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data_char_wordlists Word lists for readability statistics

## Description

data_char_wordlists provides word lists used in some readability indexes; it is a named list of character vectors where each list element corresponds to a different readability index.

## Usage

data_char_wordlists

## Format

A list of length two:
DaleChall The long Dale-Chall list of 3,000 familiar (English) words needed to compute the DaleChall Readability Formula.
Spache The revised Spache word list (see Klare 1975, 73; Spache 1974) needed to compute the Spache Revised Formula of readability (Spache 1953).

## References

Chall, J.S., \& Dale, E. (1995). Readability Revisited: The New Dale-Chall Readability Formula. Brookline Books.
Dale, E. \& Chall, J.S. (1948). A Formula for Predicting Readability. Educational Research Bulletin, 27(1): 11-20.
Dale, E. \& Chall, J.S. (1948). A Formula for Predicting Readability: Instructions. Educational Research Bulletin, 27(2): 37-54.

Klare, G.R. (1975). Assessing Readability. Reading Research Quarterly 10(1), 62-102.
Spache, G. (1953). A New Readability Formula for Primary-Grade Reading Materials. The Elementary School Journal, 53, 410-413.
Spache, G. (1974). Good reading for poor readers. (Rvd. 9th Ed.) Champaign, Illinois: Garrard, 1974.
textstat_collocations Identify and score multi-word expressions

## Description

Identify and score multi-word expressions, or adjacent fixed-length collocations, from text.

```
Usage
    textstat_collocations(
        x,
        method = "lambda",
        size = 2,
        min_count = 2,
        smoothing = 0.5,
        tolower = TRUE,
    )
```


## Arguments

x


method
size integer; the length of the collocations to be scored
min_count numeric; minimum frequency of collocations that will be scored
smoothing numeric; a smoothing parameter added to the observed counts (default is 0.5 )
tolower logical; if TRUE, form collocations as lower-cased combinations
... additional arguments passed to tokens()

## Details

Documents are grouped for the purposes of scoring, but collocations will not span sentences. If x is a tokens object and some tokens have been removed, this should be done using [tokens_remove](x, pattern, padding $=$ TRUE) so that counts will still be accurate, but the pads will prevent those collocations from being scored.
The lambda computed for a size $=K$-word target multi-word expression the coefficient for the $K$ way interaction parameter in the saturated log-linear model fitted to the counts of the terms forming the set of eligible multi-word expressions. This is the same as the "lambda" computed in Blaheta and Johnson's (2001), where all multi-word expressions are considered (rather than just verbs, as in that paper). The $z$ is the Wald $z$-statistic computed as the quotient of lambda and the Wald statistic for lambda as described below.
In detail:
Consider a $K$-word target expression $x$, and let $z$ be any $K$-word expression. Define a comparison function $c(x, z)=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{K}\right)=c$ such that the $k$ th element of $c$ is 1 if the $k$ th word in $z$ is equal to the $k$ th word in $x$, and 0 otherwise. Let $c_{i}=\left(j_{i 1}, \ldots, j_{i K}\right), i=1, \ldots, 2^{K}=M$, be the possible values of $c(x, z)$, with $c_{M}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$. Consider the set of $c\left(x, z_{r}\right)$ across all expressions $z_{r}$ in a corpus of text, and let $n_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, M$, denote the number of the $c\left(x, z_{r}\right)$ which equal $c_{i}$, plus the smoothing constant smoothing. The $n_{i}$ are the counts in a $2^{K}$ contingency table whose dimensions are defined by the $c_{i}$.
$\lambda$ : The $K$-way interaction parameter in the saturated loglinear model fitted to the $n_{i}$. It can be calculated as

$$
\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{M}(-1)^{K-b_{i}} * \log n_{i}
$$

where $b_{i}$ is the number of the elements of $c_{i}$ which are equal to 1 .
Wald test $z$-statistic $z$ is calculated as:

$$
z=\frac{\lambda}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{M} n_{i}^{-1}\right]^{(1 / 2)}}
$$

## Value

textstat_collocations returns a data.frame of collocations and their scores and statistics. This consists of the collocations, their counts, length, and $\lambda$ and $z$ statistics. When size is a vector, then count_nested counts the lower-order collocations that occur within a higher-order collocation (but this does not affect the statistics).

## Author(s)

Kenneth Benoit, Jouni Kuha, Haiyan Wang, and Kohei Watanabe

## References

Blaheta, D. \& Johnson, M. (2001). Unsupervised learning of multi-word verbs. Presented at the ACLEACL Workshop on the Computational Extraction, Analysis and Exploitation of Collocations.

## Examples

```
library("quanteda")
corp <- data_corpus_inaugural[1:2]
head(cols <- textstat_collocations(corp, size = 2, min_count = 2), 10)
head(cols <- textstat_collocations(corp, size = 3, min_count = 2), 10)
# extracting multi-part proper nouns (capitalized terms)
toks1 <- tokens(data_corpus_inaugural)
toks2 <- tokens_remove(toks1, pattern = stopwords("english"), padding = TRUE)
toks3 <- tokens_select(toks2, pattern = "^([A-Z][a-z\\-]{2,})", valuetype = "regex",
    case_insensitive = FALSE, padding = TRUE)
tstat <- textstat_collocations(toks3, size = 3, tolower = FALSE)
head(tstat, 10)
# vectorized size
txt <- c(". . . . a b c . . a b c . . . c d e",
                        "a b . . a b . . a b . . a b . a b",
    "b c d . . b c . b c . . . b c")
textstat_collocations(txt, size = 2:3)
# compounding tokens from collocations
toks <- tokens("This is the European Union.")
colls <- tokens("The new European Union is not the old European Union.") %>%
    textstat_collocations(size = 2, min_count = 1, tolower = FALSE)
colls
tokens_compound(toks, colls, case_insensitive = FALSE)
#' # from a collocations object
(coll <- textstat_collocations(tokens("a b c a b d e b d a b")))
phrase(coll)
```

textstat_entropy Compute entropies of documents or features

## Description

Compute entropies of documents or features

## Usage

textstat_entropy(x, margin = c("documents", "features"), base = 2)

