## Package 'phacking'

June 21, 2022

**Title** Sensitivity Analysis for p-Hacking in Meta-Analyses **Version** 0.0.1

Description Fits right-truncated meta-analysis (RTMA), a bias correction for the joint effects of p-hacking (i.e., manipulation of results within studies to obtain significant, positive estimates) and traditional publication bias (i.e., the selective publication of studies with significant, positive results) in meta-analyses [see Mathur MB (2022). "Sensitivity analysis for p-hacking in meta-analyses." <doi:10.31219/osf.io/ezjsx>.]. Unlike publication bias alone, p-hacking that favors significant, positive results (termed "affirmative") can distort the distribution of affirmative results. To bias-correct results from affirmative studies would require strong assumptions on the exact nature of p-hacking. In contrast, joint p-hacking and publication bias do not distort the distribution of published nonaffirmative results when there is stringent p-hacking (e.g., investigators who hack always eventually obtain an affirmative result) or when there is stringent publication bias (e.g., nonaffirmative results from hacked studies are never published). This means that any published nonaffirmative results are from unhacked studies. Under these assumptions, RTMA involves analyzing only the published nonaffirmative results to essentially impute the full underlying distribution of all results prior to selection due to p-hacking and/or publication bias. The package also provides diagnostic plots described in Mathur (2022).

License MIT + file LICENSE

URL https://github.com/mikabr/phacking

BugReports https://github.com/mikabr/phacking/issues

Encoding UTF-8
RoxygenNote 7.1.2
RdMacros Rdpack
Biarch true

**Depends** R (>= 3.4.0)

**Imports** dplyr, ggplot2, methods, purrr, rlang, stats, stats4, truncnorm, Rcpp (>= 0.12.0), RcppParallel (>= 5.0.1), Rdpack, rstan (>= 2.18.1), rstantools (>= 2.2.0)

2 lodder

```
LinkingTo BH (>= 1.66.0), Rcpp (>= 0.12.0), RcppEigen (>= 0.3.3.3.0),
RcppParallel (>= 5.0.1), rstan (>= 2.18.1), StanHeaders (>= 2.18.0)

SystemRequirements GNU make

LazyData true

Suggests testthat (>= 3.0.0)

Config/testthat/edition 3

NeedsCompilation yes

Author Mika Braginsky [aut, cre],
Maya Mathur [aut]

Maintainer Mika Braginsky <mika.br@gmail.com>

Repository CRAN
```

## **R** topics documented:

**Date/Publication** 2022-06-21 09:40:02 UTC

lodde	r		M	eta	1-0	ınc	ıly	sis	s o	of i	mc	n	ey	р	rin	ni	ng	SI	tuc	lie	es										
Index																															7
	z_density	 •		٠	•	•		•	٠	٠		•	•	•	•		•	•		٠	•	•		•	٠	•	•	•	•	 	6
	rtma_qqplot																														
	rtma_cdf																														
	phacking_rtma																														
	lodder																														

#### **Description**

Dataset from a meta-analysis of experimental studies on the effect of money primes on a variety of psychological and behavioral outcomes, in which some studies were preregistered (Lodder et al. 2019).

#### Usage

lodder

#### **Format**

A data frame with 287 rows and 4 variables:

study Code identifying the study

yi Point estimate on the Hedges' g scale

vi Variance of point estimate

zi Z-score

preregistered Logical indicating whether study was preregistered

phacking\_rtma 3

#### References

Lodder P, Ong HH, Grasman RPPP, Wicherts JM (2019). "A comprehensive meta-analysis of money priming." *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, **148**(4), 688.

Lodder P, Ong HH, Grasman RPPP, Wicherts JM (2020). "A comprehensive meta-analysis of money priming." OSF. https://osf.io/dhp63.

phacking\_rtma

Right-truncated meta-analysis

#### Description

Fits right-truncated meta-analysis (RTMA), a bias correction for the joint effects of p-hacking (i.e., manipulation of results within studies to obtain significant, positive estimates) and traditional publication bias (i.e., the selective publication of studies with significant, positive results) in meta-analyses.

#### Usage

```
phacking_rtma(
   yi,
   vi,
   sei,
   favor_positive = TRUE,
   alpha_select = 0.05,
   stan_control = list(adapt_delta = 0.98, max_treedepth = 20),
   parallelize = TRUE
)
```

#### Arguments

yi	A vector of point estimates to be meta-analyzed.
vi	A vector of estimated variances (i.e., squared standard errors) for the point estimates.
sei	A vector of estimated standard errors for the point estimates. (Only one of $vi$ or sei needs to be specified).
favor_positive	TRUE if p-hacking and publication bias are assumed to favor significant positive estimates; FALSE if assumed to favor significant negative estimates.
alpha_select	Alpha level at which an estimate's probability of being favored by p-hacking and/or by publication bias is assumed to change (i.e., the threshold at which study investigators, journal editors, etc., consider an estimate to be significant).
stan_control	List passed to rstan::sampling() as the control argument.
parallelize	Logical indicating whether to parallelize sampling.

