

# Medical Care - Zero-Inflated and Zero-Hurdle-Model

February 5, 2020

First the medcare data are loaded:

```
> library(catdata)
> data(medcare)
> attach(medcare)
```

The dependent variable "ofp" (numbers of physician visits) is a count variable, so a poisson-family glm seems to be a good choice.

```
> med1=glm(ofp ~ hosp+healthpoor+healthexcellent+numchron+age+married+school,
+          family=poisson,data=medcare[male==1 & ofp<=30,])
> summary(med1)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = ofp ~ hosp + healthpoor + healthexcellent + numchron +
    age + married + school, family = poisson, data = medcare[male ==
    1 & ofp <= 30, ])
```

Deviance Residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-5.3338	-1.9118	-0.6178	0.8085	7.5113

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	0.289181	0.140378	2.060	0.0394 *
hosp	0.161705	0.010324	15.663	< 2e-16 ***
healthpoor	0.131090	0.031910	4.108	3.99e-05 ***
healthexcellent	-0.269974	0.047458	-5.689	1.28e-08 ***
numchron	0.153347	0.007691	19.939	< 2e-16 ***
age	0.076527	0.017635	4.340	1.43e-05 ***
married	0.145469	0.027905	5.213	1.86e-07 ***
school	0.029470	0.002858	10.311	< 2e-16 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 8830.3 on 1760 degrees of freedom

Residual deviance: 7655.9 on 1753 degrees of freedom  
AIC: 12502

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

In many real-world datasets the variance of count-data is higher than predicted by the Poisson distribution, so we fit a quasi-Poisson model with dispersion parameter.

```
> med2=glm(ofp ~ hosp+healthpoor+healthexcellent+numchron+age+married+school,  
+          family=quasipoisson,data=medcare[male==1 & ofp<=30,])  
> summary(med2)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = ofp ~ hosp + healthpoor + healthexcellent + numchron +  
    age + married + school, family = quasipoisson, data = medcare[male ==  
    1 & ofp <= 30, ])
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-5.3338	-1.9118	-0.6178	0.8085	7.5113

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	0.289181	0.304171	0.951	0.34188
hosp	0.161705	0.022371	7.228	7.26e-13 ***
healthpoor	0.131090	0.069142	1.896	0.05813 .
healthexcellent	-0.269974	0.102833	-2.625	0.00873 **
numchron	0.153347	0.016664	9.202	< 2e-16 ***
age	0.076527	0.038211	2.003	0.04536 *
married	0.145469	0.060465	2.406	0.01624 *
school	0.029470	0.006193	4.759	2.11e-06 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for quasipoisson family taken to be 4.695025)

Null deviance: 8830.3 on 1760 degrees of freedom  
Residual deviance: 7655.9 on 1753 degrees of freedom  
AIC: NA

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

With an estimated dispersion parameter of 4.69 the standard errors are much bigger now. An alternative to a quasi-poisson model is to use the negative binomial distribution.

```
> library(MASS)  
> med3=glm.nb(ofp ~ hosp+healthpoor+healthexcellent+numchron+age+married+school,  
+             data=medcare[male==1 & ofp<=30,])  
> summary(med3)
```

```
Call:
glm.nb(formula = ofp ~ hosp + healthpoor + healthexcellent +
  numchron + age + married + school, data = medcare[male ==
  1 & ofp <= 30, ], init.theta = 1.235593605, link = log)
```

Deviance Residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-2.4084	-0.9827	-0.2823	0.3482	3.0269

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	0.201812	0.317908	0.635	0.52555
hosp	0.226922	0.032299	7.026	2.13e-12 ***
healthpoor	0.198313	0.079353	2.499	0.01245 *
healthexcellent	-0.290092	0.093235	-3.111	0.00186 **
numchron	0.171727	0.018834	9.118	< 2e-16 ***
age	0.075012	0.040340	1.859	0.06296 .
married	0.166799	0.060681	2.749	0.00598 **
school	0.030996	0.006335	4.893	9.92e-07 ***

----

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for Negative Binomial(1.2356) family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 2293.3 on 1760 degrees of freedom  
 Residual deviance: 2040.5 on 1753 degrees of freedom  
 AIC: 9291.5

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 1

Theta: 1.2356  
 Std. Err.: 0.0581

2 x log-likelihood: -9273.4800

In this model the standard errors are slightly lower with the result that "healthexcellent" and "married" are now significant. (level=0.05) In count data there are often much more zeros than expected. Therefore one can fit a "zero-inflated" model using the pscl package. In the first "zero-inflated" model one assumes that the occurrence of zeros does depend on covariates:

```
> library(pscl)

> med4=zeroinfl(ofp ~ hosp+healthpoor+healthexcellent+numchron+age+married+school|1,
+ data=medcare[male==1 & ofp<=30,])
> summary(med4)
```

Call:

```
zeroinfl(formula = ofp ~ hosp + healthpoor + healthexcellent + numchron +
  age + married + school | 1, data = medcare[male == 1 & ofp <= 30,
```

])

Pearson residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-1.7341	-1.1258	-0.3746	0.6335	7.4442

Count model coefficients (poisson with log link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	1.185461	0.145168	8.166	3.18e-16 ***
hosp	0.135716	0.010674	12.715	< 2e-16 ***
healthpoor	0.152397	0.031970	4.767	1.87e-06 ***
healthexcellent	-0.220640	0.050046	-4.409	1.04e-05 ***
numchron	0.102397	0.007998	12.803	< 2e-16 ***
age	0.024986	0.018062	1.383	0.167
married	0.023912	0.028614	0.836	0.403
school	0.015762	0.002950	5.343	9.15e-08 ***

Zero-inflation model coefficients (binomial with logit link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	-1.51681	0.06359	-23.85	<2e-16 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Number of iterations in BFGS optimization: 14

Log-likelihood: -5577 on 9 Df

In the second "zero-inflated" model the occurrence of zeros can depend on co-  
variates:

```
> med5=zeroinfl(ofp ~ hosp+healthpoor+healthexcellent+numchron+age+married+school,  
+ data=medcare[male==1 & ofp<=30,])  
> summary(med5)
```

Call:

```
zeroinfl(formula = ofp ~ hosp + healthpoor + healthexcellent + numchron +  
age + married + school, data = medcare[male == 1 & ofp <= 30, ])
```

Pearson residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-3.5146	-1.0496	-0.4430	0.6023	7.9454

Count model coefficients (poisson with log link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	1.22709	0.14415	8.513	< 2e-16 ***
hosp	0.13549	0.01069	12.676	< 2e-16 ***
healthpoor	0.15193	0.03195	4.755	1.98e-06 ***
healthexcellent	-0.20314	0.04859	-4.181	2.90e-05 ***
numchron	0.10045	0.00797	12.604	< 2e-16 ***
age	0.02212	0.01800	1.229	0.219
married	0.01771	0.02825	0.627	0.531
school	0.01485	0.00292	5.087	3.64e-07 ***

Zero-inflation model coefficients (binomial with logit link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )	
(Intercept)	3.13374	0.88944	3.523	0.000426	***
hosp	-0.60179	0.15686	-3.836	0.000125	***
healthpoor	0.21235	0.24601	0.863	0.388050	
healthexcellent	0.26134	0.21546	1.213	0.225149	
numchron	-0.47280	0.06538	-7.231	4.78e-13	***
age	-0.34563	0.11432	-3.023	0.002500	**
married	-0.69907	0.14796	-4.725	2.31e-06	***
school	-0.09232	0.01674	-5.515	3.50e-08	***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Number of iterations in BFGS optimization: 21

Log-likelihood: -5491 on 16 Df

An alternative to "zero-inflation" is the "zero-hurdle" model. In the following similar models as above are fitted.

```
> med6=hurdle(ofp ~ hosp+healthpoor+healthexcellent+numchron+age+married+school|1
+               ,data=medcare[male==1 & ofp<=30,])
> summary(med6)
```

Call:

```
hurdle(formula = ofp ~ hosp + healthpoor + healthexcellent + numchron +
        age + married + school | 1, data = medcare[male == 1 & ofp <= 30,
        ])
```

Pearson residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-1.7065	-1.1225	-0.3671	0.6301	7.4080

Count model coefficients (truncated poisson with log link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )	
(Intercept)	1.228410	0.144000	8.531	< 2e-16	***
hosp	0.135443	0.010691	12.669	< 2e-16	***
healthpoor	0.152058	0.031945	4.760	1.94e-06	***
healthexcellent	-0.204398	0.048755	-4.192	2.76e-05	***
numchron	0.100331	0.007964	12.599	< 2e-16	***
age	0.022058	0.017985	1.226	0.220	
married	0.017420	0.028232	0.617	0.537	
school	0.014812	0.002919	5.075	3.88e-07	***

Zero hurdle model coefficients (binomial with logit link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )	
(Intercept)	1.47077	0.06114	24.06	<2e-16	***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Number of iterations in BFGS optimization: 14

Log-likelihood: -5582 on 9 Df

```
> med7=hurdle(ofp ~ hosp+healthpoor+healthexcellent+numchron+age+married+school,
+             data=medcare[male==1 & ofp<=30,])
> summary(med7)
```

Call:

```
hurdle(formula = ofp ~ hosp + healthpoor + healthexcellent + numchron +
       age + married + school, data = medcare[male == 1 & ofp <= 30, ])
```

Pearson residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-3.5123	-1.0503	-0.4421	0.6023	7.9503

Count model coefficients (truncated poisson with log link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	1.228410	0.144000	8.531	< 2e-16 ***
hosp	0.135443	0.010691	12.669	< 2e-16 ***
healthpoor	0.152058	0.031945	4.760	1.94e-06 ***
healthexcellent	-0.204398	0.048755	-4.192	2.76e-05 ***
numchron	0.100331	0.007964	12.599	< 2e-16 ***
age	0.022058	0.017985	1.226	0.220
married	0.017420	0.028232	0.617	0.537
school	0.014812	0.002919	5.075	3.88e-07 ***

Zero hurdle model coefficients (binomial with logit link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	-3.14201	0.87104	-3.607	0.00031 ***
hosp	0.60986	0.15535	3.926	8.65e-05 ***
healthpoor	-0.20092	0.24410	-0.823	0.41043
healthexcellent	-0.28448	0.20846	-1.365	0.17236
numchron	0.47781	0.06438	7.422	1.15e-13 ***
age	0.34266	0.11187	3.063	0.00219 **
married	0.69079	0.14560	4.745	2.09e-06 ***
school	0.09278	0.01642	5.651	1.60e-08 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Number of iterations in BFGS optimization: 14

Log-likelihood: -5491 on 16 Df