## Arguments

x
a dfm
margin
character indicating for which margin to compute entropy
base
base for logarithm function

## Value

a data.frame of entropies for the given document or feature

## Examples

```
library("quanteda")
textstat_entropy(data_dfm_lbgexample)
textstat_entropy(data_dfm_lbgexample, "features")
```

```
textstat_frequency Tabulate feature frequencies
```


## Description

Produces counts and document frequencies summaries of the features in a dfm, optionally grouped by a docvars variable or other supplied grouping variable.

## Usage

```
textstat_frequency(
```

x ,
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NULL}$,
groups = NULL,
ties_method = c("min", "average", "first", "random", "max", "dense"),
)

## Arguments

X
$\mathrm{n} \quad$ (optional) integer specifying the top n features to be returned, within group if groups is specified
groups grouping variable for sampling, equal in length to the number of documents. This will be evaluated in the docvars data.frame, so that docvars may be referred to by name without quoting. This also changes previous behaviours for groups. See news (Version >= "3.0", package = "quanteda") for details.
ties_method character string specifying how ties are treated. See base: :rank() for details. Unlike that function, however, the default is "min", so that frequencies of 10 , 10,11 would be ranked $1,1,3$.
additional arguments passed to dfm_group(). This can be useful in passing force $=$ TRUE, for instance, if you are grouping a dfm that has been weighted.

## Value

a data.frame containing the following variables:
feature (character) the feature
frequency count of the feature
rank rank of the feature, where 1 indicates the greatest frequency
docfreq document frequency of the feature, as a count (the number of documents in which this feature occurred at least once)
docfreq document frequency of the feature, as a count
group (only if groups is specified) the label of the group. If the features have been grouped, then all counts, ranks, and document frequencies are within group. If groups is not specified, the group column is omitted from the returned data.frame.
textstat_frequency returns a data.frame of features and their term and document frequencies within groups.

## Examples

```
library("quanteda")
set.seed(20)
dfmat1 <- dfm(tokens(c("a a b b c d", "a d d d", "a a a")))
textstat_frequency(dfmat1)
textstat_frequency(dfmat1, groups = c("one", "two", "one"), ties_method = "first")
textstat_frequency(dfmat1, groups = c("one", "two", "one"), ties_method = "average")
dfmat2 <- corpus_subset(data_corpus_inaugural, President == "Obama") %>%
    tokens(remove_punct = TRUE) %>%
    tokens_remove(stopwords("en")) %>%
    dfm()
tstat1 <- textstat_frequency(dfmat2)
head(tstat1, 10)
dfmat3 <- head(data_corpus_inaugural) %>%
    tokens(remove_punct = TRUE) %>%
    tokens_remove(stopwords("en")) %>%
    dfm()
textstat_frequency(dfmat3, n = 2, groups = President)
## Not run:
# plot 20 most frequent words
library("ggplot2")
ggplot(tstat1[1:20, ], aes(x = reorder(feature, frequency), y = frequency)) +
    geom_point() +
    coord_flip() +
    labs(x = NULL, y = "Frequency")
# plot relative frequencies by group
dfmat3 <- data_corpus_inaugural %>%
```

```
    corpus_subset(Year > 2000) %>%
    tokens(remove_punct = TRUE) %>%
    tokens_remove(stopwords("en")) %>%
    dfm() %>%
    dfm_group(groups = President) %>%
    dfm_weight(scheme = "prop")
# calculate relative frequency by president
tstat2 <- textstat_frequency(dfmat3, n = 10, groups = President)
# plot frequencies
ggplot(data = tstat2, aes(x = factor(nrow(tstat2):1), y = frequency)) +
    geom_point() +
    facet_wrap(~ group, scales = "free") +
    coord_flip() +
    scale_x_discrete(breaks = nrow(tstat2):1,
            labels = tstat2$feature) +
    labs(x = NULL, y = "Relative frequency")
## End(Not run)
```

textstat_keyness Calculate keyness statistics

## Description

Calculate "keyness", a score for features that occur differentially across different categories. Here, the categories are defined by reference to a "target" document index in the dfm, with the reference group consisting of all other documents.

## Usage

```
textstat_keyness(
    x,
    target = 1L,
    measure = c("chi2", "exact", "lr", "pmi"),
    sort = TRUE,
    correction = c("default", "yates", "williams", "none"),
    ...
)
```


## Arguments

x
target
a dfm containing the features to be examined for keyness
the document index (numeric, character or logical) identifying the document forming the "target" for computing keyness; all other documents' feature frequencies will be combined for use as a reference

| measure | (signed) association measure to be used for computing keyness. Currently avail- <br> able: "chi2"; "exact" (Fisher's exact test); "lr" for the likelihood ratio; "pmi" <br> for pointwise mutual information. Note that the "exact" test is very computation- <br> ally intensive and therefore much slower than the other methods. |
| :--- | :--- |
| sort | logical; if TRUE sort features scored in descending order of the measure, other- <br> wise leave in original feature order |
| if "default", Yates correction is applied to "chi2"; William's correction is ap- |  |
| plied to "lr"; and no correction is applied for the "exact" and "pmi" measures. |  |

## Value

a data.frame of computed statistics and associated p-values, where the features scored name each row, and the number of occurrences for both the target and reference groups. For measure = "chi2" this is the chi-squared value, signed positively if the observed value in the target exceeds its expected value; for measure = "exact" this is the estimate of the odds ratio; for measure $=$ "lr" this is the likelihood ratio $G 2$ statistic; for "pmi" this is the pointwise mutual information statistics.
textstat_keyness returns a data.frame of features and their keyness scores and frequency counts.

