mead, R. (1965). A simplex method for function minimization. *Computer Journal* 7, 308–313. [doi:10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308](https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308)
- Preston, F.W. (1953) The shapes of birds' eggs. *The Auk* 70, 160–182.
- Shi, P., Gielis, J., Quinn, B.K., Niklas, K.J., Ratkowsky, D.A., Schrader, J., Ruan, H., Wang, L., Niinemets, Ü. (2022) 'biogeom': An R package for simulating and fitting natural shapes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* In press. [doi:10.1111/nyas.14862](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14862)
- Todd, P.H., Smart, I.H.M. (1984) The shape of birds' eggs. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 106, 239–243. [doi:10.1016/00225193\(84\)900213](https://doi.org/10.1016/00225193(84)900213)

### See Also

[curvePE](#), [fitPE](#), [lmPE](#), [PE](#)

## Examples

```
Par <- c(0.695320398, -0.210538656, -0.070373518, 0.116839895)
xb1 <- seq(-1, 1, len=20000)
yb1 <- TSE(P=Par, x=xb1)
xb2 <- seq(1, -1, len=20000)
yb2 <- -TSE(P=Par, x=xb2)

dev.new()
plot(xb1, yb1, asp=1, type="l", col=2, ylim=c(-1, 1), cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
      xlab=expression(italic(x)), ylab=expression(italic(y)))
lines(xb2, yb2, col=4)
```

veins

*Leaf Vein Data of Michelia compressa*

## Description

The data consist of the leaf vein data of a leaf of *M. compressa* sampled at Nanjing Forestry University campus in late July 2019.

## Usage

```
data(veins)
```

## Details

In the data set, there are two columns of variables: *x* and *y*. *x* saves the *x* coordinates of the leaf veins in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm); *y* saves the *y* coordinates of the leaf veins in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm).

## Note

The data cannot be adjusted by the [adjdata](#) function.

## References

Shi, P., Yu, K., Niinemets, Ü., Gielis, J. (2021) Can leaf shape be represented by the ratio of leaf width to length? Evidence from nine species of *Magnolia* and *Michelia* (Magnoliaceae). *Forests* 12, 41. [doi:10.3390/f12010041](https://doi.org/10.3390/f12010041)

## See Also

[fracdim](#)

## Examples

```
data(veins)

dev.new()
plot(veins$x, veins$y, cex=0.01, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5,
     xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")))
```

whitespruce

*Planar Coordinates of Picea glauca Tree Rings*

## Description

The data consist of the planar coordinates of *Picea glauca* tree rings.

## Usage

```
data(whitespruce)
```

## Details

In the data set, there are three columns of variables: Code, x, and y. Code saves the age codes of tree rings from the 2nd year to the 44th year; x saves the x coordinates of the tree rings in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm); and y saves the y coordinates of the tree rings in the Cartesian coordinate system (cm).

## References

Shi, P., Huang, J., Hui, C., Grissino-Mayer, H.D., Tardif, J., Zhai, L., Wang, F., Li, B. (2015) Capturing spiral radial growth of conifers using the superellipse to model tree-ring geometric shape. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 6, 856. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00856