4 rtma\_cdf

#### Value

An object of class metabias, which is list with four elements:

**data** A tibble with one row per study and the columns yi, vi, sei, and affirm (logical indicating whether the study result is affirmative).

values A vector with the elements k (number of studies), k\_affirmative (number of affirmative studies), k\_nonaffirmative (number of nonaffirmative studies), favor\_positive (as passed to phacking\_rtma()), alpha\_select (as passed to phacking\_rtma()), tcrit (critical t-value based on alpha\_select), and optim\_converged (logical indicating whether the optimization to find the posterior mode converged).

**stats** A tibble with two rows and the columns param (mu, tau), mode, median, mean, se, ci\_lower, ci\_upper, n\_eff, and r\_hat. We recommend reporting the mode for the point estimate; median and mean represent posterior medians and means respectively.

fit A stanfit object (the result of fitting the RTMA model).

#### References

Mathur MB (2022). "Sensitivity analysis for p-hacking in meta-analyses." doi: 10.31219/osf.io/ezjsx.

#### **Examples**

```
set.seed(22)
phacking_rtma(lodder$yi, lodder$vi, parallelize = FALSE)
```

rtma\_cdf

Compute theoretical and empirical CDFs for a right-truncated metaanalysis

#### **Description**

Compute theoretical and empirical CDFs for a right-truncated meta-analysis

#### Usage

```
rtma_cdf(rtma)
```

#### **Arguments**

rtma

Output of phacking\_rtma().

#### Value

A tibble with the columns yi (effect sizes), cdfi (their fitted CDF) and ecdfi (their empirical CDF).

rtma\_qqplot 5

#### References

Mathur MB (2022). "Sensitivity analysis for p-hacking in meta-analyses." doi: 10.31219/osf.io/ezjsx.

#### **Examples**

```
set.seed(22)
lodder_rtma <- phacking_rtma(lodder$yi, lodder$vi, parallelize = FALSE)
rtma_cdf(lodder_rtma)</pre>
```

rtma\_qqplot

Diagnostic quantile-quantile plot for a right-truncated meta-analysis

#### **Description**

To assess the fit of right-truncated meta-analysis and possible violations of its distributional assumptions, plots the fitted cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the published nonaffirmative estimates versus their empirical CDF. If the points do not adhere fairly closely to a 45-degree line, the right-truncated meta-analysis may not fit adequately.

#### Usage

```
rtma_qqplot(rtma)
```

### Arguments

rtma

Output of phacking\_rtma().

#### Value

No return value, draws a plot.

#### **Examples**

```
set.seed(22)
lodder_rtma <- phacking_rtma(lodder$yi, lodder$vi, parallelize = FALSE)
rtma_qqplot(lodder_rtma)</pre>
```

z\_density

z_density	Z-score density plot	

#### **Description**

Plots the Z-scores of all published point estimates. When p-hacking favors affirmative estimates over nonaffirmative estimates, as our methods and others assume, Z-scores may disproportionately concentrate just above the critical value (e.g., 1.96). Importantly, the presence of p-hacking does not *guarantee* a concentration of Z-scores just above the critical value, so it is prudent to proceed with the fitting RTMA even if no such concentration is apparent. In contrast, if Z-scores also concentrate just *below* the critical value, or if they also concentrate below the sign-reversed critical value (e.g., -1.96), this could indicate forms of p-hacking that violate the assumptions of RTMA.

#### Usage

```
z_density(yi, vi, sei, alpha_select = 0.05, crit_color = "red")
```

#### Arguments

yi	A vector of point estimates to be meta-analyzed.
vi	A vector of estimated variances (i.e., squared standard errors) for the point estimates.
sei	A vector of estimated standard errors for the point estimates. (Only one of vi or sei needs to be specified).
alpha_select	Alpha level at which an estimate's probability of being favored by p-hacking and/or by publication bias is assumed to change (i.e., the threshold at which study investigators, journal editors, etc., consider an estimate to be significant).
crit_color	Color for line and text are critical z-score.

#### Value

No return value, draws a plot.

#### **Examples**

```
z_density(lodder$yi, lodder$vi)
```

# **Index**

```
* datasets
    lodder, 2

lodder, 2

phacking_rtma, 3

rtma_cdf, 4
rtma_qqplot, 5

z_density, 6
```