## References

Bondi, M. \& Scott, M. (eds) (2010). Keyness in Texts. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
Stubbs, M. (2010). Three Concepts of Keywords. In Keyness in Texts, Bondi, M. \& Scott, M. (eds): 1-42. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

Scott, M. \& Tribble, C. (2006). Textual Patterns: Keyword and Corpus Analysis in Language Education. Amsterdam: Benjamins: 55.
Dunning, T. (1993). Accurate Methods for the Statistics of Surprise and Coincidence. Computational Linguistics, 19(1): 61-74.

## Examples

```
library("quanteda")
# compare pre- v. post-war terms using grouping
period <- ifelse(docvars(data_corpus_inaugural, "Year") < 1945, "pre-war", "post-war")
dfmat1 <- tokens(data_corpus_inaugural) %>%
    dfm() %>%
    dfm_group(groups = period)
head(dfmat1) # make sure 'post-war' is in the first row
head(tstat1 <- textstat_keyness(dfmat1), 10)
tail(tstat1, 10)
# compare pre- v. post-war terms using logical vector
dfmat2 <- dfm(tokens(data_corpus_inaugural))
```

```
head(textstat_keyness(dfmat2, docvars(data_corpus_inaugural, "Year") >= 1945), 10)
# compare Trump 2017 to other post-war preseidents
dfmat3 <- dfm(tokens(corpus_subset(data_corpus_inaugural, period == "post-war")))
head(textstat_keyness(dfmat3, target = "2017-Trump"), 10)
# using the likelihood ratio method
head(textstat_keyness(dfm_smooth(dfmat3), measure = "lr", target = "2017-Trump"), 10)
```

```
textstat_lexdiv Calculate lexical diversity
```


## Description

Calculate the lexical diversity of text(s).

## Usage

```
textstat_lexdiv(
    x,
    measure = c("TTR", "C", "R", "CTTR", "U", "S", "K", "I", "D", "Vm", "Maas", "MATTR",
            "MSTTR", "all"),
    remove_numbers = TRUE,
    remove_punct = TRUE,
    remove_symbols = TRUE,
    remove_hyphens = FALSE,
    log.base = 10,
    MATTR_window = 100L,
    MSTTR_segment = 100L,
)
```


## Arguments

x
an dfm or tokens input object for whose documents lexical diversity will be computed
measure a character vector defining the measure to compute
remove_numbers logical; if TRUE remove features or tokens that consist only of numerals (the Unicode "Number" [N] class)
remove_punct logical; if TRUE remove all features or tokens that consist only of the Unicode "Punctuation" [P] class)
remove_symbols logical; if TRUE remove all features or tokens that consist only of the Unicode "Punctuation" [S] class)
remove_hyphens logical; if TRUE split words that are connected by hyphenation and hyphenationlike characters in between words, e.g. "self-storage" becomes two features or tokens "self" and "storage". Default is FALSE to preserve such words as is, with the hyphens.
log.base a numeric value defining the base of the logarithm (for measures using logarithms)
MATTR_window a numeric value defining the size of the moving window for computation of the Moving-Average Type-Token Ratio (Covington \& McFall, 2010)
MSTTR_segment a numeric value defining the size of the each segment for the computation of the the Mean Segmental Type-Token Ratio (Johnson, 1944)
.. not used directly

## Details

textstat_lexdiv calculates the lexical diversity of documents using a variety of indices.
In the following formulas, $N$ refers to the total number of tokens, $V$ to the number of types, and $f_{v}(i, N)$ to the numbers of types occurring $i$ times in a sample of length $N$.
"TTR": The ordinary Type-Token Ratio:

$$
T T R=\frac{V}{N}
$$

"C": Herdan's C (Herdan, 1960, as cited in Tweedie \& Baayen, 1998; sometimes referred to as LogTTR):

$$
C=\frac{\log V}{\log N}
$$

"R": Guiraud's Root TTR (Guiraud, 1954, as cited in Tweedie \& Baayen, 1998):

$$
R=\frac{V}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

"CTTR": Carroll's Corrected TTR:

$$
C T T R=\frac{V}{\sqrt{2 N}}
$$

"U": Dugast's Uber Index (Dugast, 1978, as cited in Tweedie \& Baayen, 1998):

$$
U=\frac{(\log N)^{2}}{\log N-\log V}
$$

"S": Summer's index:

$$
S=\frac{\log \log V}{\log \log N}
$$

"K": Yule's $K$ (Yule, 1944, as presented in Tweedie \& Baayen, 1998, Eq. 16) is calculated by:

$$
K=10^{4} \times\left[-\frac{1}{N}+\sum_{i=1}^{V} f_{v}(i, N)\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

"I": Yule's $I$ (Yule, 1944) is calculated by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\frac{V^{2}}{M_{2}-V} \\
M_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{V} i^{2} * f_{v}(i, N)
\end{gathered}
$$

"D": Simpson's $D$ (Simpson 1949, as presented in Tweedie \& Baayen, 1998, Eq. 17) is calculated by:

$$
D=\sum_{i=1}^{V} f_{v}(i, N) \frac{i}{N} \frac{i-1}{N-1}
$$

"Vm": Herdan's $V_{m}$ (Herdan 1955, as presented in Tweedie \& Baayen, 1998, Eq. 18) is calculated by:

$$
V_{m}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{V} f_{v}(i, N)(i / N)^{2}-\frac{i}{V}}
$$