## Examples

```
data(whitespruce)

uni.C <- sort( unique(whitespruce$Code) )
Data  <- whitespruce[whitespruce$Code==uni.C[10], ]
x0    <- Data$x
y0    <- Data$y
Res1  <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=2000, len.pro=1/20)

dev.new()
plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
      xlim=c(3, 13), ylim=c(3, 13), col="grey73", lwd=2,
      xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )
```

```

uni.C <- sort( unique(whitespruce$Code) )
for(i in 1:length(uni.C)){
  Data  <- whitespruce[whitespruce$Code==uni.C[i], ]
  x0    <- Data$x
  y0    <- Data$y

  Res1 <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=2000, len.pro=1/10)

  if(i == 1){
    dev.new()
    plot( Res1$x, Res1$y, asp=1, cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l",
          xlim=c(3, 13), ylim=c(3, 13), col=1, lwd=1,
          xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")) )
  }
  if(i > 1) lines(Res1$x, Res1$y, col=1, lwd=1)
}

uni.C   <- sort( unique(whitespruce$Code) )
uni.C   <- uni.C[1:12]
Length  <- c()
results <- data.frame(Code=c(), x0=c(), y0=c(), theta=c(),
                       a=c(), k=c(), n1=c(), r.sq=c(), RSS=c(), N=c())
for(i in 1:length(uni.C)){
  Data      <- whitespruce[whitespruce$Code==uni.C[i], ]
  x0        <- Data$x
  y0        <- Data$y
  Res1      <- adjdata(x0, y0, ub.np=2000, len.pro=1/10)
  x1        <- Res1$x
  y1        <- Res1$y
  x0.ini    <- mean( x1 )
  y0.ini    <- mean( y1 )
  theta.ini <- c(0, pi/4, pi/2)
  a.ini     <- 0.9
  k.ini     <- 1
  n1.ini    <- c(1.5, 2, 2.5)
  ini.val   <- list(x0.ini, y0.ini, theta.ini,
                     a.ini, k.ini, n1.ini)

  print(paste("Progress: ", i, "/", length(uni.C), sep=""))
  try( H <- fitGE(GE, x=x1, y=y1, ini.val=ini.val,
                  m=4, simpver=9, unit="cm", par.list=FALSE,
                  stand.fig=FALSE, angle=NULL, fig.opt=FALSE,
                  control=list(reltol=1e-20, maxit=20000),
                  np=2000), silent=TRUE )
  if(is.null(H)){
    RE <- data.frame(Code=uni.C[i], x0=NA, y0=NA, theta=NA,
                      a=NA, k=NA, n1=NA, r.sq=NA, RSS=NA, N=NA)
  }
  if(!is.null(H)){
    RE      <- data.frame(Code=uni.C[i], x0=H$par[1], y0=H$par[2],
                           theta=H$par[3], a=H$par[4], k=H$par[5], n1=H$par[6],
                           r.sq=H$r.sq, RSS=H$RSS, N=H$sample.size)
  }
}

```

```
Length <- c(Length, max(max(H$y.stand.pred)[1]-min(H$y.stand.pred)[1],
                         max(H$x.stand.pred)[1]-min(H$x.stand.pred)[1])[1])
if(i == 1){
  dev.new()
  plot(H$x.obs, H$y.obs, asp=1, xlim=c(7.4, 8.6), ylim=c(7.4, 8.6),
       cex.lab=1.5, cex.axis=1.5, type="l", lwd=2, col="grey70",
       xlab=expression(italic("x")), ylab=expression(italic("y")))
  lines(H$x.pred, H$y.pred, col=2)
}
if(i > 1){
  lines(H$x.obs, H$y.obs, lwd=2, col="grey70")
  lines(H$x.pred, H$y.pred, col=2)
}

}
results <- rbind(results, RE)
}

# To adjust the estimates of partial parameters to ensure k <= 1
results2      <- results
Ind           <- results$k > 1
results2$theta[Ind] <- results$theta[Ind] + pi/2
results2$a[Ind]    <- results$a[Ind] * results$k[Ind]^(1/results$n1[Ind])
results2$k[Ind]    <- 1/results$k[Ind]
results2
Length/2
```

# Index

- \* package
  - biogeom, 14
- adjdata, 2, 10, 13, 45, 76
- areaGE, 5, 18, 29, 48, 73
- areaovate, 7, 23, 36, 43, 57, 59, 62
- bambooleaves, 8
- bilat, 9
- biogeom, 14
- curveGE, 6, 17, 29, 48, 73
- curveNRGE, 19, 33, 64
- curveovate, 8, 21, 36, 57, 59, 62
- curvePE, 23, 40, 54, 67, 75
- eggs, 25
- fitGE, 6, 18, 26, 31, 32, 48, 73
- fitNRGE, 21, 31, 33, 64
- fitovate, 8, 23, 34, 57, 59, 62
- fitPE, 24, 38, 52, 54, 67, 75
- fitsigmoid, 42, 70
- fracdim, 13, 44, 76
- GE, 6, 18, 29, 32, 46, 73
- ginkgoseed, 49
- integrate, 5, 7, 42, 69
- intersect.owin, 12
- kp, 50
- lmPE, 24, 40, 51, 67, 75
- locator, 11
- MbetaE, 7, 8, 22, 23, 35, 36, 43, 55, 59, 62, 70
- MBriereE, 7, 8, 22, 23, 35, 36, 43, 57, 59, 62, 70
- MLRFE, 7, 8, 22, 23, 35, 36, 43, 57, 59, 62, 70
- Neocinnamomum, 62
- NRGE, 20, 21, 32, 63
- optim, 27, 31, 35, 38, 39, 42, 43
- PE, 24, 40, 54, 65, 75
- quantile, 3
- set.seed, 11
- shoots, 67
- sigmoid, 8, 43, 57, 59, 62, 69
- starfish, 70
- TGE, 6, 18, 29, 48, 71
- TSE, 24, 40, 54, 67, 74
- veins, 46, 76
- whitespruce, 77
- wilcox.test, 12