"Maas": Maas' indices $\left(a, \log V_{0} \& \log _{e} V_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
a^{2}=\frac{\log N-\log V}{\log N^{2}} \\
\log V_{0}=\frac{\log V}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\log V^{2}}{\log N}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The measure was derived from a formula by Mueller (1969, as cited in Maas, 1972). $\log _{e} V_{0}$ is equivalent to $\log V_{0}$, only with $e$ as the base for the logarithms. Also calculated are $a, \log V_{0}$ (both not the same as before) and $V^{\prime}$ as measures of relative vocabulary growth while the text progresses. To calculate these measures, the first half of the text and the full text will be examined (see Maas, 1972, p. 67 ff . for details). Note: for the current method (for a dfm) there is no computation on separate halves of the text.
"MATTR": The Moving-Average Type-Token Ratio (Covington \& McFall, 2010) calculates TTRs for a moving window of tokens from the first to the last token, computing a TTR for each window. The MATTR is the mean of the TTRs of each window.
"MSTTR": Mean Segmental Type-Token Ratio (sometimes referred to as Split TTR) splits the tokens into segments of the given size, TTR for each segment is calculated and the mean of these values returned. When this value is $<1.0$, it splits the tokens into equal, non-overlapping sections of that size. When this value is $>1$, it defines the segments as windows of that size. Tokens at the end which do not make a full segment are ignored.

## Value

A data.frame of documents and their lexical diversity scores.

## Author(s)

Kenneth Benoit and Jiong Wei Lua. Many of the formulas have been reimplemented from functions written by Meik Michalke in the koRpus package.

## References

Covington, M.A. \& McFall, J.D. (2010). Cutting the Gordian Knot: The Moving-Average TypeToken Ratio (MATTR) Journal of Quantitative Linguistics, 17(2), 94-100. doi: 10.1080/09296171003643098
Herdan, G. (1955). A New Derivation and Interpretation of Yule's 'Characteristic' K. Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik, 6(4): 332-334.

Maas, H.D. (1972). Über den Zusammenhang zwischen Wortschatzumfang und Länge eines Textes. Zeitschrift für Literaturwissenschaft und Linguistik, 2(8), 73-96.
McCarthy, P.M. \& Jarvis, S. (2007). vocd: A Theoretical and Empirical Evaluation. Language Testing, 24(4), 459-488. doi: 10.1177/0265532207080767

McCarthy, P.M. \& Jarvis, S. (2010). MTLD, vocd-D, and HD-D: A Validation Study of Sophisticated Approaches to Lexical Diversity Assessment. Behaviour Research Methods, 42(2), 381-392.
Michalke, M. (2014). koRpus: An R Package for Text Analysis (Version 0.05-4). Available from https://reaktanz.de/?c=hacking\&s=koRpus.

Simpson, E.H. (1949). Measurement of Diversity. Nature, 163: 688. doi: 10.1038/163688a0
Tweedie. F.J. and Baayen, R.H. (1998). How Variable May a Constant Be? Measures of Lexical Richness in Perspective. Computers and the Humanities, 32(5), 323-352. doi: 10.1023/ A:1001749303137
Yule, G. U. (1944) The Statistical Study of Literary Vocabulary. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

## Examples

```
library("quanteda")
txt <- c("Anyway, like I was sayin', shrimp is the fruit of the sea. You can
        barbecue it, boil it, broil it, bake it, saute it.",
        "There's shrimp-kabobs,
        shrimp creole, shrimp gumbo. Pan fried, deep fried, stir-fried. There's
        pineapple shrimp, lemon shrimp, coconut shrimp, pepper shrimp, shrimp soup,
        shrimp stew, shrimp salad, shrimp and potatoes, shrimp burger, shrimp
        sandwich.")
tokens(txt) %>%
    textstat_lexdiv(measure = c("TTR", "CTTR", "K"))
dfm(tokens(txt)) %>%
    textstat_lexdiv(measure = c("TTR", "CTTR", "K"))
toks <- tokens(corpus_subset(data_corpus_inaugural, Year > 2000))
textstat_lexdiv(toks, c("CTTR", "TTR", "MATTR"), MATTR_window = 100)
```

```
textstat_readability Calculate readability
```


## Description

Calculate the readability of text(s) using one of a variety of computed indexes.

## Usage

textstat_readability(
x ,
measure = "Flesch",
remove_hyphens = TRUE,

```
    min_sentence_length = 1,
    max_sentence_length = 10000,
    intermediate = FALSE,
)
```


## Arguments

x
measure character vector defining the readability measure to calculate. Matches are caseinsensitive. See other valid measures under Details.
remove_hyphens if TRUE, treat constituent words in hyphenated as separate terms, for purposes of computing word lengths, e.g. "decision-making" as two terms of lengths 8 and 6 characters respectively, rather than as a single word of 15 characters
min_sentence_length, max_sentence_length
set the minimum and maximum sentence lengths (in tokens, excluding punctuation) to include in the computation of readability. This makes it easy to exclude "sentences" that may not really be sentences, such as section titles, table elements, and other cruft that might be in the texts following conversion.
For finer-grained control, consider filtering sentences prior first, including through pattern-matching, using corpus_trim().
intermediate if TRUE, include intermediate quantities in the output
... not used

## Details

The following readability formulas have been implemented, where

- $\mathrm{Nw}=n_{w}=$ number of words
- $\mathrm{Nc}=n_{c}=$ number of characters
- $\mathrm{Nst}=n_{s t}=$ number of sentences
- Nsy $=n_{s y}=$ number of syllables
- $\operatorname{Nwf}=n_{w f}=$ number of words matching the Dale-Chall List of 3000 "familiar words"
- ASL = Average Sentence Length: number of words / number of sentences
- AWL = Average Word Length: number of characters / number of words
- AFW = Average Familiar Words: count of words matching the Dale-Chall list of 3000 "familiar words" / number of all words
- $\mathrm{Nwd}=n_{w d}=$ number of "difficult" words not matching the Dale-Chall list of "familiar" words
"ARI": Automated Readability Index (Senter and Smith 1967)

$$
0.5 A S L+4.71 A W L-21.34
$$

"ARI . Simple": A simplified version of Senter and Smith’s (1967) Automated Readability Index.

$$
A S L+9 A W L
$$

"Bormuth.MC": Bormuth's (1969) Mean Cloze Formula.

$$
0.886593-0.03640 \times A W L+0.161911 \times A F W-0.21401 \times A S L-0.000577 \times A S L^{2}-0.000005 \times A S L^{3}
$$

"Bormuth.GP": Bormuth's (1969) Grade Placement score.

$$
4.275+12.881 M-34.934 M^{2}+20.388 M^{3}+26.194 C C S-2.046 C C S^{2}-11.767 C C S^{3}-42.285(M \times C C S)+97.620(
$$

where $M$ is the Bormuth Mean Cloze Formula as in "Bormuth" above, and $C C S$ is the Cloze Criterion Score (Bormuth, 1968).
"Coleman": Coleman's (1971) Readability Formula 1.

$$
1.29 \times \frac{100 \times n_{w s y=1}}{n_{w}}-38.45
$$

where $n_{w s y=1}=$ Nwsy1 $=$ the number of one-syllable words. The scaling by 100 in this and the other Coleman-derived measures arises because the Coleman measures are calculated on a per 100 words basis.
"Coleman.C2": Coleman's (1971) Readability Formula 2.

$$
1.16 \times \frac{100 \times n_{w s y=1}}{N w+1.48 \times \frac{100 \times n_{s t}}{n_{w}}-37.95}
$$

"Coleman.Liau.ECP": Coleman-Liau Estimated Cloze Percent (ECP) (Coleman and Liau 1975).

$$
141.8401-0.214590 \times 100 \times A W L+1.079812 \times \frac{n_{s t} \times 100}{n_{w}}
$$

"Coleman.Liau.grade": Coleman-Liau Grade Level (Coleman and Liau 1975).

$$
-27.4004 \times \text { Coleman.Liau.ECP } \times 100+23.06395
$$

"Coleman.Liau. short": Coleman-Liau Index (Coleman and Liau 1975).

$$
5.88 \times A W L+29.6 \times \frac{n_{s t}}{n_{w}}-15.8
$$

"Dale.Chall": The New Dale-Chall Readability formula (Chall and Dale 1995).

$$
64-\left(0.95 \times 100 \times \frac{n_{w d}}{n_{w}}\right)-(0.69 \times A S L)
$$

"Dale.Chall.Old": The original Dale-Chall Readability formula (Dale and Chall (1948).

$$
0.1579 \times 100 \times \frac{n_{w d}}{n_{w}}+0.0496 \times A S L[+3.6365]
$$

The additional constant 3.6365 is only added if $(\mathrm{Nwd} / \mathrm{Nw})>0.05$.
"Dale.Chall.PSK": The Powers-Sumner-Kearl Variation of the Dale and Chall Readability formula (Powers, Sumner and Kearl, 1958).

$$
\left.0.1155 \times 100 \frac{n_{w d}}{n_{w}}\right)+(0.0596 \times A S L)+3.2672
$$

"Danielson.Bryan": Danielson-Bryan’s (1963) Readability Measure 1.

$$
\left(1.0364 \times \frac{n_{c}}{n_{\text {blank }}}\right)+\left(0.0194 \times \frac{n_{c}}{n_{s t}}\right)-0.6059
$$

where $n_{\text {blank }}=$ Nblank $=$ the number of blanks.
"Danielson.Bryan2": Danielson-Bryan's (1963) Readability Measure 2.

$$
131.059-\left(10.364 \times \frac{n_{c}}{n_{\text {blank }}}\right)+\left(0.0194 \times \frac{n_{c}}{n_{s t}}\right)
$$

where $n_{\text {blank }}=$ Nblank $=$ the number of blanks.
"Dickes.Steiwer": Dickes-Steiwer Index (Dicks and Steiwer 1977).

$$
235.95993-(7.3021 \times A W L)-(12.56438 \times A S L)-(50.03293 \times T T R)
$$

where TTR is the Type-Token Ratio (see textstat_lexdiv())
"DRP": Degrees of Reading Power.

$$
(1-\text { Bormuth.MC }) * 100
$$

where Bormuth.MC refers to Bormuth's (1969) Mean Cloze Formula (documented above)
"ELF": Easy Listening Formula (Fang 1966):

$$
\frac{n_{w s y>=2}}{n_{s t}}
$$

where $n_{w s y>=2}=$ Nwmin2sy $=$ the number of words with 2 syllables or more.
"Farr. Jenkins.Paterson": Farr-Jenkins-Paterson's Simplification of Flesch's Reading Ease Score (Farr, Jenkins and Paterson 1951).

$$
-31.517-(1.015 \times A S L)+\left(1.599 \times \frac{n_{w s y=1}}{n_{w}}\right.
$$

where $n_{w s y=1}=$ Nwsy1 $=$ the number of one-syllable words.
"Flesch": Flesch's Reading Ease Score (Flesch 1948).

$$
206.835-(1.015 \times A S L)-\left(84.6 \times \frac{n_{s y}}{n_{w}}\right)
$$

"Flesch.PSK": The Powers-Sumner-Kearl's Variation of Flesch Reading Ease Score (Powers, Sumner and Kearl, 1958).

$$
(0.0778 \times A S L)+\left(4.55 \times \frac{n_{s y}}{n_{w}}\right)-2.2029
$$

"Flesch.Kincaid": Flesch-Kincaid Readability Score (Flesch and Kincaid 1975).

$$
0.39 \times A S L+11.8 \times \frac{n_{s y}}{n_{w}}-15.59
$$

"FOG": Gunning's Fog Index (Gunning 1952).

$$
0.4 \times\left(A S L+100 \times \frac{n_{w s y>=3}}{n_{w}}\right)
$$

where $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy $=$ the number of words with 3-syllables or more. The scaling by 100 arises because the original FOG index is based on just a sample of 100 words)
"FOG.PSK": The Powers-Sumner-Kearl Variation of Gunning's Fog Index (Powers, Sumner and Kearl, 1958).

$$
3.0680 \times(0.0877 \times A S L)+\left(0.0984 \times 100 \times \frac{n_{w s y>=3}}{n_{w}}\right)
$$

where $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy $=$ the number of words with 3-syllables or more. The scaling by 100 arises because the original FOG index is based on just a sample of 100 words)
"FOG.NRI": The Navy's Adaptation of Gunning's Fog Index (Kincaid, Fishburne, Rogers and Chissom 1975).

$$
\left(\frac{\left(n_{w s y<3}+3 \times n_{w s y=3}\right)}{\left(100 \times \frac{N_{s t}}{N_{w}}\right)}-3\right) / 2
$$

where $n_{w s y<3}=$ Nwless 3 sy $=$ the number of words with less than 3 syllables, and $n_{w s y=3}=$ Nw3sy = the number of 3-syllable words. The scaling by 100 arises because the original FOG index is based on just a sample of 100 words)
"FORCAST": FORCAST (Simplified Version of FORCAST.RGL) (Caylor and Sticht 1973).

$$
20-\frac{\left.n_{w s y=1} \times 150\right)}{\left(n_{w} \times 10\right)}
$$

where $n_{w s y=1}=$ Nwsy $1=$ the number of one-syllable words. The scaling by 150 arises because the original FORCAST index is based on just a sample of 150 words.
"FORCAST.RGL": FORCAST.RGL (Caylor and Sticht 1973).

$$
20.43-0.11 \times \frac{\left.n_{w s y=1} \times 150\right)}{\left(n_{w} \times 10\right)}
$$

where $n_{w s y=1}=$ Nwsy1 $=$ the number of one-syllable words. The scaling by 150 arises because the original FORCAST index is based on just a sample of 150 words.
"Fucks": Fucks' (1955) Stilcharakteristik (Style Characteristic).

$$
A W L * A S L
$$

"Linsear. Write": Linsear Write (Klare 1975).

$$
\frac{\left[\left(100-\left(\frac{100 \times n_{w s y<3}}{n_{w}}\right)\right)+\left(3 \times \frac{100 \times n_{w s y>=3}}{n_{w}}\right)\right]}{\left(100 \times \frac{n_{s t}}{n_{w}}\right)}
$$

where $n_{w s y<3}=$ Nwless3sy $=$ the number of words with less than 3 syllables, and $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy = the number of words with 3-syllables or more. The scaling by 100 arises because the original Linsear.Write measure is based on just a sample of 100 words)
"LIW": Björnsson's (1968) Läsbarhetsindex (For Swedish Texts).

$$
A S L+\frac{100 \times n_{w s y>}=7}{n_{w}}
$$

where $n_{w s y>=7}=$ Nwmin7sy $=$ the number of words with 7 -syllables or more. The scaling by 100 arises because the Läsbarhetsindex index is based on just a sample of 100 words)
"nWS": Neue Wiener Sachtextformeln 1 (Bamberger and Vanecek 1984).

$$
19.35 \times \frac{n_{w s y>=3}}{n_{w}}+0.1672 \times A S L+12.97 \times \frac{b_{w c h a r}>=6}{n_{w}}-3.27 \times \frac{n_{w s y=1}}{n_{w}}-0.875
$$

where $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy $=$ the number of words with 3 syllables or more, $n_{w c h a r>=6}=$ Nwmin6char $=$ the number of words with 6 characters or more, and $n_{w s y=1}=$ Nwsy1 $=$ the number of one-syllable words.
"nWS. 2": Neue Wiener Sachtextformeln 2 (Bamberger and Vanecek 1984).

$$
20.07 \times \frac{n_{w s y>=3}}{n_{w}}+0.1682 \times A S L+13.73 \times \frac{n_{w c h a r>=6}}{n_{w}}-2.779
$$

where $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy $=$ the number of words with 3 syllables or more, and $n_{w c h a r>=6}$ $=$ Nwmin6char $=$ the number of words with 6 characters or more.
"nWS. 3": Neue Wiener Sachtextformeln 3 (Bamberger and Vanecek 1984).

$$
29.63 \times \frac{n_{w s y>=3}}{n_{w}}+0.1905 \times A S L-1.1144
$$

where $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy $=$ the number of words with 3 syllables or more.
"nWS.4": Neue Wiener Sachtextformeln 4 (Bamberger and Vanecek 1984).

$$
27.44 \times \frac{n_{w s y>}=3}{n_{w}}+0.2656 \times A S L-1.693
$$

where $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy $=$ the number of words with 3 syllables or more.
"RIX": Anderson's (1983) Readability Index.

$$
\frac{n_{w s y>=7}}{n_{s t}}
$$

where $n_{w s y>=7}=$ Nwmin7sy $=$ the number of words with 7-syllables or more.
"Scrabble": Scrabble Measure.

> MeanScrabbleLetterValuesof AllW ords
. Scrabble values are for English. There is no reference for this, as we created it experimentally. It's not part of any accepted readability index!
"SMOG": Simple Measure of Gobbledygook (SMOG) (McLaughlin 1969).

$$
1.043 \times \sqrt{n_{w s y>=3}} \times \frac{30}{n_{s t}}+3.1291
$$

where $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy $=$ the number of words with 3 syllables or more. This measure is regression equation D in McLaughlin's original paper.
"SMOG.C": SMOG (Regression Equation C) (McLaughlin's 1969)

$$
0.9986 \times \sqrt{N w \min 3 s y \times \frac{30}{n_{s t}}+5}+2.8795
$$

where $n_{w s y>=3}=$ Nwmin3sy $=$ the number of words with 3 syllables or more. This measure is regression equation C in McLaughlin's original paper.
"SMOG. simple": Simplified Version of McLaughlin's (1969) SMOG Measure.

$$
\sqrt{N w \min 3 s y \times \frac{30}{n_{s t}}}+3
$$

"SMOG.de": Adaptation of McLaughlin's (1969) SMOG Measure for German Texts.

$$
\sqrt{N w \min 3 s y \times \frac{30}{n_{s t}}-2}
$$

"Spache": Spache’s (1952) Readability Measure.

$$
0.121 \times A S L+0.082 \times \frac{n_{\text {wnotinspache }}}{n_{w}}+0.659
$$

where $n_{\text {wnotinspache }}=$ Nwnotinspache $=$ number of unique words not in the Spache word list.
"Spache.old": Spache's (1952) Readability Measure (Old).

$$
0.141 \times A S L+0.086 \times \frac{n_{\text {wnotinspache }}}{n_{w}}+0.839
$$

where $n_{\text {wnotinspache }}=$ Nwnotinspache $=$ number of unique words not in the Spache word list.
"Strain": Strain Index (Solomon 2006).

$$
n_{s y} / \frac{n_{s t}}{3} / 10
$$

The scaling by 3 arises because the original Strain index is based on just the first 3 sentences.
"Traenkle.Bailer": Tränkle \& Bailer’s (1984) Readability Measure 1.

$$
224.6814-(79.8304 \times A W L)-(12.24032 \times A S L)-\left(1.292857 \times 100 \times \frac{n_{\text {prep }}}{n_{w}}\right.
$$

where $n_{\text {prep }}=$ Nprep $=$ the number of prepositions. The scaling by 100 arises because the original Tränkle \& Bailer index is based on just a sample of 100 words.
"Traenkle.Bailer2": Tränkle \& Bailer’s (1984) Readability Measure 2.
Trnkle.Bailer $2=234.1063-(96.11069 \times A W L)-\left(2.05444 \times 100 \times \frac{n_{\text {prep }}}{n_{w}}\right)-\left(1.02805 \times 100 \times \frac{n_{\text {conj }}}{n_{w}}\right.$
where $n_{\text {prep }}=$ Nprep $=$ the number of prepositions, $n_{\text {conj }}=\mathrm{Nconj}=$ the number of conjunctions, The scaling by 100 arises because the original Tränkle \& Bailer index is based on just a sample of 100 words)
"Wheeler.Smith": Wheeler \& Smith’s (1954) Readability Measure.

$$
A S L \times 10 \times \frac{n_{w s y>=2}}{n_{\text {words }}}
$$

where $n_{w s y>=2}=$ Nwmin2sy $=$ the number of words with 2 syllables or more.
"meanSentenceLength": Average Sentence Length (ASL).

$$
\frac{n_{w}}{n_{s t}}
$$

"meanWordSyllables": Average Word Syllables (AWL).

$$
\frac{n_{s y}}{n_{w}}
$$

## Value

textstat_readability returns a data.frame of documents and their readability scores.

## Author(s)

Kenneth Benoit, re-engineered from Meik Michalke's koRpus package.
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## Examples

```
txt <- c(doc1 = "Readability zero one. Ten, Eleven.",
    doc2 = "The cat in a dilapidated tophat.")
textstat_readability(txt, measure = "Flesch")
textstat_readability(txt, measure = c("FOG", "FOG.PSK", "FOG.NRI"))
textstat_readability(quanteda::data_corpus_inaugural[48:58],
        measure = c("Flesch.Kincaid", "Dale.Chall.old"))
```


## Description

These functions compute matrixes of distances and similarities between documents or features from a $\operatorname{dfm}()$ and return a matrix of similarities or distances in a sparse format. These methods are fast and robust because they operate directly on the sparse dfm objects. The output can easily be coerced to an ordinary matrix, a data.frame of pairwise comparisons, or a dist format.

## Usage

textstat_simil(
x ,
$y=N U L L$,
selection = NULL,
margin = c("documents", "features"),
method = c("correlation", "cosine", "jaccard", "ejaccard", "dice", "edice", "hamann",
"simple matching"),
min_simil $=$ NULL,
)
textstat_dist(
x ,
$y=N U L L$,
selection = NULL,
margin = c("documents", "features"),
method = c("euclidean", "manhattan", "maximum", "canberra", "minkowski"),
$p=2$,
)

## Arguments

| $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ | a dfm objects; y is an optional target matrix matching x in the margin on which <br> the similarity or distance will be computed. <br> (deprecated - use y instead). |
| :--- | :--- |
| selection | identifies the margin of the dfm on which similarity or difference will be com- <br> puted: "documents" for documents or "features" for word/term features. <br> character; the method identifying the similarity or distance measure to be used; <br> see Details. |
| method | numeric; a threshold for the similarity values below which similarity values will <br> not be returned |
| min_simil | unused |
| p | The power of the Minkowski distance. |

## Details

```
textstat_simil options are: "correlation" (default), "cosine", "jaccard", "ejaccard", "dice",
"edice", "simple matching", and "hamann".
textstat_dist options are: "euclidean" (default), "manhattan", "maximum", "canberra", and
"minkowski".
```

Value
A sparse matrix from the Matrix package that will be symmetric unless y is specified.

## Conversion to other data types

The output objects from textstat_simil() and textstat_dist() can be transformed easily into a list format using as.list(), which returns a list for each unique element of the second of the pairs, a data.frame using as.data.frame(), which returns pairwise scores, as.dist()for a dist object, or as matrix() to convert it into an ordinary matrix.

## Note

If you want to compute similarity on a "normalized" dfm object (controlling for variable document lengths, for methods such as correlation for which different document lengths matter), then wrap the input dfm in [dfm_weight](x,).

## See Also

```
as.list.textstat_proxy(), as.data.frame.textstat_proxy(), stats::as.dist()
```


## Examples

```
# similarities for documents
library("quanteda")
dfmat <- corpus_subset(data_corpus_inaugural, Year > 2000) %>%
    tokens(remove_punct = TRUE) %>%
    tokens_remove(stopwords("english")) %>%
    dfm()
(tstat1 <- textstat_simil(dfmat, method = "cosine", margin = "documents"))
as.matrix(tstat1)
as.list(tstat1)
as.list(tstat1, diag = TRUE)
# min_simil
(tstat2 <- textstat_simil(dfmat, method = "cosine", margin = "documents", min_simil = 0.6))
as.matrix(tstat2)
# similarities for for specific documents
textstat_simil(dfmat, dfmat["2017-Trump", ], margin = "documents")
textstat_simil(dfmat, dfmat["2017-Trump", ], method = "cosine", margin = "documents")
textstat_simil(dfmat, dfmat[c("2009-Obama", "2013-Obama"), ], margin = "documents")
# compute some term similarities
```

```
tstat3 <- textstat_simil(dfmat, dfmat[, c("fair", "health", "terror")], method = "cosine",
                    margin = "features")
head(as.matrix(tstat3), 10)
as.list(tstat3, n = 6)
# distances for documents
(tstat4 <- textstat_dist(dfmat, margin = "documents"))
as.matrix(tstat4)
as.list(tstat4)
as.dist(tstat4)
# distances for specific documents
textstat_dist(dfmat, dfmat["2017-Trump", ], margin = "documents")
(tstat5 <- textstat_dist(dfmat, dfmat[c("2009-Obama" , "2013-Obama"), ], margin = "documents"))
as.matrix(tstat5)
as.list(tstat5)
## Not run:
# plot a dendrogram after converting the object into distances
plot(hclust(as.dist(tstat4)))
## End(Not run)
```

textstat_summary Summarize documents as syntactic and lexical feature counts

## Description

Count syntactic and lexical features of documents such as tokens, types, sentences, and character categories.

## Usage

textstat_summary(x, ...)

## Arguments

x
corpus to be summarized
... additional arguments passed through to dfm ()

## Details

Count the total number of characters, tokens and sentences as well as special tokens such as numbers, punctuation marks, symbols, tags and emojis.

- chars $=$ number of characters; equal to nchar ()
- sents = number of sentences; equal ntoken(tokens $(x)$, what $=$ "sentence")
- tokens = number of tokens; equal to ntoken()
- types = number of unique tokens; equal to ntype()
- puncts $=$ number of punctuation marks $(\wedge \mid p\{P\}+\$)$
- numbers $=$ number of numeric tokens $\left(\wedge 1 p\{S c\}\{0,1\} \backslash p\{N\}+\left([.,)^{*} \operatorname{pp}\{N\}\right) * \operatorname{p}\{\mathrm{Sc}\}\{0,1\} \$\right)$
- symbols $=$ number of symbols ( $\left.{ }^{\wedge} \mid p\{S\} \$\right)$
- tags = number of tags; sum of pattern_username and pattern_hashtag in quanteda: :quanteda_options()
- emojis $=$ number of emojis ( $\wedge$ \p $\{$ Emoji_Presentation $\}+\$$ )


## Examples

```
if (Sys.info()["sysname"] != "SunOS") {
library("quanteda")
corp <- data_corpus_inaugural[1:5]
textstat_summary(corp)
toks <- tokens(corp)
textstat_summary(toks)
dfmat <- dfm(toks)
textstat_summary(dfmat)
}
```


## Index

```
* collocations
    textstat_collocations, 3
* datasets
        data_char_wordlists,2
* plot
    textstat_frequency,6
* textstat
    textstat_collocations, 3
    textstat_keyness, }
    textstat_summary, 24
as.data.frame(),23
as.data.frame.textstat_proxy(),23
as.list(), 23
as.list.textstat_proxy(), 23
base::rank(), }
corpus, 3, 14
corpus_trim(),14
data_char_wordlists, 2
dfm, 6, 8, 10, 22
dfm(), 22, 24
dfm_group(),6
dist, 22, 23
docvars,6
nchar(), 24
ntoken(), 24
ntype(), 25
quanteda::quanteda_options(),25
stats::as.dist(), 23
textstat_collocations,3
textstat_dist(textstat_simil), 22
textstat_entropy,5
textstat_frequency,6
textstat_keyness,8
```

